

Morality Forum Update

Standing up for family values in society

Issue 63

Autumn 2016

PROTECTING MARRIAGE

Paradigm 1 – Protecting Our Species From Doing Itself Serious Harm.

By Stephen Stacey

We are a species, and we do best as a species when we stay within the boundaries that all species have to live within. It doesn't matter if you believe that God created us or you believe we evolved - we are still a species bounded by species rules. All species have one, best-practice-for-their-species, method of conception. And all species have one, best practice, specific method of either raising their offspring or ensuring that enough of their offspring survive so that their species can continue their existence. Each method that each species has developed has not only allowed that species to survive over the course of millions of years – their methods have also ensured that their species has been able to overcome all the challenges that they have faced over that time. In other words, each specific method has worked to protect each species. If any species suddenly left their specific method of fertilization, or left their method of ensuring offspring survival, that species would weaken – either instantly or over a period of time. For example, if mother lions decided that they could raise their offspring alone, away from the protection of the pride, far fewer cubs

to page 3

NEWS IN BRIEF

Call for midwives' chief to resign

Professor Cathy Warwick the chief executive of the Royal College of Midwives has signed up the Royal College of Midwives to a campaign for the “decriminalisation” of abortion. This would mean that anyone could perform an abortion at any time up to birth and for any reason. This extreme abortion campaign is being run by BPAS, Britain's biggest abortion provider. Under their proposals a person with no medical qualifications could legally provide abortions, which would lead to the deaths of more women and babies. Professor Warwick's pro-abortion stance makes her unfit to lead the Royal College of Midwives. The 1967 Abortion Act is widely abused due to the failures of the Department of Health, with the result that women are regularly mis-certified for abortions under the grounds of mental health. The tragic suicide of Jade Rees following her abortion shows how serious mental health issues can be. Scottish midwife Mary Doogan, who fought a long legal battle in defence of midwives to exercise conscientious objection to abortion said: “A midwife and woman come together when a baby is going to be born. Signing midwives up to be part of the abortion industry is a complete betrayal of the profession and a total contradiction of what our work is all about.” This information was published in the September 2016 edition of the PRO-LIFE TIMES. To combat Cathy Warwick's subhuman thinking and desire regarding this matter, there is a postcard campaign which calls for Cathy Warwick to resign from the Royal College of Midwives. To order postcards contact SPUC: 3, Whitacre Mews, Stannary Street, London, SE11 4AB. Tel:02070917091. Email: orders@spuc.org.uk

UPF Düsseldorf - Germany, 16. July 2016: The family as the foundation for autonomy and education – results of psychological research on attachment. By Anja Brina and Petra Heuwind

About 45 guests attended. Sergio Brina opened with a short introduction to UPF, before Hildegard Piepenburg (B.Sc. in Psychology, director of UPF Germany) spoke about scientific findings which show the importance of the parent-child bond in the first two years of life. This is the time when the foundation is set for the further development of the child and his ability to establish relationships. She dealt with the attachment theory, first proposed by John Bowlby, and supported by empirical research carried out by Mary Ainsworth. Secure attachment to its parents encourages the child's autonomy and love of discovery, because he feels securely connected. This is also an important foundation for education. Disturbances of bonding to important attachment figures may, for example occur when the child is put too early in the care of strangers (day-care, nursery, groups for

to page 2

Inside this issue:

Protecting Marriage	1
News in Brief	1
Join the fight for family values	2
Reflection on Women's Federation for World Peace International Internship Program Geneva. Final Report about the United Nations	2
LGBT lobby in public schools	3
Morality Forum Action	4
Letters & Emails	4
Mother Teresa used her global renown to propel the pro-life cause like no one before or since	4
Peace Through Ideal Families	4
True Family Values	5
Living in a time similar to that of Sodom and Gomorrah	6

Morality Forum
43 Lancaster Gate
London W2 3NA
Tel: 020-77230721

moralityforum@hotmail.co.uk
www.moralityforum.org.uk

Editor: John O'Neill
Design and Technical Section:
Frank Van Der Stok

from page 1 News in Brief

toddlers...). This can have considerable consequences for the child's future. Scientific findings show that it is very important for education and interpersonal relationships, that the child, especially in the first two years of life, spends a lot of time with its primary attachment figure (mother or father). In this way, he has the opportunity to bond with this person. It is in the interest of society to encourage the family. A very lively question and answer session followed this very instructive power-point supported presentation, and discussions continued afterwards on a more informal and personal level over refreshments. Certainly this topic always generates discussion, and we would like to thank Hildegard Piepenburg once more for her exceptionally interesting contribution.

