

# Truth is My Sword

## Round 1



# TRUTH IS MY SWORD

Testimony of  
COL. BO HI PAK  
at the Korea Hearings,  
U.S. Congress

The Capitol Hill Korea hearings have been big news recently and most Americans have heard something about them. Among the Committees in Congress holding hearings on the subject of Korea is the House Subcommittee on International Organizations, chaired by Donald M. Fraser (D-Minn). Since February 3, 1977, Congressman Fraser has held formal hearings exploring certain aspects of Korean-American relations. His Subcommittee has interviewed hundreds of witnesses and generated numerous headlines, but it has *not* been a balanced investigation. In the worst tradition of Congressional hearings, the Fraser Subcommittee has targeted individuals and organizations in order to discredit them, and ultimately, to disrupt Korean-American relations.

One of the prime targets of the investigation has been Reverend Sun Myung Moon's Unification Church, which this Subcommittee has attacked without regard to constitutionally guaranteed religious and associational rights, or even the rules of evidence. As a Special Assistant to Reverend Sun Myung Moon, a member of the Unification Church, and a Korean, and the head of the anti-Communist Radio of Free Asia and the Korean Cultural and Freedom Foundation, Col. Bo Hi Pak was singled out by Congressman Fraser for special attention. He was accused of being an agent of the KCIA and called before the Subcommittee to answer its questions.

This document, *Truth Is My Sword*, is Col. Pak's reply to accusations which have been indiscriminately leveled against him, the organizations which he heads, his church and religious leader, Rev. Sun Myung Moon, and his homeland of Korea. *Truth Is My Sword* includes his testimony on three separate occasions in the Spring of 1978.

At the first hearing Col. Pak refutes the Subcommittee allegations; at the second hearing he protests the illegal actions of the U.S. Congress and the State Department in the investigation and pleads for restitution; in the third hearing he turns the tables on the Subcommittee Chairman and investigators. The evidence and arguments he presents raise the question as to who will be seen as guilty and who will be known as innocent in the eyes of God, the world, and history—they or he?

*Truth Is My Sword* is an historical testimony which clarifies much that has not been revealed before about the nature of the Korean-American investigation, the activities of the U.S. Congress and Executive Branch, and the beliefs and works of the Rev. Sun Myung Moon.

April 21, 1978

Mr. Chairman, Honorable Congressmen, Members of the Staff, Ladies and Gentlemen.

I would like to make it clear that I have been resisting this investigation adamantly. Why? Not because I have something to hide—not because I am afraid of exposure—but because of the way this investigation was motivated and conducted— because of the lack of objectivity and sensitivity to what kind of impact this investigation is making upon many innocent people, not only in this country but around the world.

My noncooperation with this investigation was not a blanket refusal. I was wholeheartedly cooperating with this investigation in the beginning. In fact, at the beginning of this investigation I actually volunteered information of the Korean Cultural and Freedom Foundation, Inc. (KCFF), Radio of Free Asia (ROFA) and the Unification Church to the Chairman. On June 9, 1976 I voluntarily made an appointment with you, Mr. Chairman, and I came in with bundles of information. I voluntarily briefed you and answered all your questions at your office. Your assistant Mr. Richard Mauzy, was present. And if I remember correctly, both you and Mr. Mauzy took scrupulous notes throughout the conversation, and I left supporting documentation with you at that time.

Furthermore, I also volunteered to meet with the Public Integrity Section of the Justice Department, Mr. Paul Michel, when I was implicated with the so-called Tongsun Park's bribery case. We had an honest, open and candid discussion. I voluntarily laid out my side of the story. It was a very good, heart-to-heart discussion and that was the end of my relationship with them. I have not heard from them since.

On October 29, 1976, when the *Washington Post* first exploded with the Tongsun Park case, my name was mentioned as a participant in an alleged Blue House meeting to formulate the master plan for influence buying in Congress. I was in Europe at the time and heard this news in London. I immediately cancelled all my business appointments and flew directly back to Washington, D.C. Two days later, on November 1, 1976, I had a press conference at the Washington Hilton and fully stated my position.

It has been a long-standing principle of mine to stand up and face a situation rather than run away from it. I would rather face any charges against me and openly defend myself rather than run away in a corner and hide or withdraw. I have always lived according to this principle because I believe in the things I have done and I am not ashamed of any of them. I am proud of what I have done in my life.

However, I resisted this Committee's investigation all the way since I first discovered there is no genuine motivation in search of truth. Even if it were sincerely motivated and its concerns genuine, the pattern of conducting the investigation has been irresponsible and damaging so as to hurt and abuse many, many innocent people, particularly my revered spiritual leader, Reverend Sun Myung Moon and all members of the Unification Church throughout the world. So in this opening statement I cannot help but take a little time to let you know, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Committee how much harm has been done already. But before I do that I want to explain my motivation, so you can see the facts in the right perspective.

#### **Brief Testimony of My Life**

Before any action, there is always motivation. Before any visible consequence, there is an invisible cause. This is known as the law of "cause and effect." My actions are no exception to this law. Everything that I have done in this country, or elsewhere too, had a deep motivation. I want to plead with this Committee and you, Mr.



Chairman, to try and grasp my motivations. In order for you to understand my motivations I cannot help but present a short testimony of my life.

On June 1, 1950 I entered the Korean Military Academy, equivalent to the U.S. West Point, for four years of high-caliber military training. Here the best training was made available for the future military leaders of the Korean Army. I was 20 years old at the time, unmarried and burning with zeal and hope as a young cadet that "one day I will become a general." This dream, however, only lasted 25 days. On June 25th this young cadet found himself already in the middle of war without even knowing how to handle an M-1 rifle. Communist North Korea attacked that day and the Military Academy cadets were the first troops committed to stop this overwhelming invasion, which was an impossible task. Within three days of battle our 330 classmates were reduced by two-thirds. Of my classmates, 220 died without ever receiving even a rank or serial number.

Soon afterwards we were sent to Pusan, rushed through eight weeks of training, commissioned as second lieutenants, and sent quickly back to the front-line war zone as platoon leaders. At that time platoon leaders were consumed like Kleenex tissues and I lost more of my friends in the first week of combat defending the Eastern Sector of the front lines.

In 1951 the Red Chinese Army entered the war. They launched what has come to be known as the "Spring Offensive of 1951." Our division was totally outnumbered and instantly smashed by the enemy. Most of our division combat team had no time to escape and were left behind enemy lines. I, along with a few other soldiers, were hiding in enemy territory and knew that the end had come. I



knew that it was just a matter of time before we were found and killed. I saw no hope. We were hiding in the mountains without food or means of communication for many days. Then one day we saw a long line of enemy soldiers retreating back to the North, and many of them were wounded and limping. I instantly knew something was happening. I saw my first glimpse of hope. A couple of days later I heard a roar of tanks from the South coming closer and closer and there they were—the U.S. Third Division had been pursuing the enemy to the North with tanks. God saved our lives.

When the U.S. soldiers greeted us, I literally kissed their tanks. I thanked God and thanked the saviors of my life—U.S. comrades in arms. This was my first personal encounter with the United States of America. America has become the savior of my life.

Since that time my respect, love and admiration for this great nation and people has continued to grow. I fought with American officers and soldiers in subsequent battles until the war ended in 1953. I pledged to God that someday God would give me the chance to repay America for saving my life.

In 1961, about 10 years later, I was honored to be selected for diplomatic duty as Assistant Military Attache at the Korean Embassy in Washington, D.C. When I arrived in Washington, the first thing I did was to write "My Tribute to America." I preserved the original mimeographed copy from 1961 and have it here with me today. I am very honored to present this copy to the Committee for your reference and I would like to quote a paragraph:

"During the Korean War in 1950 I was a soldier who fought side by side with American brothers in the most terrifying battle of distant mountains of Korea. Many times during the battle I had to witness the courageous death of many unknown American heroes—

sometimes the enemy's high explosive fire did not even leave enough to bury.

"On the Tomb of the Unknown Soldier, in Arlington National Cemetery, is inscribed:

'Here rests in honored glory an American soldier known but to God.'

"The inscription on one tomb was 'Korean War.' I whispered to myself, 'It could be one of my friends.' In great emotional shock, I prayed unconsciously for the souls of the heroes whose death I witnessed. More than 30,000 Americans died in my country and certainly most of their heroic actions were known but to God.

"For me, as for many Koreans, America is more than an ally—more than a friend. America is a big brother with whom we are tied in blood-shared lives together."

This writing is certainly a vivid manifestation of my honest feeling toward America. It was true then, and it is now America is a big brother and my second home.

Today, I am indeed a citizen of the Republic of Korea and I am an immigrant in this great nation of immigrants. Four of my six children were born in this country and they are American citizens. Certainly I have a loyalty to my heritage of Korea, but I owe my life to America. My loyalty to America is just as strong as that to my own country.

I became a follower of Rev. Sun Myung Moon in 1957. Since then I have been a faithful disciple to him and a member of the Unification Church for over 20 years. Rev. Moon opened up a totally new area of being for me. He gave me rebirth and purpose in life. Because of his teaching, God was made real in my heart and I know life is eternal. Through him I also gained vision and hope for the





world in which we live. The teaching of Rev. Moon can be summed up in two words: "Love and Sacrifice" for God and humanity.

In addition, through Rev. Moon my love for America was amplified and deepened, and my heart went beyond national boundaries. In fact, as I learned of Rev. Moon's vision of America as the chosen nation to be a champion for God in this age of world crisis, my loyalty to this nation became absolute and my desire to serve this country was lit with burning zeal.

So, Mr. Chairman, the motivation of my actions come from an inner desire to serve *God, humanity and my two beloved countries of Korea and America*. From this strong sense of service the seed for KCFF was born and founded, ROFA was launched, the Little Angels were organized, and schools were built.

From this same strong sense of service to God and humanity I witnessed and preached the gospel of Christ and love of God as revealed through Rev. Moon. My conscience is absolutely clear in making this statement. I may have made many honest mistakes, made many poor judgments, committed many foolish blunders, yet God is my witness that all I have done was from a motivation of service and love and I did nothing to destroy or harm anyone or any nation, least of all Korea or America. And I violated no law—American nor any other.

Here I am today, in the United States Congress, summoned by this august Subcommittee on International Organizations, headed by you, Mr. Chairman. I stand here not as a proud witness, but more as *the accused*—accused as an agent or spy of a foreign country, accused as a KCIA agent, accused as the running dog of a foreign government. Nothing could be further from the truth. I know I have been none of those things. Then why am I here today? Why am I singled out?

I do know one thing clearly. I am here to today because I am a Korean, a disciple of Rev. Moon and a member of the Unification Church, and a dedicated anti-Communist. "Korean" is a dirty word these days and everything "Korean" is suspect. Also, to be a "Moonie" in this country is very unpopular and the cause for anti-Communism is practically dead now. Yet, I *am* all of those unpopular things. I may look like a "fool"—even be treated as a "fool," yet, Mr. Chairman, I will not recant any of those qualifications. I am a proud Korean—a proud "Moonie"—and a dedicated anti-Communist and I intend to remain so the rest of my life.

This morning I fervently prayed before I came here, and I thanked God for giving me such inner peace and tranquility during these last two years of suffering, harassment, and persecution by your subcommittee. Yet, Mr. Chairman, though I am at peace with God and myself, too many innocent people are being hurt and damaged. Too many good works are being hampered. This *must* be stopped for God's sake.

Now let me report to you just how much harm has already been done.

#### **Destroying the Lives and Reputations of Others**

For more than two years the Subcommittee on International Organizations has been ostensibly pursuing a probe of the KCIA. However, *in those two years the committee has given the impression to the world through the press that the United States Congress is investigating the Unification Church of Reverend Sun Myung Moon*. The *Washington Post* stated this clearly in an article on March 19, 1977, that the Fraser Subcommittee "plans to examine further ties between the South Korean government and the Unification Church of the evangelist Sun Myung Moon."

This subcommittee has allowed unsubstantiated allegations and so-called evidence to receive wide press coverage while the sworn testimony indicating the innocence of Rev. Moon and the Unification Church has been totally ignored. By taking this openly prejudiced position, this committee has publicly discriminated against the Unification Church and has needlessly damaged the worldwide work of the Unification Church. Because of this committee, the Unification Church already stands accused, judged and condemned in the eyes of the world without a trial, even though no substantial evidence of any wrongdoing or any connection to the KCIA has ever been proven in this subcommittee or elsewhere.

Here in this committee, Mr. Chairman, you have stated that you are not investigating the Unification Church, and you say that this committee is going to honor absolute individual rights on the First Amendment to the Constitution. However, once allegations of this committee have leaked out and reached the public via the press, the allegations become *a matter of fact* rather than allegations. Out there, in the eyes of the public, I am *already condemned as a KCIA agent*. Bo Hi Pak is no longer an alleged KCIA agent, but *is an agent*. You may have heard a speech by Dr. Jeffrey Stein, Institute for Policy Studies at the Conference of *Japanese and U.S. Parliamentarians on Korean Problems*, because you were a member of that conference. Even a scholar such as he said, "Bo Hi Pak, Reverend Moon's right hand man and a KCIA agent, according to former KCIA Director Kim Hyung Wook." You know Kim Hyung Wook said in his testimony to you that I am "*not a KCIA agent*." Did you ever bother to correct him? All over the world people understand already that I am an unmitigated KCIA agent. In all the world capitals it is being repeated as *fact*, and they refer back to this committee as the source.

You have absolutely no idea how this kind of character assassination damages the individual lives of members of the Unification Church. I am indeed one of the victims of this committee and my family has been condemned also. My children have been ridiculed and accused at school. My house has been egged by a mob and threatening phone calls have plagued the peace of our home. My honor and my livelihood have already been destroyed without my having even gone to one trial.

Yet this is not all. I am particularly anguished over the fact that the noble work of a man who came to this country to preach the Gospel has been so misunderstood and mistreated. Rev. Sun Myung Moon preached in every state and most major cities in America numerous times in the last several years. I believe his work is unparalleled by any religious leader in this country. His vision ignited so many disillusioned American youth, and he became a symbol of hope to them. But now his divine work has suffered under this unfounded, vicious attack. The damage is all the more serious because since it is happening in America, its impact is not confined to America alone. American news travels everywhere. Rev. Moon's disciples and missionaries in 127 countries are being falsely accused due to these false allegations.

Millions of innocents around the world, known as "Moonies," are practically looked upon today as KCIA dogs as they try to bring witness to the world of their religious faith. On the streets and in the homes it is the daily diet of our members to live amid such scornful ridicule and threats. Oftentimes people call to them on the streets, "Hey 'Moonie,' you KCIA dog. How much do they pay you?" It is a testimony to their faith that they remain members through all of this. It is like trying to swim across a river with a block of cement tied to

their feet. Whether you accept it or not, the fact remains that the manner in which this committee has conducted their investigation has been the chain that locked the cement around our members feet—and only this committee can take that chain off, by being honest, fair, objective and sensitive to others lives and their human rights, and *correcting the false allegations which the press prints*. This committee has not done any of those things.

Recently, a dear young sister, member of the Unification Church and from France, named Christiane Coste, was murdered in New York. Of course I am not saying that this committee was directly or indirectly responsible in any way for this particular murder, yet I do know that it is an indication of the type of environment building around America and the world. A very dangerous climate of opinion has been created and the lives of “Moonies” all around the world are threatened by it. I live with constant danger over my life and Rev. Moon feels imminent danger around him. He and his family are no longer safe in this nation which is considered to be the most civilized nation in the world. There is a mounting feeling that “Moonies” are to be laughed at, abused and used as handy scapegoats for all the possible evils of America.

If this climate continues you have no idea how many innocent and precious lives will be martyred. And this committee’s investigation is certainly adding fuel to the fire. All in all, I am anguished and concerned because the work of this committee is hampering the work of God and the coming of His Kingdom and righteousness is being delayed. Without firing a single bullet or even shedding one drop of blood, this committee may be proven in history as the worst disservice to God and this great country of America.

#### **Destroying the Reputation of Our Church**

March 15, 1978, just a few days ago, was a disastrous day for the Unification Church. This committee made the headlines by releasing U.S. Intelligence reports of 1970 from Seoul to Washington. I was utterly dumbfounded when I read in one report that the Unification Church was founded in 1961 by Jong Pil Kim, Director of the KCIA, as a political tool of the Korean Government. You know deep in your heart that this is not true, Mr. Chairman.

