

Response to Freedom Society Critique

Jamal D. Johnson

June 2, 2014

This is a response to a freedom society critique that can be found on the appliedunificationist.com website

- 1) You cannot judge the trueness of (a) statement(s) by employing prejudice toward the speaker of the statement(s).
- 2) The archangel is a servant. A servant can be good or bad. Calling any system "Archangelic" is NOT the same as calling it inherently evil. The church is just as much a tool, just as Archangelic, as the government. It can be good by keeping its position or it can be bad by subverting its stakeholders. Neither the government or the church are more valuable than its members/constituents.
- 3) We already have a pattern in the fall story of how the worker (Archangel-sort of butler and nanny position) seeks to overthrow the entity (Child of God) which gives the worker his purpose in the first place. This is the error of the archangel, an error that he needn't make if true owners are present. And we already have a pattern to draw from that shows how an Archangelic mindset (When under the stress of a "lack of love" and "lack of significance" mentality) can even plot to ensure that juvenile heirs/owners never come into their own.
- 4) Just because the archangel has the potential to be good doesn't mean that he hasn't been bad.
- 5) All one need do is watch the three conclusions of Hyung Jin Nim's last 3 sermons to see that Freedom society is Headwing. Hyung Jin has effectively connected the dots between Absolute Sex, Child Empowerment, women's empowerment, family empowerment, and natural organic pruning of the archangels wings that only happens when we have healthy family. The 3 sermons stand as 3 examples of how the micro level (family health and absolute sex marriages), affect the macro (limiting of the power of Government, and affecting culture). It takes spiritual stamina to look at the whole picture, but that is exactly what father did every Sunday. And we all know that it was so grueling that some would be sleeping in those lectures. Father would tie the macro down to the micro and end up talking about sexual organs even though he mentioned quite a bit about politics in the beginning. Or sometimes he started with talking about the garden of eden and wound up talking about world level politics. So why rake the sons over the coals for emulating their father. Can they improve? Sure! But are they on the right track? Heck YES!

To my understanding Headwing sees the right as masculine/vertical and the left as feminine/horizontal. We don't want a divorce between right and left. We don't want the right to act like a fallen Adam or the left to behave like a fallen eve. We want a happy marriage. Kook Jin likes to talk about the masculine side of "Putting the servant in his place because he (the servant) has forgotten his role", while Hyung Jin brings in the horizontal emotionomics (I made up that word some years ago- don't steal it with out giving me credit thank you very much) of happy healthy family. The two of them (Kook Jin and Hyung Jin) are totally united. Father called them the true Cain and Abel of the True Family. The fact that they are united is good. They are working out the kinks. We should hope that they complete their training and become strong representatives of heaven.

The idea that you cannot have a "Freedom society" without a strong family culture is what they are ultimately promoting. You might think that they needn't discuss politics to do that and I'll say that you really don't see the big picture. I know many members who flat out fail to see the connection between the

health of their marriages and world peace, or between the health of the absolute sex relationship and world peace. They still think that sex is simply a private act. How shameful to listen to Father decade after decade talk about sex organs and dismissively conclude that Father was simply being sensationalist with regard to a merely private act, sex. I wouldn't say it if it wasn't true, and I would say it if it wasn't ultimately detrimental, but some folks are not seeing how the dots are connected. Both our sex and our marriage and our families are supposed to generate a surplus of love energy that we feed to the world. This surplus allows temptation to bounce off of us., and this phenomena is what has global implications.

This particular ignorance (not seeing how sex is a global matter) lends itself to the weakening of our family culture. Why? Because when we think that incidents of marital strife has a limited or containable sphere of consequences we are more likely to play with the idea of divorce when we are in difficulty with our marriages. But when we see our marriage as a "Powerhouse" (as Hyung Jin puts it) that we are meant to draw our original energy from, then we can actually start drawing energy from it. In total, we can draw energy from 1) our marriage and family, 2) We can draw energy from the fact that God gave our marriage to us by his undeserved grace, not only an act of love, but 3) as an act of trust. Through wielding the power of our marriage we are keeping all things in balance, we are truly the center of harmony. That role requires responsibility and trust and we can draw energy from that trust when we perceive it.

As Hyung Jin has been saying, when you're happy and emotionally full, you and your children don't go to the renegade archangel to get a fix of false happiness (ie sin), reminiscent of the relationship between a depressed junkie and his drug dealer. Kook Jin is just saying that the once good archangel (the US government) has become bloated and bad due to a massive abnegation of citizen responsibility. Hyung Jin is saying that that has happened due to the dissolving of the family culture. Who cares that Kook Jin incidentally sounds like other political figures. DP says that motive determines good and evil, not actions. Prejudices don't make statements true or untrue. And when these two guys are united they just might cook up something awesome in the end.