Study Finds Individuals in Same-Sex Marriages Have Higher Suicide Rates

Here's another argument against homosexual unions: A recent study conducted in Sweden found out that married gay individuals are more likely to commit suicide compared to their heterosexual counterparts. The research, entitled "Suicide in married couples in Sweden: Is the risk greater in same-sex couples?" used government data to compare a population of more than 6,000 gay couples to a larger population of heterosexual couples who got married between 1996 and 2009. The study revealed that while Sweden is considered as a nation tolerant of homosexual behaviour, married gay individuals still had an overall 2.7 times greater chance of ending their own lives compared to those in heterosexual marriages. Homosexual men who entered into gay unions were also found to have a higher elevated risk (2.9) than women (2.5). "Even in a country with a comparatively tolerant climate regarding homosexuality such as Sweden, same-sex married individuals evidence a higher risk for suicide than other married individuals," the authors of the study stated, as quoted by Life Site News. The results of the study conducted in Sweden are very similar to those earlier done in other European nations, including The Netherlands, which indicate a strong relationship between homosexual behaviour and a variety of negative psychological outcomes. The earlier study showed that gay people are more prone to mood disorders, anxiety disorders, substance abuse disorders, suicide attempts, eating disorders, and panic attacks. Aside from psychological disorders, the research in the Netherlands also found out that because of their higher levels of promiscuity and instability in interpersonal relationships, homosexual individuals are also 10 to 20 percent more prone to being infected by sexually transmitted diseases such as HIV infection. Gay people living in urban areas were also more prone to elevated incidence of herpes and the cancer-causing Epstein-Barr virus, syphilis, anal cancer, and other diseases. *This article is from Family Watch International*


'Join the fight for family values'

Mediawatch-UK has been active in campaigning for a better media for over 50 years. Started by Midlands school teacher Mary Whitehouse in 1963 as the National Viewers' and Listeners' Association, there have been many successes as the media landscape has changed almost beyond recognition in the intervening decades. Mrs Whitehouse was motivated by a concern about the effects that television programmes were having on the children she taught on a daily basis. In the intervening years since Mary and other concerned citizens gathered for the famous Birmingham Town Hall meeting that confirmed the strength of feeling on the issue, the 1978 Protection of Children Act made images of child abuse illegal, the 1984 Video Recordings Act required all videos to follow age classifications similar to those in the cinema. A more recent success has been the 2013 introduction of default-on internet pornography

filters. Indeed, today we're not just worried about the effects of television on impressionable young minds, but also the profound impact of the internet. It's a fast-moving area that parents and teachers are struggling to keep up with, but the consequences of sexualised or violent images, the lack of regulation of on-demand programme or bullying through social networking websites are already being felt while the long-term issues are yet to be understood. It's crucial that organisations like Mediawatch-UK maintain a strong vision for a media environment where children can grow up protected from unsuitable and potentially harmful adult material. The annual subscription fee for mediawatch-UK is £15:00. There are regular newsletters and updates sent out by email or post. You can sign online at mediawatchuk.org. Address: 3, Willow House, Kennington Road, Ashford, Kent. TN24 0NR Tel: 01233 633936. Email: info@mediawatchuk.org

Reflection on Women's Federation for World Peace International Internship Program Geneva. Final Report about the United Nations. March 2016.

