

November 19, 2015

LETTER TO ANDREW WILSON
Response to “Only-Begotten Daughter” by Andrew Wilson
by Kerry Williams

Dear Andrew,

You and I were both extremely fortunate to attend the Unification Theological Seminary, the only religious institution established by True Father in the United States. Father deeply loved the Seminary and visited us students there many times. As a biblical scholar, you have made many valuable contributions to God’s providential work. It is truly regrettable that a Unification theologian personally educated by our Father has written a paper based on such bad theology. Your essay is replete with convoluted logic that seems to emanate from a rebellious heart towards True Father. In my opinion, you have falsified core aspects of his teachings to fit a feminist agenda which is ultimately misogynist in nature.

Father says in the Cheon Seong Gyeong: “Since humankind has received satanic blood, people cannot return to God on their own. So the Messiah must accomplish absolute restoration of the lineage, renewing the bloodline that was defiled by Satan. This transition must be made. This is why the Messiah must surely come.” (CSG p. 127). Once he comes, then “God intended for this perfected Adam–Jesus Christ–to restore his bride, the perfected Eve. This would have been the restoration of the first family, lost since the Garden of Eden.” (9/18/74).

Father makes it clear that Christ, alone, is the beginning point of freeing humankind from Satan’s sinful bloodline. Only he and Jesus were born from a different seed, the seed of the God of Night. Everyone else, including Hak Ja Han, was born with a sinful bloodline. Father’s words on October 1, 2003 confirm this: “Mother was born from the lineage of the fallen archangel.”

This concept appears to make you very uncomfortable. You spend the majority of your essay trying to disprove this core tenet of our faith. You say that if Father was sinless and Mother was sinful, then:

- 1) She must not have any merit of her own.

My response: Of course she has merit. In spite of coming from a fallen lineage, she was still created by God with an original nature of goodness. And for most of her life, she faithfully attended Father.

- 2) There’s no way Father and Mother could have a marriage of true love because their essences are so different.

My response: True love is unselfish love. If you’re not perfect, it’s hard to have truly unselfish love for someone. It is possible to have true love to the degree of your purity. Father loved Mother with a pure heart. Mother did love Father, but because her love was not always unselfish it was subject to satanic invasion.

- 3) We deny the truth that Mother's heart was entirely in alignment with God's from a young age.

My response: Father confirmed that Mother had a special relationship with God as a child, but he never indicated that her heart was "entirely in alignment" with Him. That is simply your opinion which conveniently fits your predetermined conclusion.

- 4) She cannot be a bride of Christ, because Christ is perfect and Eve (i.e. Mother) is a sinner. They would be too far apart to relate with one another.

My response: You miss the reason why God sends the Messiah. Becoming a bride of the Messiah is the very means by which women leave the realm of sin. When we love Christ as his bride, he is able to grasp us with a force stronger than the force which is holding us in the realm of Satan. Mother was to have set the heartistic standard of loving Father, the returning Christ, for all of womankind.

You suggest that Father was in fact born with original sin. His lineage might have been distinguished, but his family was ordinary. Jesus, on the other hand, had both a stellar ancestry *and* family which enabled him to be born free from sin. He imputed his sinless foundation to Father on Easter morning in 1935. A retroactive sinlessness, if you will.

You then argue that this "grandfathered" sinlessness should also apply to Mother when she accepted her position as bride of the Messiah at age 17.

The logical inference, of course, is that Mother equals Father in the sinless department.

But in 2014, Mother affirmed that while Father's lineage was changed when he met Jesus, her lineage was actually changed while in her mother's womb. So, according to Hak Ja Han, it is she who holds the messianic trump card.

This is a brand-new version of the Divine Principle which does not ring true for me. In fact it stinks to high heaven.

You say there is a "higher bar" for Mother to be considered "only begotten" because she is a woman. I would respond by saying that there is a different bar for Mother, not a higher one. The mission she accepted from God was to walk the reverse path that led Eve to fall with both the archangel and Adam. Rather than multiplying the satanic lineage of the archangel, she should have humbled herself to God's lineage through Adam. Tragically, Mother's continual uplifting of the Han lineage, while remaining conspicuously silent regarding her bridegroom's lineage, indicates she has embraced the archangelic bloodline. In short, she stuck with the snake.

You name Adam as the one who defined reality for Eve in the Garden of Eden after the fall. He was demeaning towards her and made things worse than they needed to be. If only Adam had been more compassionate and less accusatory, he would have set the historical precedent

for men to be more loving and less hostile to women. Instead, Adam created the fallen tradition of castigating women as lewd and wanton by his treatment of Eve after her first sexual transgression.

With all due respect, Andrew, have you forgotten that there was someone else in the Garden? It was not Adam who defined Eve's reality after the fall, but Lucifer. His initial seduction of Eve transformed her world from one of love and peace to a reality fraught with fear, guilt, anxiety and dread. The truth is that both Adam and Eve failed in their respective responsibilities as God's son and daughter. It is deceitful for you to place blame solely on Adam.

The fact that women have suffered terrible injustice throughout human history does not change the reality that Eve betrayed God in the Garden of Eden. We are free to make our choices in life, but we are not free from the consequences of those choices. Heavenly Father made the consequence of the fall clear to Eve when He said "Your desire shall be for your husband, and he shall rule over you." The crooked trajectory of fallen love was set by Eve's fornication with Adam. She became trapped into loving a man who was dominated by the spirit of the archangel. The historical suffering of women has been caused by the fact that we have not been able to receive love from the true sons of God. Hence the need for Christ, the true lover of our soul.