Rev. Moon received a revelation from God in 1936, about 25 years prior to the creation of the KCIA. That was the spiritual founding of the Unification Church. And the Unification Church was officially chartered in Seoul on May 1, 1954, by Rev. Sun Myung Moon, seven years prior to the time President Park and Honorable Jong Pil Kim came into power in 1961.

I myself joined the Church in 1957. By 1958 we had our Church established in Japan and in 1959 it was established in the U.S.A.

The early members of our Church struggled long and hard, at great personal sacrifice. The first missionaries to America came here, as I have said, in 1959, years before the world ever heard of Kim Jong Pil. Ask these early members if they endured so much for the sake of anyone other than God Almighty. What an insult to their faith!

A noted theologian and scholar, Dr. Frederick Sontag, recently made an in-depth study of the Unification Church for the Methodist publishing house, Abingdon Press. To get the real story of the founding of our Church he visited Korea. Let me quote here from his book *Sun Myung Moon and the Unification Church*. Speaking of the founding of the Unification Church in 1954, Dr. Sontag writes: “In my travels, study and interviews, I came to firm conclusions: The movement is genuinely spiritual in its origins...” (Page 195) And, “As nearly as I could determine from my tour of Korea, the story of

humble origin, imprisonment and suffering is substantially true.” (Page 78)

I would like to present you with a copy of this book for your reference.

And I want you to know—why wasn’t the evidence from Dr. Sontag’s study included with the documents released by this Subcommittee? Why wasn’t the testimony of others, Korean and American, balanced against this one, unverified CIA report? Why wasn’t other CIA material released as well? I am sure there are documents at the CIA, perhaps even in the possession of this subcommittee, which repudiate this allegation.

You know, Mr. Chairman, that this accusation of Jong Pil Kim founding the Unification Church is not true. It is the same thing as saying that Christianity was founded by Julius Caesar. Or better still,



can you in your wildest dreams, imagine William Colby or Richard Helms, former Directors of the CIA, setting up a religion as a vehicle for American foreign policy. Would the followers be called “Colbyites” or “Helmies”? Nothing in the world could be more incredible than to suggest that the Director of the KCIA, or your own CIA, could even establish a church like the Unification Church. I am shocked that you even entertain the notion. And if anything destroys your credibility in the eyes of the world, then this surely will.

I will bet that even the U.S. CIA would not dare to come before this committee and swear that the report you have released is true and accurate. You know it is a lie. Then why is the U.S. Congress, in the person of Honorable Donald Fraser, giving this pseudo-truth authentication? Why is this kind of lie unleashed to the world without checking its validity? Do you have any idea how much damage this

kind of lie does to the Unification Church and to each and every one of its members? The very next day after you released this material newspapers all over the world made front page headlines similar to the *Washington Star*—“Moon’s Church Founded By Korean CIA As Political Tool Panel Says.”

The only paper that expressed a fair, balanced objective view so far is the *Washington Post* in the person of Chuck Babcock in an article on March 16, 1978: “The report was labeled as unevaluated, however, and it is generally believed that Moon founded his Church in 1954, before President Park came to power and the KCIA was founded.”

I truly respect his objective journalism.

Now, just look at what the *New York Times* did to us in an article on March 16, 1978 by Richard Halloran, titled: “UNIFICATION

reports legitimacy. All the papers of the world need to print is one line . . . “The *New York Times* said.”

Mr. Chairman, how much more must we suffer because of this committee? Tell me, Mr. Chairman, why did you do this to us? On March 15, 1978 this committee did such harm, you cannot begin to imagine. The U.S. Congress literally christened us as KCIA tools. When any genuine religious organization is associated in the public eyes as a tool of the KCIA or any CIA, that is the ultimate blow—there is nothing more you can do to try and destroy it.

On March 15 you nailed Rev. Moon’s name and the Unification Church to the cross. You crucified us. Rev. Moon and I prayed as Jesus prayed: “Forgive them for they know not what they do.” Yes, we genuinely prayed. Now one week later I have come here to resurrect his name. Rev. Moon must be resurrected and the Unification



CHURCH CALLED SEOUL TOOL House Panel Releases Documents Linking Sun Myung Moon to Korean Lobbying Efforts.” It says: “A House investigating subcommittee today released intelligence reports asserting that the Rev. Sun Myung Moon’s Unification Church was founded by a Director of the Korean Central Intelligence Agency, Kim, Jhong Pil, as a political tool in 1961.” There are two steps involved in this process:

Step #1—This subcommittee, in the powerful name of the U.S. Congress, gave unqualified authenticity to a so-called Intelligence report, which is trash, total lies and distorted and vicious in nature.

Step #2—It was carried in papers like the *New York Times* which has never treated Rev. Moon objectively; yet the *New York Times* is quoted throughout the world like the Bible, thus giving the

Church must be resurrected. This is apparently the right season for it. Easter is coming in a few days.

But even Jesus did not have to deal with the *New York Times*. Today, our job of resurrecting the name of Rev. Moon is infinitely more difficult because of papers like the *New York Times* which have crucified Rev. Moon on a worldwide level.

Mr. Chairman, the Unification Church was founded by God, not by man. Rev. Moon is just His chosen instrument. It may appear to the world that our doomsday has come, but just as Jesus flourished ever more after the resurrection and the Christian Church became stronger than ever, so will the Unification Church. How do I know this—because I heard the voice of God. And the Unification Church is founded by Him, and God and His truth is eternal and no man can put it asunder.

### Rev. Moon is the Target

As one reads the Public memorandum of April 14, 1977 of this committee, it becomes obvious that this committee's main target is Rev. Sun Myung Moon. I quote in part: "1) Operational ties between the Korean Central Intelligence Agency and organizations headed by the Reverend Sun Myung Moon."

In spite of the potential breadth of investigation, the majority of the allegations and so-called pieces of evidence in the memorandum are written in such a way that they point directly at Rev. Moon. Even when it is obvious that it is actually other people who are in question, the memorandum leaves out their names and leadingly refers every time to "associates of Rev. Sun Myung Moon." Thus, all allegations are designed to ultimately blame Rev. Moon. It is clear from the slanted wording that the Subcommittee is trying to bring all focus

many years.

Rev. Moon obeyed the message of God to come to this country in 1971 and ignite the hearts of the people of this nation and openly proclaim the message of the Kingdom of God on earth. *Will you and Christians of this nation deny that God is alive and still able to speak through His prophets? Will God's prophets still be persecuted for speaking truth which is unpopular?* The answer is yes! Rev. Moon, being a prophet of God, speaks God's truth without fear. He demands repentance, as God demands. His message is painful to accept, but therein lies salvation. America has rejected, yet some have accepted. Yet one thing is sure. Rev. Moon has created a whirlwind in this country, rekindling the American dream. And many notable theologians have been compelled to admit about the Unification Church, as stated by Dr. Sontag, "We have witnessed in



upon this one innocent man of God, even when the implications have no real relationship to him. I, as a person, and members of the Unification Church as a whole, resent and abhor this lack of courtesy toward one of the great spiritual leaders of our time.

Certainly the spirit of American law does not hold Pope Paul responsible for all the actions of his Cardinals and all Catholics.

Rev. Moon is the man who awakened to the divine call of God at the age of 16 years. He is a man who toiled and sweated his entire life in search of truth; a man who, without the help of God, would have been dead many times by the worst kind of Communist torture in the worst kind of concentration camp in North Korea; a man who has been outspoken as a modern-day prophet without fear for the wickedness and ungodliness of nations and people and was in fact tormented and persecuted by his own government in Korea for so

our own lifetime the birth, growing pains—and will see the maturity—of a new religious movement."

Is it conceivable that this man, Rev. Moon, is a KCIA agent? Can you imagine such a man working on the payroll of any one government? I tell you, the Korean Government cannot afford to employ Rev. Moon's services even if they wanted to. His service is too expensive. Besides, God has already contracted his services. No one, not the Korean Government, nor the U.S. Government can outbid or outpay God. "If you really want to use the word 'agent' " I said to one reporter, "I will help you. You can call him . . . 'an agent of God, God's agent.' "

### Is the Unification Church Political?

By trying to connect Rev. Moon and the Unification Church to the Korean CIA, this committee is actually trying to deny that the



Unification Church is a bona fide religion. You are trying to reduce it to a mere political organization. Whether or not one considers the Unification Church heretical, it cannot be disputed as a genuine religious organization. If one claims the Unification Church is political, then he must add that all churches and religions are political as well because the Unification Church is really no different in practice than the Roman Catholics, the Protestants or the Jews.

If we really look at the record, we'll see that the Unification Church has been far less political than all the American religious organizations. Then to be fair, one would have to investigate many other religious organizations as well. Perhaps he should start with the United Church of Christ and all the religious groups who demonstrated against the Vietnam War, or those groups who actually sent aid to North Vietnam. And he should perhaps investigate all the Jewish groups for potential ties to the Israeli government. And what about the Roman Catholic ties with the Vatican, or Anglican ties with England, or the ties of the Moslems to Arab states or of Hindus to India?

During the civil rights era nearly every church became involved in the political arena. Nicholas von Hoffman commented on the religious climate of the 1960's as follows in the April 9, 1977 *Chicago Tribune*: "During the 60's other religious groups—Catholics, Presbyterians, Anglicans and the like—put large amounts of money and support into the civil rights movement. There were screams then that the churches should stay out of politics. But churches don't, even when they want to stay out and sort of believe they should stay out. The intoxication of moral conviction compels them to use politics to give their beliefs the force of law."

These churches did far more than the Unification Church has ever done. What would this committee say about these activities? You cannot discriminate one church from another, can you?

If this discriminatory treatment goes unchecked it will set a dangerous precedent for future governmental prosecution of any religion which happens to have membership ties to any foreign country, or which may find itself connected through the race or national origin to any scandal, no matter how unrelated.

This is the worst kind of jingoism. It has nothing to do with the faith of a true patriot, since it is based on narrow-minded nationalism and race prejudice.

So far I have spoken in defense of Rev. Moon and the Unification Church. Now let me dwell on the defense of Korea and my own activities in the Korean Cultural and Freedom Foundation.

#### **In Defense of Korea and My Activities**

Mr. Chairman, I brought with me a simple map of the Far East. I thought it would help explain more clearly my feelings. The red areas represent Communist countries and the red dots indicate heavy Communist population. The Korean peninsula is primarily bordered by Soviet Russia, vast Red China and of course half of Korea itself is under what is probably the worst kind of Communism headed by the Kim Il Sung regime.

Outside of this perimeter is the island of Japan. As you know, Japan has no self-defense capability and the communist parties are strong in the Japanese Congress. The North Korean factions are also quite strong in Japan.

Little South Korea, with a population of 35 million people, stands imprisoned in the midst of all these overpowering communist forces and is just trying to survive.

After this map was completed, I showed it to my children and asked: "How would you feel if you lived on that blue dot, Seoul?"

Their spontaneous response was "scared!" This is precisely what we Korean people feel, "SCARED." We are *scared* of the communist takeover. We fear this happening so much that we would give our very lives to stop it. We saw our small country practically overrun by Communists in 1950. I fought that war. We have had millions killed or taken to the North. We cannot afford to have the same situation happen again. Too much blood—too much tragedy. Our hearts bleed as we remember such things. With any amount of sacrifice necessary we cannot allow another invasion from the North.

With the above situation, the Korean Government must have been concerned and irritated by the planned withdrawal of U.S. troops, announced in 1970. Of course, the Korean people were disheartened by the prospect of U.S. withdrawal. The government, as well as the people, know that the U.S. presence is vital for our national security. Both the government and the people have become very desperate and they want to do something about it. This the the natural instinct for survival.

Let me, at this point, share with you a very short heartrending story.

I had a secretary, Lynn Doerfler, who mastered the Korean language when she was in Korea. She once told me this story as a testimony of how Koreans feel toward the United States. One day she was taking a walk with another American man along the outskirts of a Korean hill. They came upon two small Korean children, about 7 and 5 years old. When the children spotted the tall Americans approaching them, the youngest was slightly scared and this little boy said . . . "Big nose people coming!" (Americans are nicknamed "big nose people" in Korea.) Then the older boy said to his little brother in a reassuring tone . . . "No need to be scared. Big nose people are good people. Without them we Koreans all die." This was the word of a seven year old in a countryside of Korea.

Lynn was utterly amazed. Of course the children had no idea she could understand their conversation. She told me that this one encounter explains more of Korea's true heart and appreciation toward the U.S. presence in Korea than any amount of speeches could possibly convey. I tell you, that feeling is universal throughout Korea, whether you are seven years or seventy years.

This is possibly still the only country you have yet to hear the slogan "Yankee go home." In 1960, during the student uprisings in Seoul, the city was in total chaos. Yet, you would always find flowers in front of the prototype statue of General Douglas MacArthur. The Korean people were careful to show that this outburst of anger should not be interpreted in any way as anger toward the U.S. presence.

So when the U.S. withdrawal became definite, everybody jumped on the bandwagon to do something to hold the friendship and attention of America. Practically a hundred different public relations campaigns were launched, not only by the government, but by many private organizations who have some connection to the U.S. There may have been some misjudgments; there may have been some overzealousness, and to me the key of today's tragedy of the so-called "Koreagate" is a result of "overzealousness and misjudgment."

Some businessmen captured the opportunity. They enjoyed a field day. All they had to do was show to the Korean government their power of influence in the U.S. Some officials might have clutched at this the way a drowning man clutches at straws. I don't know what they actually did, but I do know one thing: I do not condone any illegal activities; my principles will not permit me to



resort to methods such as buying influence with money. So I have never engaged in such activities.

But promotion of goodwill and friendship between Korea and the U.S. on a people-to-people level, yes, I certainly did, and did my utmost best. I believe in it. That was the sole purpose of the KCFF and projects like the Little Angels. I genuinely wanted to see a closer tie, closer cooperation, closer friendship on a people-to-people level between Korea and the U.S. I brought the Little Angels, a Korean childrens' folk dance troupe to this country eleven times. That was one of the most beautiful examples of promotion and goodwill between two countries.

Former Senator Fulbright, a harsh critic of ROFA, came to see them two times and his heart was melted. Even Mr. Ranard, our unfriendly State Department official, came to see then and apparently he enjoyed the performance. I have a picture of him being kissed by the Little Angels. Millions of Americans have seen the Little Angels through live performances and through T.V. I have not received one single negative comment.

In fact one U.S. Senator wrote this to us in 1976: "The program was beautiful. It was well done and it was inspirational. I am sorry that it wasn't produced on television so that every citizen of my country could have seen it. I want to thank you, your country and all who were involved in this wonderful performance."

One of the high officials of the State Department wrote: "On behalf of the Department of State and the American people, I wish to thank you for bringing your group to America and giving us such a fine salute to our Bicentennial."

A secretary on the Hill wrote: "The perfect voices which sang 'God Bless America' brought tears to my eyes. It was so meaningful for me to know that the Korean children knew one of America's most patriotic songs, singing it with such joy. I have had the opportunity to hear many choirs, but my ears were never so fortunate to experience the precious voices of 'The Little Angels of Korea.'"

Also the Australian impresario for the Little Angels wrote after the Little Angels' first tour in Australia in 1972: "I can think of no better way of trying to promote peace and goodwill amongst all nations than to have the Little Angels taking their message to the four corners of our globe."

Then, I was surprised one day to receive a letter from the U.S. Ambassador to Australia: "In my opinion, this performance did more for Korean-Australian relations than anything the Korean Embassy has done. . . . Since this was sponsored by an American Foundation, I have been wondering whether anything comparable is available from your Foundation or any other organization in the United States."

In 1971 the Little Angels were invited by the Queen of England for a Command Performance in London. The delighted Queen Elizabeth invited all the troupe to a Royal Reception after the performance, which has never occurred in the British protocol. The Little Angels have given 1600 live performances on world stages, appeared on 200 T.V. programs and appeared before over 30 heads of state as their guests.

Radio Free Asia (ROFA) was organized in the same spirit—to promote goodwill and friendship upon the common spirit of freedom and to provide some critical service via broadcasts to the oppressed millions behind the Bamboo Curtain. From the very beginning, ROFA set out to be a citizens radio for freedom, seeking no subsidy from any government except moral support. General Eisenhower gave us the slogan for ROFA—"Bridge of Truth". ROFA has

broadcast truth of the free world to victims behind the Bamboo Curtain from transmitters leased from Korea, Philippines, Laos and Vietnam before the fall of Saigon.