By Nicole Thurner



Women's Federation for World Peace International gave me the opportunity to experience the United Nations for three weeks. I was able to learn a lot and it helped me to improve my ability to have compassion for people who are

in greater need than I. I also realized that I am very fortunate to live in a good environment, with people around me I can trust and love and a life that is generally more comfortable than difficult. When I look closely at what I have in my life, I cannot complain about anything and I only feel very grateful for my current situation. The internship opened my eyes to a different world which I had not seen before the internship. It widened my perspective on different races and cultures which I didn't understand before. It was striking to look inside myself and to realize that I lived a very ignorant life so far. I only cared about my own situation, my career, my family, and my future. But what about the future of those who never had the freedom of choice for a brighter future? Can we really consider ourselves human beings if we just look out for our own happiness and success? I learned that the United Nations has a clear vision, as expressed in the Sustainable Development Goals. I was reflecting a lot on those goals, especially since Mrs. Carolyn Handschin told me that we first need to understand, how we can "sustain" something. She said, "Co-prosperity is when the desire of each citizen is for the prosperity of the other. That is the only guarantor of sustained prosperity. ... Is it possible to make transformative and lasting change? We often look for complicated answers, when the solution is simple, based on nature, ecology. If we want a 'Human Family' where no one would be left behind, we need to cultivate that sense of empathy and concern for others." My hero during this whole time was three time Nobel Prize nominee, Dr. Denis Mukwege from the Democratic Republic of Congo. Understanding and seeing what he is doing touched my heart very much. He is not only a doctor but is taking care of the emotionally destroyed girls and women in Africa. He is literally healing broken hearts and bodies. I am grateful for all the realizations I took home with me, and I want to give a special thanks to Dr. Hak Ja Han Moon, the Founder of Women's Federation for World Peace, Carolyn Handschin, and many other people who supported me throughout this time.

Dad: No way I'll send my kids to be brainwashed by LGBT lobby in public schools. [Homosexuality, Matt Walsh, Parental Rights. By Patrick B. Craine]

August 17, 2016 (LifeSiteNews) – Social conservative blogger Matt Walsh is ripping into a new policy in Charlotte, North Carolina, that banishes the words “boy” and “girl” from schools. Not mincing words as usual, Walsh headlines his article, “There’s No Way I’ll Send My Kids To Public School To Be Brainwashed By The LGBT Lobby.” In the past, parents might have worried about leftist bias or early introduction to sexual matters, he says, but now parents simply cannot send their children to public schools without exposing them to flagrant, state-backed abuse. He writes: If you have kids in public school, you ought to be very worried right now. We’re losing an entire generation to this madness. It seems to happen on an increasingly regular basis that I receive a heart-wrenching email from distraught parents whose son came home from school one day and announced that he’s now their daughter, or vice versa. He was sent to school to learn his ABCs, and instead they turned him into a girl. It’s hard to believe that this is happening, but it is. Time to face it. What’s happening to our children in these schools is a violation of their basic human rights. I’m not just talking about the female student whose rights are trampled when the sick perverts who come up with these policies force her to change or use the bathroom in front of a boy. That’s bad enough as it is. But to put these lies in their heads in the first place; to intentionally cause such terrible confusion in their minds and their hearts; to indoctrinate children into the most radical and fantastic and deranged ideas about sex and gender; to deprive them of the opportunity to learn even the very basic truths about life and about themselves — that is a violation of their rights. It is systematic child abuse, and it’s the primary reason why I could not, at this point, send my kids to public school. How can we possibly expect a child to emerge mentally and spiritually intact when he just endured about 13,000 hours of radical LGBT conditioning? How can we expect him to come out with his head on straight when he just spent all of his formative years in an environment where there is no such thing as “boys” and “girls”? How can we expect him to even know which way is up when he just served a 12-year sentence in some kind of dystopian State-run brainwashing facility? And Walsh has a no-nonsense response to Christian critics who think children should be sent into this mess in order to be a “light to the world”: That is all true, of course. But there’s one problem: Jesus gave that commission to adults. My children, on the other hand, are not adults. They aren’t ready to be missionaries. By the time they’re 5 years old, they will not be equipped to charge headfirst into battle and fight the good fight, even as they’re attacked at every turn by the LGBT lobby and some of their teachers and many of their peers and the federal government. They will not be strong enough to withstand the constant, unrelenting stream of lies and falsehoods that will assault their innocent, unformed minds every second of the day. They must be molded and shaped and made strong in the truth first. That takes time. I can’t get it all done by the time they finish potty training, for God’s sake. And to send them into war before they’ve been sufficiently trained and armed is foolish. It’s a suicide mission.

