

Consider the divergence between the DP and the Moon family's speeches

Jean-Jacques Elie
September 21, 2018



Scrutinizing proclaimed Messiahs' infallibility. Has "noon time without shade" finally arrived for the Moons' "Principled words"? Or should we indefinitely keep studying the UM teachings solely with the ever-changing mandatory lenses given by the Moons to carefully avoid the unveiling of their inner contradictions? Can we openly question their "cosmic proclamations" with observable recent history and serenely confront their lack of accuracy with objective facts? Is it possible to undeniably validate what non-Unificationists may perceive as mere fabricated legends?

When the "perfect truth" needs to be constantly re-interpreted it means, in my opinion, that it's far from being clear and perfect.

Clean water does not need to be filtered anymore, unlike the numerous speeches from Rev Moon who said so many things and the opposite.

Dr Tyler Hendricks reported last year on Applied Unificationism: "Through database searches of Rev. Moon's speeches, Unificationist scholar Dr. Jin Choon Kim found numerous examples of divergent statements. On some topics, Father Moon's words are 100% consistent. On others the divergence is 95% to 5%, 80% to 20%, and as much as 50%-50%."

No wonder that anyone can then find the meaning that suits him/her the best. This is why there exist at least 3 different "Unification theologies" just within the Moon family. When those who "know" the perfect truth have to constantly comment "Father meant...", "It was not Father's intention to...", and of course the magic "if..., if..., if...". Within each of the "Unification movements" (!), apologist theologians really have to greatly twist their neurons to make sense out of all this mess.

And now if we steadily consider the divergence and contradictions between the Divine Principle and the Moon family's speeches, is not the challenge even greater?