When the U.S. put the first man on the moon ROFA made sure people behind the Bamboo Curtain knew about its historical achievement. When the POW/MIA plight became great, ROFA launched a spectacular humanitarian crusade to arouse the world protest against inhumane treatment of POW's. And ROFA made sure North Vietnam knew the angry world opinion through 225 special programs into North Vietnam. Many senators, congressmen, government and civic leaders and POW/MIA families voiced their anger from the ROFA microphone. It was one of the most righteous humanitarian crusades in modern history. And we received "king size" thanks from the families of the POW/MIA's.

Understanding our goal, the Korean government and people supported this program of ROFA. They believed in our sincerity and knew our objectives were good for both the U.S. and Korea. I said "support", but *NOT MONETARY* support and not control. They gave us their blessing, they gave us their moral support and we were flourishing until the State Department zeroed in on us as part of a predetermined plan to destroy ROFA and KCFF as a whole.

In 1971 hell fell upon ROFA and myself. Upon the persistence of the State Department, a full-scale investigation of the F.B.I. and the Justice Department was launched. They literally brought me before the judgment seat. Simultaneously the IRS launched their full-scale investigation.

Mr. Chairman, no one could survive such investigations by two of the most powerful federal agencies, FBI and IRS, and the pressure of two almighty departments, State and Justice all at the same time. If I had done anything at all wrong or illegal, however slight, I would not have survived the 1971 ordeal. And if I had not had faith in God I would have been broken during those judgement days. Then, after a year-long investigation what did they find? NOTHING. ABSOLUTELY NOTHING. I was cleared by the FBI, IRS and Justice Departments. The IRS found nothing and sent me this letter of a "clean bill of health" referring to KCFF:

Dated: September 29, 1971 "After reviewing your activities and examining your financial records for the above years(s) we find that your federal tax-exempt status continues. The return(s) for the year(s) indicated are accepted as filed."

—District Director

On March 16, 1972 Acting Attorney General, Richard Kleindienst wrote a final letter to Secretary Johnson of the State Department:

"... you advised that the Department of State would have no objection to the Federal Bureau of Investigation instituting a *full-scale investigation* of the organization known as Radio Free Asia. . .

"The FBI investigation of that organization has been completed. Based on a review of the information made available by the CIA, the Department of State and the FBI...the evidence is insufficient to constitute Radio Free Asia as an agent of a foreign principal. . . It has also been determined that there is *insufficient evidence to establish a violation of the statutes on fraud or the mails or any other federal law which I am chosen to enforce* . . .

"This Department is contemplating no further action in the instant matter."

Richard Kleindienst  
Acting Attorney General



The case was dropped. I, KCFF and ROFA all survived the worst test and were proven innocent.

Mr. Chairman, today you are rehashing the same old material and trying to make a scandalous new case out of what has come to be "old hat." If this poor Korean man committed even one single wrongdoing, one illegal act, or made any trivial amount of fraud, I would be in jail now. I would never have survived such total bombardment. I survived only because I have not done anything wrong or illegal.

If anyone challenges that there was not a full-scale investigation on the part of these agencies or Departments, then I must ask him—just how much blood does he want?

The IRS instituted a most comprehensive investigation, the Justice Department certainly launched a full-scale investigation by both the FBI and the Criminal Division. They evaluated information from the CIA and the Department of State as well and came to the conclusion that there is no basis for any of the allegations put forth.

Those investigations were not only looking to find guilt concerning the Foreign Registration Act, but also to see if there had been any criminal wrongdoing. The Criminal Division found no evidence of fraud anywhere. They came up empty handed—they concluded there was no case.

FOR GOD'S SAKE, what more could these agencies, legally and ethically do without constituting harassment or even a witch-hunt? After all, YOU CAN'T SQUEEZE BLOOD OUT OF A TURNIP.

#### **My Feelings Toward President Park's Government**

Finally, I would like to register my correct feelings toward President Park's government.

I made it clear over and over that I was not appointed by the Park government to be a Military Attache in this country back in 1961. I was appointed by the previous *Chang Myun* Government which was disposed by President Park.

The Korean military revolution of 1961 came while I was in Washington. When that news spread, I immediately wrote a letter to the *New York Times* and they were kind enough to print a portion of my letter about the military revolution in Korea. It appears in the July 1, 1961 edition in the Letters to the Editor section. I would like to read a paragraph from that letter:

"Defends Korea's Regime: Army Officer Declares Coup Saved Nation From Communism"

"In the case of Korea, it was only after the Korean military saw that the corrupt and incompetent government was helpless in the face of indirect Communist aggression and the nation was reaching the danger point of Communist domination through subversion that the military acted to save the nation from this hopeless situation.

"I cannot say that the Korean military coup was justified under normal democratic processes. But I am convinced that the military junta gave Korea a chance for the survival of democracy at the time when Korea was at the brink of collapse."

That letter was written out of my own conviction. Writing a letter to the *New York Times* was not my duty, but I freely and voluntarily wanted to express my feelings at that time. Today, about 16 years later, my conviction is the same. I am proud that I had that vision and conviction 16 years ago. Without President Park's government our country and people may have fallen into the hands of the North Korean Communists a long time ago. I, who lived and fought

for the country of Korea, had a deadly concern regarding the chaotic, uncontrollable situation back in 1961. I feel the Korean nation and people are deeply indebted to President Park for saving them and their country from Communist takeover. We must give credit where credit is due.

On February 17, 1978, the *Washington Post* reported:

#### **"S. KOREA ECONOMY OUTSTRIPS THE NORTH'S, CIA STUDY SAYS."**

"South Korea has far outstripped North Korea in economic growth in the past decade... according to a new (U.S.) Central Intelligence Agency study... If Seoul's export markets hold up as anticipated and there is no large scale war on the Korean peninsula, the CIA predicted South Korea should emerge in the early 1980's with an economy nearly three times larger than the north's... Some U.S. observers have interpreted South Korea's growing economic strength as proof that Seoul can take care of itself."

These facts are certainly not well-known to the American public. In a few more years North Korea would not even dare to plan an outright attack on South Korea because the power difference between North Korea and South Korea would be so staggering. Was not the U.S. looking forward to the day when Korea would become totally self-sufficient and danger of war would be totally eliminated? Korea has made great achievements toward that goal.

I, as a person belonging to a religion which sees Communism as an enemy of God and mankind, and as a person who regards Korea as the Holy Land of my faith, can appreciate what President Park has done more than most. It is my feeling that it is a blessing in God's will that we have such capable leadership in Korea. The last thing I want to see is for Korea to become another Vietnam.

However, I want you, Mr. Chairman, to know that President Park's government has not in any way favored me or Rev. Moon or the Unification Church. Instead, we have had our programs harassed many times and delayed by mediocre government bureaucrats. Our burden has been great and our heart has been heavy under President Park's government.

The Korean Government is keenly aware of the Unification Church's controversy overseas and bends over backwards to prove to the world that they have nothing to do with the Unification Church. They always want to keep at an arms length away from us, so we are actually fighting just to get equal treatment as other religious organizations are normally entitled to. Sometimes they go out of their way to torment us in a political gesture to show the world that the Korean Government is not a friend to the Unification Church. Such an innocent and brilliant program like the Little Angels tour has been halted. This is such a great loss for Korea and I deeply abhor such a shortsighted policy very much.

Some might say I speak well of the Korean Government in order to win their favor. Nothing is further from the truth. Let me quote once again from Dr. Sontag: "Now, with the church's rising negative press in the United States, the Park government has pushed it to arm's length again and put their relationship on hold. Church members have trouble getting visas to leave the country now, they say, and they now talk darkly of expected repression. As this book went to the publisher, these fears were confirmed by the arrest of some of the church's leaders in Korea on the charges of income tax evasion. It is hard to see the church as joining the Park government in secret schemes at the same time its leaders are being arrested." (pages 198-199)



Rev. Moon and the Unification Church members could become rebellious against the Korean Government and believe me, it is very tempting to do just that. However, Rev. Moon always insists that we stick to our principles and says: "We are not working for any government, we are serving God and we must support Korea because God cannot afford to lose Korea to Communism. This is our religious conviction."

Many people may say, "If you are actually a Christian, why don't you oppose the Korean Government?" But I tell you, it is not for gain or favor, it is because we *are* Christians that we support the government of South Korea, as long as the freedom to worship remains. The most fundamental human right is the right to worship God. Winston Churchill once said that there is no perfect government and democracy is the worst, except for the others, but man has not yet developed anything better. No one could ever think the U.S. experiment is perfect. However, we have to choose the best and work to make it better. In the case of Korea, we must choose between Park Chung Hee and Kim Il Sung.

Do we have freedom of religion in South Korea? YES! Is there any freedom of religion in North Korea? NO! NONE AT ALL. In fact there are no other freedoms in the North either. There is no comparison between the North and South. Then our responsibility, and the responsibility of Americans as well if you truly believe in human rights, is to oppose Communism.

So even if we receive persecution from one government, we shall remain faithful to our religious principles. This is my position as well as the position of the Unification Church toward the Korean Government.

### Conclusion

Mr. Chairman, in conclusion I would like to make one final plea. Anything I have said today, however slight, that disturbed your peace, please forgive me. I said I have nothing against you personally.

The next time I meet you, you may very well be a U.S. Senator. So my plea to you today goes beyond the realm of the International Organizations Subcommittee. I am making this plea today to a human being who may well become an important leader of our time, and with a vision toward the future.

For a moment let's forget about this investigation. Forget about the KCIA. Forget about Radio of Free Asia. Let us think for a moment about "Destiny." Destiny of two countries—USA and Korea. It has been a special destiny. America liberated this one small peninsula from the hand of foreign imperial powers in 1945 and gave birth to a new nation in 1948. In 1950 the U.S., in the person of Harry S. Truman, committed to the defense of Korea from North Korean

Communist aggression. In three years America lost more than 30,000 gallant men and many more tens of thousands were wounded. America invited 15 nations to join together under the banner of the U.S. to defend this seemingly insignificant peninsula in the Far East.

All these years since 1945 America has invested 160 billion dollars in war and peace. Indeed, this indicates a special destiny. It is truly beyond human comprehension and no man could have mapped out this course. It is God-inspired and preordained destiny.

Meantime, the U.S. and the world suffered tragedy in the name of Vietnam. America lost 56,571 lives in combat and civilian personnel; another 303,650 were wounded. Over 200 billion dollars were poured into Vietnam. Today it is the lost cause and America's sacrifice was made in vain. This infamous war constituted America's first war defeat in history.

Korea is different. She has not only survived from the ravages of war, but in just one decade she performed a miracle in building a nation right in front of the hostile enemy. Exports surged from a meager \$30 million to \$10 billion in a little over 10 years. Korean people are confident that this nation of 35 million people is now emerging as one of the most industrial nations of the world.

America's 30-year-old child is becoming strong, self-reliant, and is now ready to share with the parent—America—world responsibility. After all, the son has grown up strong and ready to give a "genuine thank you" indeed.


On the other hand, there are international forces dedicated to see to it that Korea would become another Vietnam. There are people and nations who are dying to see free Korea destroyed. They are doing everything possible to guarantee this will happen. Ambassador Graham Martin, testifying before a congressional committee in regard to some of the anti-war leaders of the Vietnam era, stated they have already mapped out the global strategy saying they "... clearly indicated that the next target would be Korea."

If they should ever succeed, Korea would become another Vietnam—this would be a tragic day for the U.S. and for the world. Yes, Korea cannot survive without the U.S. because Korea's enemy is not just Kim Il Sung, but Russia and Red China as well.

But ultimately the U.S. would not survive without Korea either. Why? For two reasons. Korea is in a geographically strategic position and essential to the future freedom of the world. If Korea turns into a second Vietnam, there would be a third Vietnam and that may very well be Japan. Then who would be the fourth Vietnam?

Secondly, Korea exists as a microcosm of the world conflict. What happens to Korea will happen eventually on a worldwide scale. The destiny of the U.S. and that of Korea are interlocked. I









believe, you cannot survive one without the other. I say this not because I am trying to just save Korea, but I speak this as one who sincerely wants to see God's will succeed with the least amount of bloodshed and suffering as possible.

It is God's will that His Kingdom come on earth, as Jesus said: "Thy will be done on earth as it is in Heaven." And God cannot bring His Kingdom through Communism. God has strategically placed Korea in such a position interlocking the destiny of Korea and America together. God devised this way; it is not man-made.

Mr. Chairman, this special investigation on Korean-American relations is very much like a two-edged sword. It can do a lot of good in contributing toward future Korean-American relations, or it can do great harm. We have been gaining tremendous lessons through this investigation. Both governments and people alike will become much wiser. Through these common lessons the bonds of the two countries will be stronger.

On the other hand, this investigation has a quality to be used and exploited by our potential enemy and it might permanently cripple the relationship of the two nations, thus pushing Korea one step closer to another Vietnam. And you are holding that two-edged sword.

Mr. Chairman, there is an old Korean saying that goes "In trying to pull off the horns, you may kill the cow." And there is an American saying: "You may win the battle, but lose the war." For God's sake, please uphold the God-inspired destiny of our two nations. I plead with you that you must win the battle *and* the war. Don't kill the cow while pulling at the horns.

Thank you very much sir, for your kind listening. I am ready for your questions, or judgment. I am at your disposal. Once again, thank you Mr. Chairman.



# Round 2

Mr. Chairman:

On March 22, 1978, I honored your subpoena and gave my first public testimony. The next day in the press I learned that this subcommittee had once again betrayed my trust. The subcommittee also ignored its own rules and the rules of the House of Representatives. I have been treated very shabbily by this subcommittee and have been subjected to leaks from Executive Session.

On March 22, 1978, I indeed registered my indignation and protest against the manner in which this investigation has been conducted. Nevertheless, I tried to answer all your questions honestly and to the best of my ability. I have allowed myself to be interrogated by a hostile and very ambitious Congressman in the English language which I only learned to speak in my adult life.

What was this subcommittee's reaction? The next day following my testimony, March 23, 1978, the *Washington Post* reported: "subcommittee sources said later they did not find Pak's explanation convincing."

Apparently this subcommittee decided to label my testimony "unreliable" or "untruthful." The fact is, this subcommittee simply does not like my testimony since it does not fit your preconceived goal: to write a report saying I am a KCIA agent.

I have sadly come to understand that until I tell you something that will confirm your belief that I am a KCIA agent, KCFF is a tool of the KCIA and that Rev. Moon is a front of the Korean Government, you will continue this highly unprofessional campaign of whispers, innuendos, leaks and character assassination against me. I will not, and cannot lie just to please the subcommittee. I cannot tell you what you want to hear because it is simply *not true*.

Furthermore, you have violated your agreement to reserve claims of privilege for Executive Session. My counsel brought to this subcommittee's attention the need to resolve legal difficulties relating to the privileges of diplomatic immunity from my prior diplomatic status, self-incrimination, freedom of religion and freedom of association. This subcommittee then breached that confidentiality and reported what went on in your own Executive Session.

The Federal District Court, with the consent of the Justice Department, had no hesitation to confer immunity on me. Clearly I am not a target of U.S. prosecutors even after their 18 months of investigations. Nevertheless, the *Washington Post* has reported: "He (Mr. Fraser) appeared to be establishing a record for a possible perjury referral." Is this the hidden motivation of the subcommittee? Are you trying to trick me? American courts, I am told, have soundly condemned the tactics of setting a trap to induce a mistake in testimony in order to create a chance to prosecute someone you want to discredit. They have said such tactics "smack of entrapment." For prosecutors and lawyers such tactics are, I am told, highly unethical. I do not know about Congressmen—but principle is principle.

I testified before the subcommittee from the best of my recollection about matters dating back 15 years over the history of the KCFF. Mr. Chairman, when I look back upon my last testimony before this subcommittee, I realize that in many cases I did not comprehend your questions perfectly. After all Mr. Chairman, I am a Korean and English is not my mother tongue. After living here in America, and speaking English for over 15 years, I learned one painful truth. I learned that English is an *impossible language*. No foreigner can master it unless they are raised from childhood in the American culture. Besides, my English is allergic to a hostile person such as yourself. I realize you have trained investigators who have spent over a year steeping themselves in the minute details of

thousands of records to write out questions which you can phrase in English with the hope of leading me into error if you are so inclined. While I can answer in English, please remember that it is one thing for me to translate a speech for Rev. Moon, but it is quite another matter to match wits with this subcommittee in some kind of "game" in which the foreigner is the target of your team of lawyers who have had the benefit of the finest education in the English language and legal strategy. While they were learning the "master tricks" of interrogation at Harvard or Yale I was busy fighting a war against Communist aggression to defend my country and the free world. I would like you, therefore, to indulge me today if I need to consult with my attorney regarding your questions.

