Response to Michael Mickler's Article "The Providential Necessity of "Open" Blessings"

Jamal D Johnson February 22, 2014



Jamal D Johnson

The Providential Necessity of "Open" Blessings (Michael Mickler - January 27, 2014 pdf)

Thank you for the exchange of ideas.

To your point #1

"After receiving the Messiah and being restored to the position of the first human ancestors before their Fall, a path still remains to be trod: we must become one with the Messiah centered on the Heart of God, then follow him along the uncharted path to the summit of the growing period, and thus finally become perfect incarnations." (DP, Part II, Section 1.2.2, 1st Paragraph)

In other words, thinking inside the box can get us to the top of the growth stage, but not beyond. Marketers know that thinking outside the box is uncomfortable for 85% of the people, they resist it. If we fail to think outside the box we will fail to grasp God's Completion stage providence.

To your point #2

When I was fundraising, If I abandoned my internal goal just to make a sale in the hopes of achieving my external goal, then I would be punished later for it. Period.

To your point #3

Adam and Eve lost their blood lineage through sex. This is why we regained God's lineage through the 3 day ceremony. The ceremony was just as physical as the physical fall. Logically speaking, if the physical fall was sufficient enough to change the blood lineage of A and E from God's to Satan's, then the 3 day ceremony is just as valid. The DP says that spirit cannot grow or change apart from Physical action, thus without the 3 day ceremony being commissioned by the True Parents, then the physical path to "change of lineage" is closed. You can break bread in church all you want, you can drink wine in church all you want, but you cannot undo the act of Satan motivated sex without an act of God motivated sex. You cannot undo an act of physical satanic sex with another act of self-centered sex. You cannot undo a tradition of satan motivated, by swapping one satanic sexual motive for another satanic sexual motive.

IF True Parents are not the author of, and reason for, the ignition of our sexual relationships, then our relationships are not a part of, are not an expression of heavenly tradition. Under these conditions we have no basis to stand in front of the cosmos and say that our sexuality (and it's product) is of God. This means that our lineage and identity do not stand up against the winds of satanic accusation. This means that the angels will continue to not believe in us. We will not be lords and our offspring will not be lords. This path is physical.

It seems natural to want to change your parents rules without knowing why they created those rules to begin with. Even though it's "normal", it's still not mature. In like manner, to unwittingly change the prescribed physical parameters of the blessing process, is to become the author of a different physical process. For those who are not owners, changing the process unwittingly, will change the nature of the vitality elements, and it will change the nature of the tradition of passing these vitality elements. This means that we are taking it upon ourselves to change the lineage to suit our will, intellect and desire. And what's different about that, than what Lucifer coaxed Adam and Eve into doing? We cannot say that the vitality elements from two different acts are equal. And we cannot say that the vitality elements from 2 different motivations for the same act are equal. You are getting push back because you are knowingly or

unknowingly proposing to change the nature of the vitality elements that are infused into the spirits of believers hence forth.