You believe that due to True Parents' cosmic victory on Foundation Day, Mother has earned the right to define herself however she wants. You joyfully proclaim that Hak Ja Han's fulfillment of her role as True Mother of humankind has ushered in a new age of freedom for women. You applaud her victorious emergence from Father's shadow and proclaim that we have finally been freed from the "outmoded Christian concept of a male Messiah." The Gospel according to Wilson teaches that the only begotten daughter of God is a perfect moniker for this self-actualized woman who is the glorious manifestation of God's femininity.

But surely you know, Andrew, that not all Gospels deserve to be canonized.

The truth is there was no victory for True Parents on Foundation Day. It was in fact the day the mystery of Babylon was revealed, and Mother secured her historical position as the betrayer of Christ. Clad in purple and scarlet, she eradicated the Messiah's priceless gift of the 8 Great Textbooks by officially replacing them with the 3 Holy Scriptures of the Han Mother. It was the day the bride knocked the bridegroom off the top of the wedding cake.

The heresy has continued to flourish in the FFWPU since Foundation Day. Virtually all of the traditions established by Father have been altered or deleted, despite explicit instructions given before his passing to not "touch one word" of all he had painstakingly established with his tears, sweat, and blood.

A zenith point of apostasy was reached last month when Mother spoke these words publically: "The last 2000 years of Christian history was to make the foundation to find the only-begotten daughter...God's providence was to find the only-begotten daughter..."

400-800 B.C. in Korea was a kingdom of the Han tribe, which spread through all of Korea and Japan. It is the root ancestry of Korea and Japan. The whole foundation of the Korean peninsula was to await the birth of the Lord of the Second Advent, the only-begotten daughter.” (10/24/15). She made no mention of Father.

But True Father is the eternal center of True Parents! Hak Ja Han is able to stand as True Mother only as long as she orbits True Father in their relationship. True Parents are only True Parents if the bride of the Messiah is united with the Messiah. It was Father who said “In this world man stands in the position of king. King is subject. Woman is not subject, no matter how proud a position she possesses the object cannot control the subject.” (4/18/96).

True gender equality is achieved when men and women fulfill God’s plan for them. Adam was created to become God’s body, and Eve was created to be God’s wife. God created man and woman with these positions not because He favored one gender over the other, but because it was the perfect means by which He could experience true love.

In restoration, then, God’s wife (fallen Eve) would become one with God’s body (unfallen Adam) through disappearing into the DNA of Christ. As mentioned earlier, Mother’s job was to deny her own bloodline. How Hak Ja Han would have been adored throughout history by lifting up the lineage of her Lord and Savior! God had no need for her to become a great leader after Father passed. Hyungjin nim and Yeonah nim were already firmly established as True Parents’ successors. Mother’s mission was to support the victorious Cain and Abel of Father’s blood lineage. In doing so, all the honor and glory coming to the Messiah would have also naturally come to her.

Rather than promoting an “outdated male Messiah,” we at Sanctuary Church are promoting the establishment of True Parents as God intended. Yes, Eve did need to depend on Adam for her restoration. Rather than seeing her dependence on him as a weakness, it should be understood as the path of Eve’s salvation. She still would have maintained her own, personal relationship with God as her parent to guide and strengthen her as she went through the painful process to restore her fall with Lucifer through unfallen Adam. Eve’s victory of returning to God through Adam would have been rightly hers. Once having achieved unity with her true subject, Eve would have been free to explore and express the multi-faceted femininity and motherhood of God.

A powerful argument for Father’s genetic-altering sinlessness can be found in the course of the “Six Marys.” It is public knowledge that Father had sex outside of his marriage to Hak Ja Han. In my opinion, the phenomena of the “Six Marys” makes Father’s role crystal clear: As pure Adam, he was responsible to give his sinless seed to all descendants of the fall. By imparting his godly seed to women representing major cultural/religious spheres of the world, he made the necessary condition to engraft all of humankind into God’s bloodline. The Principle teaches that the Messiah must come again to bring physical salvation as well as spiritual.

Although Hak Ja Han was made aware by Father of this providential necessity before her Blessing, it became a “thorn in the flesh” that festered and turned into deep resentment

against him. She considered his behavior shameful, and admonished him that if not for her, he would have “had babies from many different bellies.” Primary sources testify that Father’s jaw “dropped to the floor” when Mother accused him of coming from a fallen lineage.

How utterly tragic after so many years of loyalty and sacrifice, Hak Ja Han should have abandoned her role as True Mother! Her false accusations towards Father have caused him even more suffering. Surely we Unification women should cry out in repentance, for we have all fallen short to love and attend our Christ who gave his life over and over again so that we might live.

Misogyny can only end when both men and women recognize its source. Hatred against women began with the archangel who took God’s bride for himself. Lucifer’s fall with Eve stole her divinity, transforming her from the daughter of God into the daughter of a servant. Because Satan is the root of misogyny, then Christ, the son of God, is the true liberator of women.

Your feminist agenda points us in the wrong direction. Because of Mother’s multitudinous transgressions, women need to come even closer to Father. Not out of weakness, but out of realizing where our source of strength lies. Loving Father is the same as loving God, the origin of all life and love. This is why he said to us:

“You must center on Father more than on any other man in this world. You would see the reality of this if you could look through the spirit world. Unification Church women who are not like that are far away from being true members. I am in the position of father, older brother and, in a spiritual sense, bridegroom to you. Father has that heartistic standard. I must restore, centering on this body, the fact that the archangel fell spiritually. In this you must absolutely obey Father’s words. Will you or will you not?”

(Blessing and Ideal Family, p. 483)

Sincerely,

Kerry Williams