Today, however, Mr. Chairman, the most important thing I want to reveal to you is something which has just been uncovered



within the last *two* weeks. There is *new evidence* of a *conspiracy* within the United States Government. If you are a man of justice and a champion of human rights, you must hear this since it bears directly on your investigation.

You have spent a great deal of money publishing a supplement of 708 pages of various documents on the small, private organization of KCFF and ROFA. This in itself may be unprecedented in the history of the United States Congress. I think, however, the motivation is less than pure. You have bombarded me with this extraordinary compilation of documents so that you can prove beyond a shadow of a doubt that I am a KCIA agent. I declare, however, you have failed miserably. In fact, you have given me a weapon with which to fight back and prove I am NOT a KCIA agent.

Furthermore, in a way I am more than pleased because these

“secret documents” contain a time bomb which has exploded and uncovered a conspiracy of gross misuse of government power and the trampling of human rights.

#### State Department Conspiracy

The conspiracy I am speaking of was discovered because of the supplement on KCFF and ROFA, which you published March 15, 1978. What it shows is that Donald Ranard, Winthrop Brown and William Bundy, among other State Department officials, have *illegally* interfered with the work of *private organizations*, including KCFF, in order to further their own careers and to keep KCFF from gaining any thanks for its efforts to free the American POW's and MIA's. The ramifications of this State Department “conspiracy” may prove equal to the recent press revelations about the CIA, FBI and the Watergate affair.

#### One ROFA Mystery Solved

One incident that occurred in the early stages of ROFA development has puzzled me for the past 12 years.

In August 1966 I traveled to Korea with Dr. Yang, former Ambassador to Korea, and Mr. Lawrence L. Mays, the first International Chairman of ROFA. Mr. Mays had urged Dr. Yang and me to travel to Korea to launch ROFA. Actually, without Mr. Mays' promises of assistance, ROFA would never have come into being.

To our surprise, in the midst of the inauguration of ROFA Mr. Mays suddenly left Korea to return to the United States earlier than we had planned. Very strange things began to happen upon Mr. Mays' return. First, he went to the KCFF office here in Washington and took the minute books and the Corporate Seal of the Foundation. Then he went to Baltimore where he resided, and hired a prominent law firm and directed the firm to incorporate ROFA *separate* from KCFF. I have a copy of the Articles of Incorporation which were filed with the State of Maryland on August 31, 1966, which I will provide for the subcommittee's use.

When I returned from Korea to Washington I found that there was no ROFA as I had left it. In fact, there was no KCFF because the Seal and minute books were gone. To make a long story short, our able attorney corrected the situation with regard to ROFA and got back the KCFF minute books and Corporate Seal. Thus, we were able to continue the work of the Foundation and ROFA.

The great puzzle all these years, however, has been why an honorable and honest man like Lawrence Mays would do such an outrageous thing. Why did he betray KCFF and me? I knew him as a man of good character, eager to see KCFF and ROFA succeed, and I trusted him fully. I simply could not believe he would do this on his own without some ulterior motive. But I had no proof and it has remained a mystery to me since it occurred.

But at these Hearings on March 15, 1978, the mystery began to unravel. At that Hearing I saw Lawrence Mays for the first time in 12 years. After chatting for a while, I asked him why he had taken those mysterious steps back in 1966. He said something to the effect of, “I cannot talk to you now in front of everybody,” but then he pointedly added, “Do you think I did all those things on my own?” That made me even more curious.

On the evening of Friday, March 17, 1978, Mr. Mays and I met for dinner at Paul Young's Restaurant in Washington, D.C. It was there that all the pieces fell into place.

Mr. Mays told me at dinner that on the day he departed from Seoul in August, 1966, to return to the U.S., he visited the U.S. Embassy in Seoul before leaving. There he spoke with Ambassador Winthrop Brown, then U.S. Ambassador to Korea. According to

Mr. Mays, Ambassador Brown gave him the following *instructions*:

“When you get back to the U.S., as soon as possible, go and incorporate ROFA independently as your own, separate from KCFF and report to the State Department.”

Ambassador Brown gave these “instructions” to Mr. Mays and he followed the instructions precisely. He knew he could not reveal this to us, so he acted behind our backs to secure control of the ROFA. As you can see from the copy of the Articles of Incorporation, all the Korean Directors have been removed, leaving only Americans.

Can you explain this, Mr. Chairman? From the very beginning it seems, ROFA has been the ill-fated object of a State Department conspiracy. These instructions from Ambassador Brown, coupled with the documents released by this subcommittee, reveal that the State Department targeted the KCFF and ROFA for destruction from their early days.

#### Conspiracy Unraveled Through “Secret Documents”

Mr. Chairman, let me invite your attention to a memorandum to Robert C. Mardian, Assistant Attorney General, Internal Security Division, Justice Department from James C. Hise, Chief Registration Section, July 7, 1971, on *page 551* of the Supplement:

“Also enclosed with Mr. Johnson's (Under Secretary of State) letter was a secret memorandum of information regarding subject's (ROFA) background and its alleged connection with the South Korean Government.

“It is clear from Mr. Johnson's letter that the State Department considers subject a *thorn in the flesh and a potential source of embarrassment . . . it seems quite clear that what Mr. Johnson has in mind is a suggestion of some means by which to put an end to subject's activities.*”

“. . . This information also suggests that subject is a *creature of the Korean Government*, but this allegation is not established by competent evidence . . . There is no information of any relevance to this inquiry in other material.”

The author of that memo appears to be saying that the State Department is asking the Justice Department to do their dirty work. Is there any doubt that the State Department asked the Justice Department to find “some means” to accomplish their predetermined goal—*to put an end to (ROFA's) activities*”?

What else can we call this but harassment? It constituted a flagrant attempt by the State Department to “frame” KCFF and ROFA. However, this explains only part of the story. Mr. Chairman, further explanation of what happened reveals a conspiracy among U.S. Government officials to abuse powerless individuals and organizations and a systematic, relentless attempt to destroy them.

The declassified State Department documents released by the subcommittee vividly record the violation of the constitutional rights of numerous individuals.

I direct your attention to the following documents. First from the Supplement, page 471-472. This is a Memorandum of Conversation, dated September 14, 1971, entitled “*State Department Request to release certain CIA reports to the Justice Department.*” It states in paragraph 3:

“(State Department official) stated that for some time State has been concerned with Radio Free Asia (sic). The KCFF, on behalf of Radio Free Asia (sic), conducts periodic fund appeals in the U.S. and an estimated 60,000 Americans have contributed money to it. Recently in its broadcasts the subject of American prisoners in North Vietnam has begun coming up frequently and *there are implications*

that the KCFF might be able to do more to obtain their release than the U.S. Government itself. The KCFF also uses the names of prominent Americans in its fund appeals in a *probably* unauthorized manner. The State Department for a long time has desired to slow down or halt these activities. Recently Undersecretary U. Alexis Johnson and Attorney General Mitchell have been discussing ways and means of effecting the slowing down. The Justice Department position has been that if a direct connection could be shown between the KCFF and the Republic of Korea Government (ROK) then a prosecution could be brought for failure to register under the Foreign Agents Registration Act."

Let us examine more closely a very significant statement in this document. It reads: "... there are implications that the KCFF might be able to do more to obtain their (POWs) release than the U.S. Government itself." Is the State Department officer who said this saying that the Department objects to KCFF's efforts to help American POWs and their suffering families? Could the State Department be that jealous and callous?

Or is the author saying that ROFA claimed to be doing more than the American government to help POWs and their families? Neither KCFF nor ROFA ever said such a thing—not in any letter, pamphlet or broadcast. ROFA always tried to complement and support, never compete with or subvert the efforts of the United States government to obtain the release of the POWs.

Why the State Department would want to "slow down or halt" any such efforts is very troublesome.

#### **Ruthless Scheme to Destroy ROFA**

However you interpret the motives, one thing is clear: the State Department made every possible effort, regardless of the legality or morality, to put ROFA out of operation. As this memorandum admits, the State Department has "for a long time . . . desired to slow down or halt these activities."

The Justice Department, upon the insistence of the State Department, launched a full-scale investigation of ROFA and KCFF in 1971. As you so well know, and as the secret documents you released confirm, the Justice Department found nothing upon which to base any criminal action against, or even any further investigation of, either KCFF or ROFA.

At this point I would like to make clear once and for all that KCFF and ROFA never used any prominent American's name without their written consent. If any evidence to the contrary exists, please produce it now.

Next I would invite your attention to a State Department Memorandum dated September 20, 1966. This appears on page 491 of the Supplement. It is from William P. Bundy to Alexis Johnson, Undersecretary of State.

Subject: Radio Free Asia—ACTION MEMORANDUM

(It reads in part:)

3. The latest development, described in the two memoranda referred to above, could be the source of *additional confusion and problems*. Although we do not know what \_\_\_\_\_ current plans may be, we do have a copy of the official document by means of which he incorporated Radio Free Asia as a separate entity on August 30. This document lists both \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ as directors. Therefore, if a legal battle should develop between \_\_\_\_\_ and the Foundation for control of the radio project, \_\_\_\_\_ might find himself in the awkward and embarrassing position of being both plaintiff and defendant.

4. I believe that if \_\_\_\_\_ could be induced to resign from the

Foundation and sever his connection with the radio project, the result would be to dampen the enthusiasm of some of the other prominent people who have been supporting this venture. I understand that you know \_\_\_\_\_ personally and that you offered to attempt the delicate task of persuading him to take these steps. I believe that it is desirable that you do so.

Recommendation:

That you inform \_\_\_\_\_ of our misgivings concerning both the Foundation and the radio project and that you *attempt to persuade him to sever his connections therewith*.

9/23/66

This document clearly evidences two things. First, it documents the efforts of top officials at the State Department with ulterior motives to effect the resignation of an official of the KCFF. I believe the person referred to was Gen. John B. Coulter, President of KCFF at the time. Indeed, this particular part of their conspiracy was a success, as John B. Coulter thereafter resigned. Secondly, this memo shows that by no later than September 20 Mr. Bundy possessed a copy of the Articles of Incorporation which Mr. Mays had only filed with the agency in Baltimore, Maryland *twenty* days earlier. This is *more* than mere coincidence.

The following outlines the "master plan" by which the State Department attempted to subvert and destroy KCFF and ROFA over the past 12 years.

1. The State Department repeatedly insisted that the Justice Department launch a full-scale criminal investigation of KCFF and ROFA seeking to put a stop to their activities. First to attempt to justify a prosecution for failure to register under the Foreign Agents Registration Act. Secondly, to fabricate some criminal charge of fraud or financial wrongdoing, which would justify prosecution of me.

2. The State Department sought out and urged the CIA to cooperate in this investigation by supplying information to the Justice Department so that State's efforts would not fail.

3. The State Department insisted that the IRS launch a full-scale investigation of KCFF and ROFA to find some way of revoking the tax-exempt status of the Foundation so we could not raise necessary funds.

4. The State Department agitated the Korean Government at the highest possible level to discredit KCFF and ROFA to further their goal of stopping our activities.

5. The State Department approached prominent American supporters of KCFF and ROFA who wholeheartedly supported the programs, and purposefully slandered KCFF and ROFA in order to persuade these Americans to disassociate themselves from the Foundation.


6. The State Department slandered me and my religion in the worst possible way, thus achieving "character assassination" and ruining my reputation and livelihood.

7. The State Department actually took steps to effect the illegal separation of ROFA and KCFF so that it would no longer be under the control of Koreans.

These acts, blatant violation of basic constitutional human rights, only came to light because many documents, which this subcommittee has attempted to embarrass me with, prove what actually happened.

#### **Many Unanswered Questions**

Yet the most important question of all is still unanswered: Why? What are the State Department's motives? Why did it set out to





destroy ROFA? Jealously? Racial discrimination? Or did the responsible officials act on instructions from above? Is it the habit of the State Department to violate the law and abuse others to accomplish their foreign policy aims? Or is this a case of some individuals or factions in the State Department acting without proper authority to accomplish their own secret designs? No one will know until this "State Department Conspiracy" is fully investigated.

Whatever the motivations of the State Department officials involved in this harassment may be, it is clear that they abused their power. Is there any difference between abuses of power by the State Department and the abuse of power by the CIA or FBI which have been the subject of so many recent investigations by the press and Congressional hearings?

#### **The People Have the Right to Know**

Mr. Chairman, if this subcommittee is truly interested in the truth about Korean-American relations, then you must find out what happened here—you must investigate the actions of the State Department and these officials. Unless you expose the complete picture of this State Department plot, how can you say that your investigation of KCFF and ROFA is fair and unbiased? Only then will the people have the full story; only then can they be assured their constitutional rights and individual interests have been served by your investigation.

I demand an answer! Why was that blatant act of racial discrimination allowed to fester within the highest levels of the U.S. Government? I want to know why and how officials of the State Department such as Donald Ranard, Winthrop Brown and William P. Bundy were able to do such things. The Korean people want to know and the American people have the right to know the answer. You have the power to get it, and you *ought* to want to know the answer.

I am asking for a full-scale investigation of the State Department and those who were involved. I am a victim of the State Department's plan, as is ROFA and KCFF. ROFA failed in 1973 and today KCFF is virtually paralyzed. Just as importantly, we want to know how many other innocent people have been manipulated or trampled by the State Department.

Is there any guarantee that ROFA and KCFF are the only victims of the State Department? There must be a second, a third or even hundreds of ROFA's which are subverted by the State Department. I, myself, cannot tolerate any longer this outrageous action.

#### **Innocents Must Be Protected**

Mr. Chairman, in my last testimony I brought to your attention the innocent suffering of members of the Unification Church around the world. However, they are not the only people suffering. All Asian people in this country are suffering because of the so-called "Koreagate." All have been caught in the cross fire simply because Americans cannot tell the difference between Korean, Japanese, Chinese, Filipino, etc. All Asians look alike in the eyes of Americans. They are now scorned and many lives have been damaged beyond repair. Mr. Chairman, I am not exaggerating—go out in the street and talk with the Asian people—they will tell you.

I only ask this subcommittee to see that justice is done, and that it is done now. You are in the perfect position to find out what really happened here. Furthermore, you are under moral obligation to report our innocence when what is now obvious is proven to your satisfaction.

Mr. Chairman, you have heard my request. You can see the evidence to support it. Now you see in front of you a powerless man whose rights as a person have been totally ignored and whose reputation has been hopelessly smeared. If you are truly a champion



of human rights and a man of principle, I know you will get to the bottom of this matter.

#### **Definition of Witch-hunt**

If you, however, do nothing on this matter, and try to ignore this evidence, then you will establish yourself as the witch-hunter of the 1970's and this subcommittee's work will prove to be nothing more than that. In fact, on March 2, 1977, a subcommittee member warned of the danger of this investigation becoming just that. I quote:

"This unusual request for a wide-ranging, freewheeling probe of Korean-American relations calls for our subcommittee to depart markedly from its normal business and sponsor an inquest that gives every indication of becoming a 'witch-hunt' far from practical relevance to the activities of an International Relations Subcommittee."

What is a witch-hunt? The Living Webster Encyclopedic Dictionary of the English Language defines it as:

"A public investigation ostensibly conducted to detect subversion, used as a forum for arbitrary accusations by the investigators against unpopular or powerless individuals in order to acquire a reputation for vigilant patriotism."

Now, Mr. Chairman, the world is waiting to witness your decision. I had originally planned to conclude my protest and plea here. Then I read the *Chicago Tribune*, March 27, 1978.

I was momentarily consumed with anger.

#### **I Must Give You Hell**

I must give you hell, Mr. Chairman. Let me quote a couple of paragraphs from the *Chicago Tribune*, March 27, 1978:

TITLE: MOON CHURCH TRACED FROM SEX CULT



“Once secret government files released by a House Subcommittee traced the so-called “Moonie” church from its origins as a small-time Korean sex cult to a worldwide organization operated by the Korean Central Intelligence Agency.”

I quote further:

“Diplomatic cables said that the church patriarch, the Rev. Sun Myung Moon, headed the Korean cult that “interprets the Bible in sexual terms.”

Still more:

“The author of the cable quoted Thomas Chung, president of the Korean Students’ Association in Washington as saying: “Colonel

Pak was in trouble because he had attempted to initiate into his church (i.e. to have sexual relations with) the wife of a visiting ROK (Korean Government) official (either the minister of national defense or the chief of staff).”

“The cable continued: ‘According to Chung the matter had been hushed up but only with difficulty, and Pak had nearly lost his job because of it.’ ”

All the above quotes and references appear in the Supplement you published on KCFF and ROFA on pages 458, 459, 480, 482 and 497.

Who is the author of all this horrendous information? Rep. Donald M. Fraser. When you published this book of “unevaluated” information you put your name on it and became the author. This book will haunt you clear to your grave.

Mr. Chairman, when I read this article my mind and body were consumed with anger. What would happen to your reputation if someone published such lies about you? You lose everything when you lose your honor. I never claimed to be a perfect individual, without fault or error. I am subject to honest mistakes and misjudgments, just like anyone else. But there is one subject on which my conscience is absolutely clear. I have always lived in direct accordance with my moral principles.

After I read this article in the *Chicago Tribune* I called Dr. Thomas Chung in Seoul, Korea. He was absolutely shocked by this report. He told me he never said such slanderous lies to anyone, let alone a U.S. Intelligence agent. He said the report was absolutely unfounded and malicious and he had no reason to say such things. He gave me his telephone number. Why don’t you place a call to Dr. Chung right now, in the public eye and let them witness the truth from the “horse’s mouth.”

This subject of sexual immorality is one subject which no one can rightfully harass me about. I have lived a chaste life and I swear this before God in Heaven. My wife knows this and she is my first witness. My children know this and they are my second witnesses. My church members and friends in Korea and the U.S. know this and they are my third witnesses. *This is the pride of the “Moonies.” Chastity is the absolute core truth of Rev. Moon’s teachings.* In fact, in the same *Chicago Tribune* article the writer admits this, and I quote:

“Church members and investigators who have infiltrated the church in recent years say that the Moonies live by a strict moral code that forbids sexual activity outside marriage.”

Yes, even negative investigators who have infiltrated our movement had to admit this. That is why Rev. Moon, with this principle of purity and chastity, ignited a moral revolution in this country and around the world. Rev. Moon is strictly enforcing God’s morality through this revolution. The teachings of the Unification Church abhor sexual sin, adultery, lust and immorality more than any sin under the sun because God abhors these sins most. In fact, because of these lustful sins Sodom and Gomorrah were judged and destroyed with brimstone and fire. Today America is not too far away from a similar fate as Sodom and Gomorrah due to the same lustful attitudes. This is why Rev. Moon came to America and this is why Rev. Moon has kindled a moral revolution in America.

And I am Rev. Moon’s disciple. Although I am an imperfect disciple, there is one thing that is absolutely sure—I do live by God’s moral code and principles, taught by Rev. Moon, and I shall continue to do so for the rest of my life. This I know.

Yet my honor has been destroyed. *YOU DID IT.* What right do







you have to destroy me. Is that your human right? Then where are my human rights? Since I do not believe in "an eye for an eye", all I can do is pray for you. You shall surely reap what you have sown.

This is not all. This same *Chicago Tribune* article states:

"MUN, Son-Myong (sic), leader of the church was once arrested because of sexual practices of the organization."

This is a lie! You are the author of this information and you gave this lie the power of the U.S. Congress. This is the worst "character assassination" possible. You did this to the man who came to America to do the work of God and save, yes save, this country from immorality. I cannot help but believe that you are being used as an instrument of the devil. Yes, "instrument of the devil." I said it. Who else would want to destroy a man of God but the devil?

You have spent hundreds and thousands of dollars and assembled an extraordinary team of the most qualified staff of investigators and lawyers, but did you or they ever bother to verify the truthfulness of these trashy "unqualified" documents before you made them public in this Supplement? Your lawyers know very well that if a prosecutor would bring before a court of law such slanderous statements, without verification, that judge would throw them out of court.

I have in my hand, for all to see, a certified copy of the Court Record relating to the arrest of Rev. Moon in 1955. This is his one

and only arrest, and it was *NOT ON SEXUAL CHARGES*, but for "Violation of Military Draft Law," and *he was found NOT GUILTY*. Even this charge was the result of harassment by the Korean Government, whom you allege we were working for. Does that make any sense? This has been Rev. Moon's plight. *BUT HE WAS NEVER ARRESTED ON SEXUAL CHARGES*. And even the negative Korean court found him innocent of the charge of "Violation of Military Draft Law" for which he was arrested! Therefore, he was released with a *NOT GUILTY* on November 21, 1955.

Have you ever been interested in the truth? Have you ever considered the repercussions such a publication of rumors would have on the honor of a religious leader? Or does it all come under your "Master Plan"? You knew clearly what kind of headline this book would bring. You had to know it would smear the name of Rev. Moon. You are not a stupid man. Then it must be your intention to demean Rev. Moon and destroy his honor. Don't you fear God?

Jesus came to this earth as the "Son of God" and the world treated him as a "Prince of Demons"—180 degrees the opposite.

Rev. Moon comes as a "Prophet of God", living and teaching God's highest moral principles. Now the world is trying to portray him as a man of immorality—180 degrees the opposite.

Satan always uses this tactic—to discredit the man of God by accusing him of being that which he condemns. History proves this



does not work. Satan could *not* destroy Jesus with this tactic—so will it be with Rev. Moon.

Mr. Chairman, you paint yourself as a champion of human rights and have held hearings on human rights in Taiwan, Iran and Cambodia, and many other places. You seek after a reputation as a flag bearer of human rights for oppressed people around the world; then what about the human rights of the oppressed people in your own backyard? What about the Moonies, or don't they deserve human rights? What about innocent Koreans here in America? What about the innocent Asian people? Somebody is becoming popular and gaining national news coverage on CBS television at the price of the livelihood, honor and blood of innocent people. You love this golden opportunity to cash in on "Koreagate" and turn it to your own political advantage. But it will not work. The American people are wiser than you think. They will see through this machination to your selfish ambition.

Mr. Chairman, indeed this subcommittee has become a witch-hunt, a kangaroo court. You see an opportunity to make a killing for your political campaign. You needed a target; Rev. Moon and the Unification Church and struggling South Korea have become the ideal targets.

Do you think you can become a Senator at the cost of the blood, honor and lives of innocent people? You will find innocent blood

very costly. I say to you what Saint Thomas More, who had been brought to trial on the charge of treason by Henry VIII for sticking to his own religious convictions, said to Sir Richard, who perjured himself in testimony against St. Thomas in order to advance his own career even at the cost of a Saint's blood:

Quoting Jesus, St. Thomas said:

"For what will it profit a man, if he gains the whole world and forfeits his soul?"

For this he was beheaded.

Mr. Chairman, I know it is easy and popular in the short run to persecute new religious groups. So it was for Nero. So it was for Julian the Apostate. But does history remember them for their social reforms or foreign policy or human rights? NO! It remembers them as the great *persecutors* of history. And *so* history might remember Donald Fraser, if it remembers him at all.

You may get my scalp, Mr. Chairman, but never my heart and soul. My heart and soul belong to God.

"The Lord is my shepherd . . . Even though I walk through the valley of the shadow of death, I fear no evil. For Thou art with me."

(Psalms 23)

Thank You

**FRASER'S RAID ON U.S.  
TREASURY—STOPPED BY  
REP. DERWINSKI**

By  
*Walter Riley*

**Our Response**



**Fraser Pays Off  
Favored Witnesses**

It was discovered that Congressman Fraser was actually rewarding anti-South Korea and anti-Unification Church witnesses for their testimony. The Chairman attempted to justify this by saying the witnesses had done work for the Subcommittee. Then why did the only "helpers" hired by the Subcommittee have an anti-South Korea or anti-Unification Church viewpoint? To many people this appeared to be a reverse "Korean payoff" scandal. As columnist Riley reported:

Representative Donald Fraser (DFL-Minn.), Chairman of the Subcommittee, was vocally attacked by Derwinski for Mr. Fraser's having questionably issued "five \$2,000 Consulting Contracts" to witnesses who had previously appeared and testified before the Subcommittee.

[Rep.] Derwinski roared: "It will have all the appearance of a payoff to witnesses for their testimony..." Derwinski was apparently referring to the anti-South Korea witnesses who testified down-the-line with Fraser's own views that have been often expressed against South Korea.

Derwinski said that anybody reviewing the Subcommittee records for years to come, will be under the impression that these contracts were a payoff for testimony.

The taxpayer's "champion," Rep. Edward J. Derwinski (R-Ill.), charged into a 1977 secret "Executive Session" of the House Subcommittee on International Organizations and stopped the Fraser raid on the U.S. Treasury, according to a source close to an insider of the committee.

"White Knight?" Rep. Donald Fraser (DFL-Minn), chairman of the subcommittee, was vocally attacked by Derwinski for Fraser's having questionably issued "five \$2,000 consulting contracts" to witnesses who had previously appeared and testified before the subcommittee.

Derwinski roared: "It will have all the appearances of a payoff to witnesses for their testimony..." Derwinski was apparently referring to the anti-South Korea witnesses who testified down-the-line with Fraser's own views that have been often expressed against South Korea.

Derwinski said that anybody reviewing the subcommittee records, for years to come, will be under the impression that these contracts were a payoff for testimony.

Derwinski made it very clear that he had not been consulted as the "ranking minority member" prior to the issuance of the contracts. He insisted that his opposition be made a matter of record.

As a result of Derwinski's action, further payments on each of the contracts were stopped far short of the \$2,000 allocated, the source said.

In a recent "Dateline Washington" column titled: "Congressman Fraser Pays-Off Favored Witnesses With Contracts," this writer took what might have been an-unfair-shot at the Hon. Edward J. Derwinski.

After this column found evidence of the five consulting contracts having been issued by Fraser under questionable circumstances, it was only natural to telephone Derwinski, Fraser's political opposition on the subcommittee, to get answers.

When confronted with the facts, Derwinski fumed: "I definitely was not consulted prior to the issuance of those contracts." He then clammed-up

and refused to say more. He also refused to accept any more telephone calls "regarding that issue."

This writer reported in the same column that Derwinski: "declined to say anything more, apparently preferring to observe the time-honored Capitol Hill practice of speaking no evil of a fellow member's hanky panky."

This column now recognizes that Derwinski was bound by the House of Representatives Rule against his discussing issues and points debated in the secret executive sessions. I hereby extend my apologies to Congressman Derwinski.

The prior column listed the five contract "winners" as: Fraser's "friend of long standing," Donald Ranard, director of the left-wing Center for International Policy. (What are friends for? Fraser is a member of the Board of Advisors of Ranard's organization).

Jai-hyon Lee, a former officer of the South Korean Embassy and defector to the U.S. Lee is well documented for his anti-South Korean views.

Jerome A. Cohen, Gregory Henderson and Richard Mauzy all three of whom have been outspoken critics of the South Korean government.

There were no lucrative consulting contracts awarded to pro-South Korea witnesses and only a few collected the expenses they were entitled to.

This column also referred to the Justice Department's spokesperson's statement that "It is illegal to reward a witness in any legal proceeding..."

In another "Dateline Washington" column titled "Frasergate in the Making," Fraser was exposed in having illegally paid a permanent staff employee in his Minneapolis district office a year's salary in advance. This was in violation of Title 31 section 529, of the U.S. Code, according to another government legal source.

Another Department of Justice source suggested that the documents Fraser used in the illegal salary payments should be reviewed for possible violations of Title 18 sections 1001 & 1003 of the U.S. Code.

One General Counsel for a pow-

erful House Committee said that a change and clarification of the "rules" is being contemplated as a result of Frasergate. Is this a cover-up attempt? Tsk! Tsk! What the boys won't do!

In another recent development, Fraser and two of his subcommittee staff investigators were sued by Rev. Moon's Unification Church (Moonies) for 30 million dollars.

The Moonies alleged that the two investigators falsely represented themselves as "architects" and carried out a "warrantless search" of their church premises.

FBI agents have been indicted for the same type of alleged "warrantless searches" of the Socialist Workers Party, a known anti-American Communist Party operation.

Throughout all of this, Fraser, a lawyer, maintains that he was unaware that he may have been violating the law. Tsk! Tsk!

In a recent Fraser press conference, he attributed all of his troubles to the Moonies and their henchmen who he said were out to get him.

In the early 1960's, Senator Joe McCarthy hollered that it was the communists who were out to get him. This was after he began to receive some less than flattering press that exposed another side to the McCarthy hearings. Senator McCarthy was never accused of office payroll irregularities.

LATEST UPDATE: Robert Boettcher, Fraser's subcommittee staff director, has released to selective Minnesota media self-serving extracts from the records claiming that only small amounts of money was paid out on the questionable contracts.

Boettcher failed to tell the real facts as to why the amounts were substantially below the \$2,000 specified in the contracts.

This column requested the entire subcommittee executive session records, regarding the five contracts, be declassified for the general press.

As of this writing, NO ACTION HAS BEEN TAKEN! More to follow on Washington politics.

## CONGRESSMAN FRASER REFUSES TO EXPLAIN \$47,500 MYSTERY SALARY

By  
Walter Riley

The financial records of the Clerk of the U.S. House of Representatives show that Rep. Donald M. Fraser (DFL-Minn), chairman of the prestigious Subcommittee on International Organizations, has been involved in some rather strange financial arrangements. The taxpayers are paying the freight, and the only questions that remain are: "Who is being paid, for what and for whose political benefit?"

Congressmen are allocated budgets for the operation of their Washington offices and field offices in their home districts. They are authorized to do as they see fit regarding the salaries of their staff. The only restrictions are that staff salaries be limited to a minimum of \$1,200 and a maximum of \$47,500 in any one year. Fraser's annual staff allowance is more than a quarter of a million dollars. The published amount is \$273,132.

Starting with Fraser's own office staff, there is an odd manipulation among employees of a particular \$47,500 annual salary that turns up as a "Payroll change" on Fraser's required monthly "House Payroll Certifications Reports."

The report shows for the accounting period of "02/01/78 to 02/28/78" that a whopping monthly salary of \$3,958.33 was paid to Ms. Winifred "Winnie" E. Leonard, a permanent staff assistant in his district office in Minneapolis.

A telephone call to Fraser's

Minneapolis office revealed that Lois Binder is the district office staff director whose salary for the month of February was \$1,784.17. Ms. Binder's annual salary is \$21,410.

Fraser has refused to explain why an ordinary staff assistant is being paid more than double the monthly salary of the staff director.

This casts a shadow of suspicion on Fraser's handling of public finances. The taxpayers are entitled to know why Ms. Leonard is paid such a large salary, and what she is doing to earn nearly one thousand bucks a week.

Fraser's hush-mouthed policy brings to mind the many other Congressmen in the past who also refused to answer questions about their unusual payroll transactions. Some were later exposed in scandals, kickbacks, etc.

In Fraser's case, there may be a logical explanation. The public is entitled to hear the truth and learn what their tax dollars are being used for!

The mysterious \$47,500 annual salary disappeared in the January 1978 payroll report. It appeared in the report for December 1977, wherein that same monthly amount of \$3,958.33 was paid to Gerald R. Elliott, who has admitted to being the mastermind behind Fraser's weird payroll scheme.

For the month of November 1977, Elliott drew a pro rata \$2,580.25. He then took a "bust" to \$1,503.25 for January 1978, and dropped down to a mere

\$644.92 in February 1978. Why? Fraser has not answered.

Another classic example of Fraser's unorthodox payroll finagling shows up in the Clerk of the House's report for the last three months of 1977. Elliott was paid a total of \$9,183.83 while Ms. Leonard receive only \$850, which was paid to her in one lump sum in October. That means she received an average of \$283.33 per month or about \$65 a week, a far cry from the nearly \$1,000 a week she earned in February 1978.

Elliott's attempts to explain the confusing payroll picture was anything but convincing. However, one point was made quite clear in the official reports: a precise "68¢" was left over each month.

Obviously, Fraser and company intend to grab every last cent of the payroll allocation and Fraser does not intend to explain the purposes for which the money is being spent. Federal law requires that Congressional salary allotments not spent in any one calendar month be returned to the U.S. Treasury. Possibly, someday, the Internal Revenue Service will look into this unusual employee salary juggling.

The next column will reveal how Fraser uses his chairmanship of the Subcommittee on International Organizations to dip into other Congressional funds, which appear to have been used to reward a "friend of long standing" and pay off selected witnesses with "consultant contracts."

Derwinski made it very clear that he had not been consulted as the "ranking minority member" prior to the issuance of the contracts. He insisted that his opposition be made a matter of the record.

The prior column listed the five contract "winners" as: Fraser's "friend of long standing," Donald Ranard, director of the left-wing Center for International Policy; (What are friends for? Mr. Fraser is a member of the Board of Advisors of Ranard's organization.)

Jai Hyon Lee, a former officer of the South Korean Embassy and defector to the United States. Lee is well documented for his anti-South Korean views.

Jerome A. Cohen, Gregory Henderson and Richard Mauzy, all three of whom have been outspoken critics of the South Korean government.

There were no lucrative consulting contracts awarded to pro-South Korean witnesses and only a few collected the expenses to which they were entitled. This column also referred to the Justice Department's spokesperson's statement that "It is illegal to reward a witness in any legal proceeding."<sup>34</sup>

<sup>34</sup>"Fraser's Raid on U.S. Treasury Stopped by Rep. Derwinski," *Washington Dateline*, 1978.

# DATELINE WASHINGTON



## CONGRESSMAN FRASER PAYS-OFF FAVORED WITNESSES WITH CONTRACTS

By  
Walter Riley

Four star witnesses who served up the bulk of the anti-South Korean testimony collected by a House investigating subcommittee, have each been rewarded with a \$2,000 "consulting contract" by the subcommittee. A fifth \$2,000 consulting fee was awarded to a former committee staff member.

All four were paraded before the Subcommittee on International Organizations headed by ultra-liberal Donald Fraser, the Democrat-Farm Labor Congressman from Minnesota. They came—they cooperated—and they collected.

No witness who presented testimony favorable to South Korea was awarded one of the "two grand" consulting contracts.

The ranking minority member of the Subcommittee, Edward J. Derwinski (R-Ill) was adamant about disassociating himself from what appears to be a reverse Korean payoff scandal.

"I definitely was not consulted prior to the issuance of those contracts," Derwinski fumed. But Derwinski, who has been outspoken in the past on behalf of South Korean interests, declined to say anything more, apparently preferring to observe the time-honored Capitol Hill practice of speaking no evil of a fellow member's hanky panky. He didn't need to say anything more. It was apparent from his actions and the tone of his voice that he was unhappy with the arrangements Fraser made with the witnesses who told him what he wanted to hear.

Neither Fraser nor his top aides will comment and their stony silence doesn't matter since there is solid evidence which speaks for itself. This column has obtained a copy of the minutes of an April 5, 1977 meeting of the House Subcommittee on contracts, which lists, in black and white, the awarding of five consulting contracts to favored witnesses.

Further examination of the official records disclosed that all five contracts were for Fraser's Subcommittee on International Organizations.

The winners were: Donald Ranard, former head of the Korean Desk at the State Department and a fierce critic of the Park government, Jai-hyon Lee, a former officer at the South Korean Embassy in Washington who defected to the U.S., Jerome A. Cohen, Gregory Henderson, and Richard Mauzy, a former staff member.

"It has all the appearances of a payoff to people who have testified the way Fraser wanted them to," admitted a well-connected Capitol Hill insider. "Everybody around here knows Fraser's out to get South Korea. He's made no bones about it in his statements. Just look at his record!" A spokesman for the Justice Department confirmed that "it is illegal to reward a witness in any legal proceeding..."

It's a long-standing practice that subcommittee chairmen are given a free hand in hiring staff members and outside consultants. The parent committee merely rubber stamps approval of what the sub-

committee chairman wants.

Fraser was given a whopping \$300,000-plus budget and a mandate to: "Conduct a full and complete investigation and study of... all aspects of the political, military, intelligence relationships between the Republic of Korea... and the U.S."

One of the first witnesses called was Fraser's "friend of long standing," Donald Ranard, who left the State Department and is now director of the Center for International Policy. Serving on the advisory board of Ranard's organization is—you guessed it—Congressman Fraser. What are friends for?

Ranard's organization is financed by the Fund for Peace which also bankrolls a number of other so-called "Centers" with high-sounding names jammed into adjacent offices in Washington. The organizations are all left-wing and proud of it, according to their various spokesmen contacted by this reporter.

Here is just one example of the type of activities they engage in. On June 21, 1977 several of these centers hosted a press conference for the Communist Party and its front organizations, the U.S. Out of Korea Committee, Youth Against War and Fascism, and the National Petition for a new U.S.—Korea Policy.

One of Fraser's colleagues in congress stated that he was going to see what could be done about having Fraser's strange financial arrangements "looked into."

## REP. FRASER CONFESSES ILLEGALITIES IN PAYROLL— WILL MAKE RESTITUTION

By  
Walter Riley

Rep. Donald Fraser (DFL-Minn.) attorney and law school graduate "cum laude," said he "only just now found out" that it is illegal to pay his House office employees a year's salary in advance.

This was, of course, after the *Dateline Washington* column brought it to his attention.

Fraser said the "problem is being corrected," and added: "the practice will be discontinued in the future." He assured that there was nothing to really worry about and full restitution would be made.

Fraser was caught falsely certifying at least three of his congressional payroll reports involving his Minneapolis staff assistant, Winifred E. Leonard. He paid her a total annual salary of \$7,400 over a period of two months.

This was accomplished by falsifying Leonard's annual salary to \$47,500 for the month of February, 1978 and paying her the monthly portion of \$3,958.33.

For the month of March, 1978, Leonard's salary was set at a fictitious \$41,300 and she was paid \$3,441.67 making a total of \$7,400 for the two months.

Fraser knowingly falsified his April 1978 report when he listed

Leonard as "terminated." He has admitted to knowing that she was paid through December 1978 and that she is a "permanent staff member" who was working in his Minnesota office at the time he signed the report.

Fraser considers these acts minor violations of a technical nature. He alleged that this was a "usual practice" among his colleagues in the House.

This was quickly denied by a considerable number of his fellow members who made some rather unflattering comments regarding Fraser's attempts to transfer guilt. "He may get his opportunity to prove that before the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct," one member retorted.

Fraser admitted that the main reason for the unlawful payroll was to defeat the U.S. House of Representatives rule that limits Congressmen to 18 staff members for their Washington and home district offices.

Fraser was asked if he was aware at the time he committed his illegal acts that it may be in violation of the law. Fraser replied: "No!"

Fraser was asked: "But are you aware of that now?" He answered: "Yeah . . . my staff guy showed me the provisions, although I don't

remember exactly what it said . . . something about you're not supposed to pay in advance for work or something like that."

Fraser's reply that he didn't "remember exactly what it (the law) said," makes one stop and wonder. If he cannot remember a freshly-read law that he had been violating and which could still get him into serious trouble, how in the world will he be able to remember the laws he is supposed to legislate?

Since the exposure of his illegal payroll operations, Fraser has attempted to maintain an air of innocence of wrongdoing. He has claimed that he was *ignorant of the law* that prohibited him from doing what he did.

Obviously, Mr. Fraser has forgotten some of his basic law school training: "Ignorance of the law is no excuse." There is also another much-repeated legal adage: "Restitution does not excuse the crime." Maybe a refresher course will help??

As of this writing, Fraser has made restitution of \$3,700 regarding the Leonard payroll, according to Robert S. McGuire, auditor for the Committee on House Administration. More to follow on the Frasergate developments.



STATEMENT BY VINA LOPEZ

On February 2, 1978 two men visited the Unification Church of Washington D.C. located at 1610 Columbia Road, N.W. I, Vina Lopez, was receptionist at the front desk when two, nicely dressed men entered the church. They said they admired the structure of the Church and wanted to see more of the inside. I asked them to wait a moment while I called for someone to come and give them a tour. However, they said they could not wait for someone to come and asked if they could wander through the Church alone. I said they would have to wait for someone to come. Then they began to pressure me, insisting they did not have time to wait and wanted to tour the Church alone. I still told them they had to wait as I called for Mr. Bruce Williams, General Affairs Director.

I asked who they were and the one identified as Mr. Lewin said they were architects. I asked them to sign the guest book. They were hesitant, but they did sign. I couldn't read Mr. Lewin's handwriting, but asked the other man what part of New York City he was from, as he indicated New York as his address. Meanwhile Mr. Williams arrived and took them around the upper part of the Church.

I didn't think too much about this after February 2 until I came to the hearings of Col. Bo Hi Pak at the Subcommittee for International Organizations. While attending the hearing on April 20, 1978 I suddenly recognized one of the men who had earlier come to the Church. I looked around for his friend. To my great surprise both were sitting with the staff aids for the Subcommittee.


After the hearing was over I went to Mr. Brian Saunders, a long time member of the Unification Church, and told him about the two men sitting with the staff of the Subcommittee having visited the Church under pretense of being architects. He asked me to point them out. Mr. Saunders and I were near both



Mr. Lewin and Mr. Gragert when Mr. Saunders approached Mr. Lewin and asked if he was an architect. Mr. Lewin replied, "no." Mr. Saunders then twice asked if he had ever been to the Unification Church. Mr. Gragert was standing close by but apparently was not aware of the conversation that had just taken place. I then approached Mr. Gragert and asked if he remembered me. He wasn't sure until I said "Oh, I met you at the Unification Church" at which time he then remembered and said "Oh, yes."

At this time I became aware of Mr. Lewin and Mr. Saunders listening to our conversation so I said, "didn't your friend come too (pointing to Mr. Lewin)." He replied "yes." At this point Mr. Lewin, having been caught in his deliberate lie to Mr. Saunders, had to acknowledge the fact that he had in fact been to the Unification Church and did remember me.

Realizing that both Mr. Lewin and Mr. Gragert were completely embarrassed by this confrontation Mr. Saunders and I left.

  
Vina Lopez

April 28, 1978

DATE

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public and for the District of Columbia this 28th day of April 1978.

  
Notary Public

STATEMENT BY BRIAN SAUNDERS


After the conclusion of the hearings being held by the House Subcommittee on International Organizations, chaired by Representative Donald Fraser, on April 20, 1978 where Col. Bo Hi Pak was testifying, I approached the platform area where several newsmen were gathered asking questions of the Subcommittee staff. Soon following, a member of the Unification Church, Miss Vina Lopez, summoned my attention, in that I am also a member of the Unification Church for several years. She told me that she was startled to find two men sitting among the staff of the Subcommittee who had previously come to the Unification Church at 1610 Columbia, Road, N.W., Washington, D.C. while she, Miss Lopez, was assuming responsibility as church receptionist.

Miss Lopez told me she was so surprised to see them here because one of them indicated they were architects. She said she remembered them so well because they insisted so much on touring the Church without a tour guide.

Having heard this, I wanted to verify the information. Therefore, I approached the one later identified as Mr. Lewin. When I approached him I asked if he was an architect and he replied "no." I then asked if he had ever been to the Unification Church he said, "No." I asked again, and he replied "No" a second time.

At this point we turned our attention in other directions and I saw Miss Lopez approach Mr. Gragert. I was within hearing distance and heard her ask him if he remembered her. After she reminded him, he said, "oh yes." She also asked if his friend, as she pointed to Mr. Lewin, had come with him to the Church. Mr. Gragert quite clearly said "yes" and Mr. Lewin, who just denied ever being to the Church acknowledged that he was in fact the friend who had come to the Church with Mr. Gragert. At this time Miss Lopez and I left.

The above took place on April 20, 1978 and is the truth as far as I can recall. I would be willing to identify the two men, Mr. Lewin and Mr. Gragert if necessary.

  
Brian Saunders

June 15, 1978

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia this 15<sup>th</sup> day of June, 1978

  
Notary Public

STATEMENT BY BRUCE WILLIAMS

On February 2, 1978 two men showed up at the Unification Church located at 16th and Columbia Road, Washington, D.C. The receptionist at the front desk called me to come and give them a tour of the building. Since I am General Affairs Director, I didn't think anything unusual about the request.


When I arrived at the front desk, either the receptionist or one of the two gentlemen told me they were architects. They said they admired the building from the outside and wanted to see the inside.

I suggested that we start with the lobby area. As we approached the lobby area I said, "so you are architects?" The young one, later identified as Mr. Lewin, acknowledged and said "yes." I asked what firm they were with, but they did not reply. I wondered why at the time.


We then entered the sanctuary after the lobby area and began describing the history of the room. Afterwards, we went into the Cultural Hall and I began to explain this room as our proudest achievement because we did all the work ourselves. This is one of the most beautiful rooms of the building and still they did not comment on the structure and beauty of the building. At this time I became suspicious. First because they did not answer my question about what firm they were with. Secondly, the bearded man did not say one word throughout the entire tour. Finally, they made no comment about the building, and seemed to rush out after I showed them the Cultural Hall. After being in the Cultural Hall only a few minutes the younger one said "that's enough" as they headed for the door.

I wanted to show them the bottom floors because we put in the floor ourselves and I thought they would be interested since they were architects, but again the younger one said, "I think we've seen enough and everything was nice."

The above statement is true to the best of my knowledge.

  
Bruce Williams

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia this 15<sup>th</sup> day of June, 1978

  
Notary Public

# Round 3

Mr. Chairman:

At stake in these hearings are not only my own reputation and future, but more importantly that of my religious leader, Reverend Sun Myung Moon, founder of the Unification Church, as well as believers and religious people everywhere. Yet, the outcome of these investigations will have even greater ramifications. It will, indeed, influence the course of relations between this great country of America and my homeland, Korea. In the balance may be the fate of the free world, which so greatly hinges on the security of Korea.

I do not know how or why God has put me in this position but if this is my destiny I will not shirk my responsibility. I will speak what I know to be true. Then let the world decide what it must do. It is imperative then to review the history of these hearings and put them in the proper perspective.

The genesis of this subcommittee's investigation of Korea, America's foremost ally, is well known. It was given a formal mandate by the Congress to proceed on February 3, 1977, though its history goes back to mid-1975. This subcommittee has devoted 34 months to investigate one small country, with much of its time spent on one man—Bo Hi Pak. It has spent \$685,000 of the taxpayers' money. In this, an extraordinary spending spree, this subcommittee put together a sixteen man staff of lawyers and investigators who have spent thousands of man hours, interviewed hundreds of people, hauled in tons of documents and even made expensive trips to Japan and Korea.

## **Congressman Fraser's Secret Agenda to Destroy Rev. Moon**

These are well-known facts. But *behind the apparent operation* of the subcommittee investigation there is a hidden motive. Despite its pretense of respectability, this subcommittee has pursued matters which have *nothing* to do with its mandate and has left no stone unturned to accomplish its ulterior designs, regardless of truth and justice.

This subcommittee has secretly determined to destroy one man—one man of God—and his movement. The man is Rev. Sun Myung Moon and his movement, the Unification Church. Your April 4, 1977 memorandum revealed that Rev. Moon is your top and foremost target. How have you tried to accomplish your goal? Using unevaluated intelligence reports and other unreliable information you have tried to tie Rev. Moon and the Unification Church to the KCIA, destroy his honor and credibility, and portray the Unification Church as a mere running dog of the Korean Government. This subcommittee has stopped at nothing in its desperation to prove Rev. Moon and the Unification Church are the tools of the KCIA and the Korean Government.

For this ultimate goal you needed an intermediate victim. I was chosen for your first target. I appeared to you an ideal victim. I am not only close to Rev. Moon, but also I have done many things to improve Korean-American friendship. So you decided to "frame" me as an agent of the KCIA, and you doggedly pursued some proof. But you have failed miserably. The reason is simple: *I am NOT one*. Nor have you shown, twist the evidence as you may, that Rev. Moon or the Unification Church are "fronts" for the KCIA, because *they are not*.

Thanks to your subcommittee's deliberate leaks, one distorted news article after another has appeared in the press. The result? Even though wave after wave of investigations of the Korean Cultural and Freedom Foundation, Radio Free Asia and my own personal finances by several government agencies have me blameless of any wrongdoings, *these organizations have been destroyed*. I devoted fourteen



years of my life to building KCFF and ROFA. They have done so much that is good for others. Yet you have pounded them to their knees. This is not only a personal tragedy; it is a tragedy for America that such a thing happened in the land of "liberty and justice for all."

I can claim one good result, however. I may have become the most investigated man under the sun, but I have turned out to be a "Mr. Clean." The IRS gave me a clean bill of health; the Justice Department found nothing wrong and dropped the case. Now this "Mr. Clean" has come to clean the dirt from your house, Mr. Chairman.

As I have attended these hearings over the last few weeks, I have read the growing desperation on your faces. You started with flimsy allegations and after 34 months of hard work *that is still all you have*. I believe you hope to confuse the public and other members of Congress into thinking that you have proven your case by grabbing flashy headlines and publishing trashy "secret documents" like those in this 708 page supplement. But you will not succeed. We have set down and proven all of our complaints about the abuses of this subcommittee and the world will know the truth, however long it takes.

Even my plea for an investigation of the State Department's gross misuse of government power to harass and destroy ROFA and KCFF has been squashed by you and your subcommittee. Now I have to take it to the courts and so I have prepared a massive lawsuit against the culpable State Department officials.

## **What Does Rev. Moon Stand For and Why is Congressman Fraser Against Him?**

But the big question still remains. Why are you, Mr. Chairman,



so determined to destroy Rev. Moon and his church? The Congress and the people of America want to know why you have gone to such lengths to accomplish this end.

One way to find out what you hope to achieve is to examine the beliefs of those whom you want to destroy. This is the true investigative method and perhaps this method will help us get to the bottom of things.

Then what does Rev. Moon stand for?

*Number One:* He stands for God and a God-centered ideology. Also, Rev. Moon absolutely opposes Communism, which is the enemy of God and man.

*Number Two:* He stands for a strong anti-communist Korea and a strong God-centered America. Rev. Moon believes that Korea and America should stand united in a common cause against the forces of Communist tyranny.

These then are two of the most important things which Rev. Moon stands for. You, Mr. Chairman, are absolutely determined to destroy him and everything he stands for. Could that mean that you stand for the opposite? Does it mean, Mr. Chairman, that you favor the Communist cause? Does it mean that you seek to destroy Korea, perhaps create a second Vietnam there, and disrupt Korean-American relations?

My answer: I do not know. This is not proof, but it suggests a direction for us to look. And when we look in this direction we find startling evidence; evidence which suggests that you, Mr. Chairman, are indeed using these hearings to accomplish your own secretly held design, which benefits no one but the enemies of the United States of America; evidence far better and more concrete than the evidence you have presented to prove your case against us. I draw no

conclusions. I make no allegations. Let the evidence speak for itself.

#### **Congressman Fraser's Campaign to Undermine Korean-American Relations**

According to reliable press accounts, shortly after the fall of Vietnam you circulated a "Dear Colleague" memo to your fellow Congressmen which expressed your satisfaction that our involvement in Vietnam had finally drawn to an end. You suggested further that the time had come to review our involvement in Korea as well. Is this the beginning of your campaign to "get" Korea? How else can we explain the things you have done?

Why, for example, would you have given your support to illegal activities of anti-government American churchmen in Korea? On May 20, 1975 you held a luncheon on Capitol Hill in honor of Father James Sinnott, who had been expelled from Korea for engaging in illegal anti-government activities, even after repeated warnings from the Korean government to desist. Evidently, Mr. Chairman, your concern for the fine points of Church-State relations extends only to those who support Korea or with whom you disagree. While visiting Korea you even met with Father Sinnott, evidently to show your solidarity with him. Does that make Father Sinnott—and all his flock—agents of the U.S. Congress, Mr. Chairman?

#### **Congressman Fraser's Double Standard**

Why the double standard? Throughout these hearings you have repeated accusations against the Korean government, saying it has tried to subvert American institutions, but obviously you have approved, even encouraged Americans to violate the integrity of Korean legal and political institutions. Looking further, it seems that

this is not an isolated incident; you apply this kind of double standard across the ideological board. The history of this subcommittee's activities under your leadership, coupled with your own personal commitments, demonstrates that *you have consistently supported pro-Communist causes and groups, and sought to undermine anti-Communist governments and individuals*, often using "human rights" as a smoke screen.

It was one of your own subcommittee members who last year said that even your resolution calling for this investigation was "a one-sided gratuitous swipe on the government of South Korea" which made no mention of South Korea's barbarous and bellicose neighbors in the north. Such an omission is by no means out of character with either the ideological tone or content of previous inquiries by the subcommittee, however. Since 1973 the Subcommittee on International Organizations under your leadership has conducted hearings on the human rights violations of at least 24 countries—*yet 20 of them are anti-communist allies and only FOUR of them Communist*. No one has more contempt for human rights than the Communists. How could it be that you have spent more time looking into human rights violations of non-Communist countries than Communist ones? Let us take a case in point.

This subcommittee Mr. Chairman, has spent, so far, 34 months and over a half million dollars investigating alleged wrongdoings of the Korean Government. Yet you devoted only *one and one-half days investigating the genocide in Cambodia, one of the most terrible human rights crimes in history*. After the fall of Cambodia to the Communists, over one million men, women and children were exterminated in less than 18 months, often in the most barbaric, brutal way imaginable. Meanwhile the world and this subcommittee still look the other way. Where is the justice of this Mr. Chairman?

One reason the State Department sought to silence Radio of Free Asia, according to the documents released by this subcommittee, was because "there are implications that KCFF might be able to do more to obtain their (POW's) release than the U.S. government itself." When I first read this I couldn't believe my eyes. I just couldn't believe that the State Department was jealous or afraid of competition from ROFA when we both wanted the same goal—to serve the brave American servicemen captured by North Vietnam. What could be a higher priority than that? Now, after reading a newspaper account of the recent action of this subcommittee on the subject of POW's still held by North Vietnam, I have become further disillusioned because of your lack of concern for their fate.

Only July 26, 1977, a top-level Vietnamese defector told this subcommittee that he had some information that American POW's were still alive in North Vietnam jails. He requested an executive session of this subcommittee to disclose more details concerning this fact. Here was a golden opportunity to come to the rescue of the American soldiers unaccounted for to this very day. More POW's and MIA's are yet to be found. What did you do, Mr. Chairman? You brushed the testimony aside. You told the witness that you had no quorum and therefore *could not arrange* a closed session to hear his story. Somewhere in North Vietnam there are American soldiers waiting to be rescued. Their families live daily in prayer that their loved ones someday, somehow, might return. You have betrayed them and taken away what little hope they still have. You have turned your back as well on those American heroes, the POW's and MIA's still held in North Vietnam, hoping for the day when they will see freedom and their families again. Mr. Chairman, this is my third appearance before your subcommittee. I am sure you could find time

to hear my testimony in closed session if you thought it would bring you closer to destroying Rev. Moon and Korea. But you are not interested in hearing evidence about these POWs and MIAs who may still be held captive in Indochina. Why?

### **Congressman Fraser's "Operational Ties" to North Korea**

In the course of my research to learn why you were so dead-set on destroying the Unification Church, KCFF and ROFA, I came across information which shows that you, Mr. Chairman, and some of the witnesses you have called to testify against us, particularly Mr. Donald Ranard, former head of the State Department Korea Desk, maintain "*operational ties*" to use your own insidious phrase, to Communist organizations, some of which have direct ties to Communist North Korea.

An article by journalist Walter Riley which appeared in *Date-line Washington*, a Trans-World News Feature, in 1977 titled: "North Korean Influence on Capitol Hill" reports that an organization which Mr. Ranard heads, the 'Center for International Policy' shares a conference room with the Center for National Security Studies." The Center for National Security Studies sponsored, in the same conference center which it shares with Mr. Ranard's organization, an anti-South Korea press conference for the "Youth Against War and Fascism." The Youth Against War and Fascism is a Communist front organization which supports North Korean causes and which sponsors the "U.S. Out of Korea Committee," a lobby on Capitol Hill advocating U.S. withdrawal from Korea. *You, Mr. Chairman, are a member of the Board of Advisers of Mr. Ranard's Center for International Policy*. Might this mean that you have "operational ties" to the Communist North Korea Government?

Mr. Chairman, certainly if I shared a conference room with a known front organization of the KCIA you would consider this convincing proof that I am a KCIA agent and you would publish it all over the world. I know because you have already accused me of being a KCIA agent on far less evidence.

Shouldn't we apply the same standards to this information? It would certainly explain your and Mr. Ranard's common efforts, which I have already documented, to destroy KCFF and ROFA.

It would be one thing, Mr. Chairman, if this were your only association with Communist organizations, but that is not the case. This is just the beginning of the story.

### **Congressman Fraser's Connection to the Socialist Workers Party**

Over the years you have lent your name to a great many Communist causes. In March 1973 you added your name to a list of people, including Peter Camejo, a former "Socialist Workers Party" candidate for the Presidency of the United States, and Tom Foley of the Communist *Daily World*, supporting the "Committee for Artistic and Intellectual Freedom in Iran." This committee is a project of the Communist-Trotskyite Socialist Workers Party.

Anyone who believes members of the Socialist Workers Party are merely left-wing democrats or human rights advocates is either naive or uninformed. You are neither naive nor uninformed, Mr. Chairman.

As you know, the Socialist Workers Party advocates Leon Trotsky's interpretation of Marxism. It was Trotsky who, with Lenin, led the Bolshevik Revolution in 1917, founded the Red Army and inaugurated the Red Terror of 1917-1920, before being exiled and murdered on the orders of Joseph Stalin.

Members of the Socialist Workers Party are *not* for human

rights. They are *not* for freedom. They are for Communist dictatorship. According to an article by Congressman Larry McDonald which appeared in the *Congressional Record* on October 1, 1976:

"The Socialist Workers Party was not for 'peace' (in Vietnam); they were for an American defeat. This position was consistent with the traditional Trotskyite role of supporting Communist aggression against the free world."

An article on September 23, 1976 in the *Congressional Record* reports on another Socialist Workers Party front which you, Mr. Chairman, lent your name and support of: the Political Rights Defense Fund, set up to coordinate Socialist Workers Party lawsuits against the F.B.I. The report mentions one lawsuit which you support charging the F.B.I. with illegal surveillance of the Socialist Workers Party. It seems strange to me that you condemn the F.B.I. for surveillance of a known Communist and potentially violent organization, and say nothing about the U.S. Government's illegal surveillance of the Korean Blue House, which is in clear violation of Korean law and the human rights of the Korean people.

Once again I must ask, why the double standard? It is difficult to attribute it to pure or innocent motivations. Your silence on American violation of Korean sovereignty and law must be viewed as more than hypocrisy when seen in the light of your support for the Socialist Workers Party lawsuit against the F.B.I.

Finally, the *Congressional Record* of October 1, 1976 reports of yet another Socialist Workers Party front group, The United States Committee for Justice to Latin American Political Prisoners (USLA), which you have "worked closely with" according to an intra-party memo.

Included in the *Congressional Record* report on USLA, which had been set up the the Socialist Workers Party to aid the cause of revolutionary Communism in Latin America, is a copy of an August 1974 memo which states "Representative Donald Fraser, U.S. Congress, has worked closely with us, although he has not yet agreed to to be a sponsor."

What would you say, Mr. Chairman, if your staff discovered a document of the KCIA saying I have worked closely with them? You would say "Bo Hi Pak must be an agent of the KCIA." Then I must say, *by your own standards*, "You, Mr. Chairman, must be an agent of the Communist Socialist Workers Party."

### **Communist Support for Congressman Fraser's Political Campaign**

From the very beginning of your political career you have enjoyed the active support of the Communist Party. According to a news article in the *Minneapolis Tribune*, July 4, 1964, the national public relations director of the Communist Party, Arnold Johnson, was sent "to tell how to defeat him," your opponent, Walter Judd (R-Minn) an anti-Communist. In the same article, according to Mrs. Ruth Gordienko, a former Communist who became an F.B.I. undercover agent, "Communists actively did work on the campaign of Donald Fraser."

Furthermore, according to a 1977 *Dateline Washington* report, the same undercover agent of the F.B.I. said she informed you that more than 15 delegates to the 1960 County Convention of the Democrat-Farmer-Labor Party were active Communist Party members and that you replied "there's no internal threat from Communism in this country." Again, in 1975 at a meeting of top D.F.L. officials in Minneapolis at the suggestion that Communists be purged from the DFL, you repeated your statement of 15 years prior,

"there's no internal threat from Communism in this country." That statement was wrong then; it is wrong now.

### **Polish Defector Names Congressman Fraser Soviet "Agent of Influence"**

The most serious allegation against you, Mr. Chairman, was made by a former Polish Communist intelligence operator, Janusz Kochanski, who defected to the United States. Walter Riley reports in a 1977 *Dateline Washington* that Mr. Kochanski, the defected head of several Polish intelligence networks and Director of the Department of "Poles Living Abroad," testified *under oath* that Rep. Donald Fraser works as an "agent of influence" on Capitol Hill for the Soviet Union. He reported: "He (Kochanski) was furnished by the KGB key names of the Soviet Intelligence Network. The list included Soviet Agents, couriers and a special group called 'agents of influence' . . . The studio crew, guests and this reporter were stunned by the names exposed by Dr. Hanff and Kochanski . . . The Congressional names left everyone astounded. Rep. Donald Fraser is also connected with the 'bad guys.' Kochanski identified Congressman Fraser as an 'Agent of Influence on the Hill' . . ."

I do not know if Mr. Kochanski's information is reliable. But it is no different than the kind of information you have used to show that I am a KCIA agent. *In fact, it is far superior.*

The former head of the KCIA, Hyung Wook Kim, in his sworn testimony, said that I, Bo Hi Pak, am *not* a KCIA agent. Yet a former official of the Polish intelligence services testified *under oath* that you, Mr. Chairman, *are* an "agent of influence on the Hill" for the Soviet Union.

Today, it is not my duty or intention to prove these allegations true. My only desire is to raise the question: *Who is actually the greater threat to the security of our democratic and free society? You or I? Seoul or Moscow?*

### **Korea's Special Bond with the United States**

Suppose the Korean Government and KCIA had taken extraordinary steps, involving hundreds of agent, to win influence in the U.S. Congress. Then we must ask, why would they do it? What would be Korea's purpose and ultimate goal? To subvert America? To destroy or defeat America? *Absolutely not!* Korea is motivated by the most *simple human instinct—SURVIVAL! That is the bottom line. Korea wants to survive, not at the expense of America, but together with America. Without American support, Korea's national security would be in jeopardy. It would be overcome and swallowed up by the Communists. You know that, yet you continue to seek to destroy that relationship. Why?*

*Korea has no notion—not even the slightest—of hurting America in any form or fashion. Two members of your own subcommittee made this same point on March 15 of this year:*

*"'Outright subversion' on the part of the Koreans we submit, was a strong term for the majority to use. South Korea did not attempt the 'overthrow or destruction' or 'to turn from beneath'—these are Webster's preferred definition of subversion."*

*The Korean people want to march side by side with the American people, sharing the burden of safeguarding the security of the entire free world. Korea is America's best ally, an alliance cemented by a special bond built on the blood we shed and the freedom we fought for together on the battlefields of Korea and Vietnam.*

### **KGB Working for the Destruction of America**

On the other hand, what is Moscow's motivation? What does

the Soviet Union stand for and what is it seeking? Nothing less than the *total destruction of America and the defeat of the free world*. Can anyone doubt it?

Two of your subcommittee members also wrote on March 15, 1978:

“What the Soviets and Cubans (the Soviets’ surrogates in many clandestine areas) are doing in the United States—as elsewhere—and what Soviet vassals (Czechs and the Poles and the East Germans and so on and so on) are doing—that, we believe is ‘outright subversion.’”

Then how does the KGB compare in size and power to the KCIA? Like an elephant to a grasshopper. Furthermore, the ultimate goal of the KGB and KCIA are 180 degrees opposite. One is dedicated to the total destruction of America and the other is seeking the friendship and protection of America. A recent issue of *TIME* magazine, February 6, 1978, reported on the phenomenal growth and outreach of the KGB. In that issue of *TIME* it said that the KGB *spends over 10 billion dollars a year*, with a half million employees and has five times the number of foreign agents as the U.S. and Western Europe combined.

Here in Washington, 35% of the Soviet Embassy staff works for the KGB. One West German intelligence officer was quoted as saying, “There is not a place in the world where the KGB doesn’t have its man.”

I bet dollars to doughnuts, Mr. Chairman, there is a KGB agent in this room right now. And I bet the KGB has more men and spends more money in America than the American CIA and FBI combined. Isn’t it mindboggling just to think of it? On top of all that I know there are agents of Red China and North Korea working in this country whose commitment to the destruction of America is no less than the KGB’s.

The KGB has even infiltrated the halls of Congress. Senator Barry Goldwater disclosed on a Washington TV program that former Vice President Rockefeller had told him that the KGB has agents working in the staffs of U.S. Congressmen and Senators. *Now that would be a worthy topic for this subcommittee to investigate*. Indeed, on March 9, 1976 Congressman Ketchum of California said as much. Calling the Fraser Subcommittee investigations of Korea redundant of the House Ethics Committee hearings he said:

“I would certainly hope the committee would devote a bit of its time to the activities of the Soviet Union and of Red China.”

But you have never spent one red cent investigating the activities of the KGB in America. This subcommittee would seem ideally structured to undertake the task. Instead you have spent 34 months and \$685,000 investigating the probably innocuous attempts of an American ally to shore up its relationship with your country.

Today in this subcommittee hearing room we have raised portentous questions which demand answers. What if, as the evidence suggests, you, Mr. Chairman, are secretly working to undermine Korean-American relations? What if you are an ardent supporter of the Trotskyite-Communist Socialist Workers Party? What if you have been a fellow traveler of the Communist Party? What if you are an “agent of influence” for Moscow here on Capitol Hill? If these things are true, then the government of the United States is itself in grave danger. America’s very survival, the security of the free world is at stake.

If these allegations are true, you are a traitor, a second Benedict Arnold, an enemy of this nation and all free nations.

I am a man who loves Korea and America as one. Mr. Chair-



man, you have become the enemy of both, not because of what you have done to me, or my church, but because you have given “aid and comfort” to the Communist cause, which is the enemy of both Korea and America. Worse, you have become God’s enemy because God is counting on Korea and America and leaders like Rev. Moon to turn the tide against the satanic forces of Communism.

Rev. Moon has come to this country, not for his own sake, but to *awaken America to the threat of Communism*, and through America to awaken the whole world. In opposition to the God-denying ideology of Communism, Rev. Moon is proclaiming a God-centered ideology. His only goal is to inspire others to work together to build God-centered families, nations and a God-centered world.

Since I believe in God Almighty as the only creator and ruler of the world, I do not worry about myself. But I do worry about and pity you, Mr. Chairman. I pray for you as Jesus prayed for his persecutors, “Forgive them Lord, for they know not what they do.”

My final plea, not just to you Mr. Chairman, but also to all the people of this chosen nation of America is this: Do not throw away this nation’s heritage or fail your God-given mission. It is a plea we must heed for the sake of our children and all future generations. It is the plea of Jesus Christ to the people of Israel, which echoes through the centuries and which is being proclaimed by Rev. Moon today: “Repent, for the Kingdom of Heaven is at hand.”



# DATELINE WASHINGTON

## NORTH KOREAN INFLUENCE ON CAPITOL HILL

By  
Walter Riley



Capitol Hill is well covered by the media and makes daily news for the world. However, it appears that the media Hill coverage may have been caught-up in the Korea-gate scandal and are unaware of the campaigns being waged by No. Korean agents to eliminate all support for the government of So. Korea.

The public has heard or read little else within the past year except differing versions of the same old story pertaining to So. Korean agents' attempts to influence Congress to continue support for the so-called "totalitarian regime of Park Chung Hee."

Right or wrong, America was fighting an unpopular war against the communist No. Vietnamese aggressors and one of the first to jump into the fray to aid America against the common enemy, was the So. Korean Army.

The So. Korean troops fought hard, well and bravely alongside our American forces. They never complained about weaknesses of our own offensive campaigns which contributed to America's disastrous defeat. And at the same time, the So. Korean government unswervingly backed U.S. positions with vigorous diplomatic rhetoric as well as giving support through their observer group in the U.N.

The outstanding past performances by So. Korea still does not justify their conduct in the alleged bribing of Congress. However, the loyalties So. Korea has exhibited to America does entitle that country to an in-depth examination as to why they may have deemed it necessary to pursue that course of action.

So. Korean officials have refused comment on all inquiries. However, reliable sources from the U.S. intelligence community reported that as early as Sept. 1968, the So. Koreans complained to U.S. officials that: "Sinister Communist forces are waging a propaganda war against So. Korea on Capitol Hill." The complaint alleged that young American Communists, through several "front" committees, were being supported by No. Korea to champion the "unification of Korea under the Communist No. Korean government of Kim Il Sung."

Sources confirmed that the So. Korean complaints were also conveyed to officials of the C.I.A. in Korea.

One source said that to the best of their recollection the So. Koreans asked the C.I.A. for help against the American Communists and "front" committees operating on Capitol Hill. The source stated that the So. Koreans were informed that the C.I.A. was powerless to investigate activities of U.S. political groups or U.S. Citizens in the U.S., even though they were acting as agents of Communist governments. However, it was promised that the So. Korean complaints would be referred to the proper agencies in the U.S. and a legal investigation of the charges would be made.

Another source reported that the So. Koreans also complained to U.S. Military intelligence agents and asked them for remedial support. The source stated that the complaints were relayed through channels and they were unaware of any action taken by any U.S. Agency. The same source added that the So. Korean officials did not seem to understand why U.S. intelligence could not "promise" some kind of positive results. The source said that the So. Koreans were complaining through 1973 when the source returned from Korea to the U.S.

Capitol Hill sources have supplied this reporter with copies of some Communists and left-wing propaganda material that has been distributed to Congressional offices.

Included were pamphlets and press releases from "THE U.S. OUT OF KOREA COMMITTEE" and the "YOUTH AGAINST WAR AND FASCISM." The U.S. Out of Korea Committee is a committee of the Youth Against War and Fascism which is a "front" organization of the "WORKERS WORLD", the official Communist news organ.

One "press release" calling for a press conference stated: "On Tuesday, June 21, at 11:00 am, in the Conference Room at 212 Maryland Ave., the NATIONAL PETITION FOR A NEW U.S.—KOREA POLICY will be presenting petitions containing over 6,000 signatures to Congress."

Material distributed with the press release contained a "Partial List of Endorsers." Among the endorsers' names were the "COMMUNIST PARTY OF NO. CALIFORNIA, THE U.S. OUT OF KOREA COMMITTEE of the YOUTH AGAINST WAR AND FASCISM." There were many names

also linked to the SOCIALIST WORKERS PARTY which have appeared frequently endorsing left-wing causes.

A check of the phone number given for the conference, (202) 544-5380, indicated the number belonged to the CENTER FOR NATIONAL SECURITY STUDIES. The person answering at the number stated that the Conference Room being used was shared with other organizations funded by the FUND FOR PEACE. They named the CENTER FOR DEFENSE INFORMATION and the CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL POLICY.

Investigations revealed that the Director of the Center for International Policy is Donald Ranard, the former State Department official, who has appeared many times before Rep. Fraser's Sub-Committee investigating So. Korea and made many questionable but damaging statements against So. Korea. It was also revealed that Rep. Donald Fraser (D.-Minn.), was a Member of the Board of Advisors of THE CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL POLICY.

Material distributed at the conference called for immediate withdrawal from So. Korea of all U.S. troops, including "conventional weapons" supplied So. Korea. A spokesperson for the group stated: "That would include all of the hand guns that U.S. Citizens paid for."

Some of the endorsers' names listed against So. Korea were also aligned in similar anti-campaigns against Nicaragua, Thailand, Israel, Chile, Argentina and Brazil. There are an increasing number of new attacks being stepped-up against Nationalist China (Taiwan).

The campaigns are disguised under the cover of "Human Rights," and most of the people in the world would defend this just cause. But, cleverly hidden in the texts of the propaganda, lies Communist Party lines straight from Moscow, Peking, Iraq, No. Korea and Cuba.

Factual documentation show that more gross human rights violations are occurring in Communist controlled countries who rarely come under attack for their horrible crimes against large segments of their own population.

More to follow on narcotics smuggling by No. Korea and Communist China.

# DATELINE WASHINGTON



## COMMUNIST PENETRATION ON CAPITOL HILL

By  
Walter Riley

In previous columns you have met former Communist spy Janusz Kochanski, who defected from Poland to the U.S. where the CIA supplied him with a new identity.

During the course of our interview, Kochanski named former New York Congresswoman and unsuccessful candidate for mayor of New York City, Bella Abzug, and Rep. Donald Fraser, D-Minn., as "agents of influence in the Soviet Intelligence Network."

Kochanski, former head of several Polish intelligence operations, said he was given the names of Ms. Abzug and Fraser by the KGB, the Soviet intelligence agency, along with several other names and organizations helpful to the Communist cause. His disclosures came in sworn testimony taken on a taped "Dateline Washington" radio program for later broadcast.

Kochanski stated that he was given this information "on a need to know" basis by KGB Control Agent Col. Igor Tatushkin, who was the Soviet advisor to Department I of the Polish Intelligence Service in Warsaw. This came after Kochanski was named director of the Department of Poles Living Abroad, an intelligence arm of the Polish Foreign Ministry.

When this reporter first became aware of Janusz Kochanski and that he was considering making heretofore untold public revelations about his activities, sources were checked to confirm his credentials. Many questions arose as to his motivations. What is this guy all about? What's he trying to prove? However, one thing is certain—his credentials are good!

I asked Kochanski: "Why are you willing to chance blowing your new identity and security provided by the CIA, your secure, well-paying job and all the other

benefits that you have been able to acquire, by spilling the beans on the Communist Intelligence Networks?"

"Well, for one thing I'm tired of the American complacency towards Communism," he replied. "I'm watching the gradual erosion of freedoms in this country. I grew up believing in the Communist system. I breathed for it. I killed for it."

(Kochanski admitted to taking part in the assassination of Wladyslaw Mroz in Paris as was revealed in the first article in this series. Mroz, a spy, was suspected of having defected to the West.)

"I idolized it because it was the only political theory I was taught, and I was a well-trained part of an espionage system, a team designed to destroy this country and everything Americans stand for. I know what it's all about. People here in America don't know. That's what I'm trying to do, to tell them, to wake them up to the truth—how Communism is going to take them over from within, Americans fighting Americans and so on.

"This is a great country. Americans don't know about Communism. They don't know because they've never been there. They can't believe how serious it all is."

### INTERESTING SIDELIGHTS:

In view of Kochanski's sworn testimony and off-the-record statements, we find it interesting to note that Rep. Fraser was once supported by the "Daily World," the official Communist Party newspaper. The newspaper defended their champion of a Bill Amendment on Capitol Hill and his legislative maneuvers in an attempt to sustain one Communist Party program that originated in Moscow.

Under a story headlined "Korea Fascists Buy 90 Congress Mem-

bers," published on page 3 of the Oct. 30, 1976 issue of the "Daily World," there was an "editorial" appearing as a news article which assailed 241 red-blooded American members of Congress and leaders of Fraser's opposition who "fanatically" joined Rep. Derwinski "to block a measure by Rep. Donald Fraser, D-Minn., which would have frozen military aid to the fascist regime of Park Chung Hee."

This column is not going to defend the South Korean government under any circumstances but will point out that we have documentation that the Communist Party committees in the U.S. and abroad have been screaming for the U.S. to remove all weapons, including small arms, from South Korea. Party propaganda insists that only white flags of surrender be left to greet their conquering North Korean comrade god, Kim Il Sung, upon his arrival in Seoul.

It is also interesting to note that the Oct. 30, 1976 article in the "Daily World" used such phrases as: "An aide to Rep. Fraser told the Daily World today"; and "The aide asked not to be identified." If this article is true, it would indicate that at least one member of Fraser's staff is cooperating with the Communist Party propaganda media.

We still have not heard from Fraser or Abzug despite repeated attempts to obtain comments on Kochanski's remarks.

Meanwhile, in our next column, Kochanski and Dr. Hanff will name a former Administrator in the Nixon and Ford administrations helpful to the Communists, and they will tell how a Communist government is raiding the U.S. Treasury.

## COMMUNIST PENETRATION ON CAPITOL HILL

By  
Walter Riley

The second in a series of articles that relate to certain Americans engaged in activities favorable to the Communist Party in the U.S. and abroad.

In the first of this series, our column introduced former Communist spy, Janusz Kochanski, who defected to the West and was provided a new identity by the C.I.A. He named former Member of Congress Bella Abzug and present Member Rep. Donald Fraser (D-Minn.) as having been identified to him by the K.G.B. as "Agents of Influence in the Soviet Intelligence Network." The expose occurred during sworn testimony taken on a taped Dateline Washington radio program for later broadcast.

Kochanski stated that it was on a "need to know" basis that he was given this information by K.G.B. Control Agent, Col. Igor Tatushkin who was the Soviet Advisor to Dept. I of the Polish Intelligence Service in Warsaw, Poland. This was after Kochanski became Director of the Dept. of Poles Living Abroad, an intelligence arm of the Polish Foreign Ministry. In this position Kochanski's "control" consisted of between twelve and fourteen million estimated Polish ethnics living abroad and he needed information internationally concerning agents to better coordinate Polish Communist espionage activities.

When asked to define "Agents of Influence," Kochanski replied: "These are foreign national Communists who are activists in the political arenas of their respective countries and who can be depended upon to carry out the missions of the World Communist Movements. They can be either openly known for their Communist activities or undercover in their promotion of the Communist Party. They can also be sympathizers, stupidly led people, or it could even be an organization... political or other... that is controlled

from within by Communist Party members."

Kochanski generally described a "sympathizer" as a person who subscribes to all of the principles of the Communist Party or of its many "front" organizations but for personal, business, or financial reasons does not become a member in the formal sense. It may be an individual who agrees with some significant portion of the Communist program but does not accept its entire dogma or discipline. Then again a sympathizer may be a victim or tool, knowingly or otherwise, of the Communist apparatus wherein he is used through fear, blackmail, trickery, intimidation, brain-washing or as is often the case naive. Sometimes because of belief in a cause without knowing what the hidden program really is or whose interests it serves. Yet, these misguided sympathizers are as dangerous as the open Communists, and, last but not least, there are the covert sympathizers who are the fifth column of Communism. They are the ones that operate openly as non-Communists but secretly are in full accord with the Communist causes and since their true sympathy is hidden, they can undermine, betray and destroy a cause, a principle or a nation. Kochanski stated: "That in the case of Soviet Agents of Influence, the Party Membership status will only be known by the K.G.B. Control Officers." Kochanski added that: "Soviet Agents of Influence are highly regarded as trustworthy to carry out all Communist party programs."

When this reporter first became aware of Janusz Kochanski and that he was considering possibilities of making heretofore untold public revelations about his activities, intelligence sources were checked to confirm his credentials. Many questions arose as to his motivations. What is this guy all about? What's he trying to prove?

However, one thing is certain. His credentials are good!

Kochanski was asked: "Why are you willing to chance blowing your new identity and security provided by the C.I.A. ... secure ... - good paying job and all the other benefits that you have been able to acquire as a result of your defection to the U.S. and spilling the beans on the Communist Intelligence Networks?"

Kochanski replied: "Well... for one thing, I'm tired of the American complacency towards Communism. I'm watching the gradual erosion of the freedoms in this country... I grew up believing in the Communist system... I breathed for it... killed for it (Kochanski confessed his part in the assassination of Wladyslaw Mroz in Paris in the first article) ... I idolized it because it was the only political theory I was taught ... And I was a well trained part of an espionage system... a team ... designed to destroy this country and everything Americans stand for... I know... I know what its all about... People here in America don't know... That's what I'm trying to do... to tell them... to wake them to the truths... How Communism is going to take them over from within... Americans fighting Americans and so on... This is a great country... Americans don't know... They don't know because they've never been there... They can't believe how serious it all is..."

Mr. Kochanski's story to the American people will be continued in the third article of this series.

### INTERESTING SIDELIGHTS:

In view of Mr. Kochanski's sworn testimony and off the record statements, we find interesting items to be considered. Among other supporters of Rep. Donald M. Fraser (D-Minn.) is the official Communist Party newspaper,

"The Daily World," defending their champion of a Bill Amendment on Capitol Hill and his legislative maneuvers in an attempt to sustain one Communist Party program that originated in Moscow.

Under a story title: "KOREA FASCISTS BUY 90 CONGRESS MEMBERS," published on page 3 of the October 30, 1976 issue of *The Daily World*, there was an "editorial" appearing as a news article which assailed 241 red-blooded American Members of Congress and leaders of Fraser's opposition who "fanatically" joined Rep. Derwinski: "to block a measure by Rep. Donald Fraser (D-Minn.) which would have frozen military aid to the fascist regime of Park Chung Hee."

This column is not going to defend the South Korean government under any circumstances but will point out: We have documentation that the Communist Party Committees in the U.S. and abroad have been screaming for the U.S. to remove all weapons, including small arms, from South Korea. Party propaganda insists that only white flags of surrender be left to greet the conquering North Korean Comrade "God," Kim Il Sung, upon his arrival in Seoul.

The article further states: "An Aide to Rep. Fraser told the *Daily World* today." Also mentioned was: "The aide asked not to be identified." If this article is true, it would indicate that at least one member of Congressman Fraser's staff is cooperating with the Communist Party propaganda media.

As of this writing, neither Abzug nor Fraser have returned this reporters repeated calls after having been advised through their press people as to the nature of the articles being published.

In article three of this series, a former Administrator under Nixon and Ford will be named by Kochanski and how a Communist government is raiding the U.S. Treasury.