from page 1 Protecting Marriage

would reach adulthood. Lions, as a species, would go into decline. The harem method is their way, and it has served them well for eons. And yet again, if the majority of male swans decided they didn’t want to hang around to rear the cygnets, if they just wanted to go off fishing with their mates, then their offspring would experience increased risks – and swans as a species would go into decline. These risks might include less protection, less food, less chances of parental role modelling, less chance of surviving, etc. The well-being of each species is inextricably linked to their specific method of conception and child raising. We too are a species. Many, many years ago, our species became associated with one specific method of fertilization. And many thousands of years ago our species took on one specific method of gain for the best outcomes for our children – the marital-family. And these methods have served us well for tens of thousands of years – taking us from tribal prehistoric communities to today’s connected, advanced societies. When we look at the data from recent decades it tells a very clear story. It very clearly shows that as citizens in Western nations are using ever greater numbers of methods of conception, and as we have developed a range of less than ideal forms of child raising methods – our societies have experienced an increase in a wide variety of troubling social problems. And our nations are progressively experiencing financial turmoil as a result. Even though we have the most productive societies in the history of mankind we are going into debt fast. It’s just too expensive to deal with the financial fallout of the collapse of the marital-family norm. On top of this, as people in developed nations have moved away from our optimal method of fertilization and away from our optimal method of raising our children, we have also developed a whole range of socially harming attitudes and our young are experiencing ever increasing levels of confused thinking. Every year, fewer are able to build the lasting marital-family that is needed to best protect our children and our species as a collective whole. ‘Socially weakening’ single parenting grows by the year. In just a few short years we seem to have completely forgotten that we are a species – a species subject to species laws - and many times naive politicians pass laws that exacerbate the problem even further.

The Growing Confusion over Marriage

In just a few short years we have moved from understanding that the marital-family is an essential element in building civilization to, in the eyes of many young people, marriage being just a ‘piece of paper’. Just look at the birds. Their bond plays an important role in both raising and educating the next generation. Now many young adults, due to the lies of the culture they are raised in, don’t see the connection between marriage and social well-being. Many now think that they can do whatever they want with their sexuality, that single parenting is fine, and that a healthy society can be built without the marital-norm. How did we get to this delusional thinking? The roots of this damaging thinking lay in the past. The redefining of marriage to suit same-sex unions is not the first time that politicians redefined marriage. Whenever, in the past, lawmakers passed a law that moved us ever further away from our species-specific laws concerning conception and childraising - they redefined the cultural understanding of the role that marriage plays within the cultural fabric. As politicians made new laws in this area, laws that had nothing to do with our

Morality Forum Action

Dear Pastor John, A very big thank you for your time, energy and investment in impacting on us such a wonderful, and eye opener, to all of us at Christ The Rock Prophetic Ministry, on the subject "The Importance of Religious People in Defending Moral and Family Values" We cannot pay you but my Lord and our redeemer, Jesus Christ will surely reward you mightily. Kind regards, Pastor Isaac [John O'Neill has spoken in a number of other Christian churches recently, receiving some very positive feedback]

Dear John, Thank you for your emails and for the letter you sent (To Adam Crafton. D. Mail) - it's extremely good. It covers a lot and connects with the basis of a civilized society. I'll write to the Mail letters section and I'll just take the attitude that now we have a good and very 'clean' result in the Olympics, it's time to clean up and improve everything else, especially swearing from footballers... Recently I wrote to the judge who swore back at someone she sentenced and, apart from a paragraph on swearing, I said it's not a good idea to go down to the level of someone else because your behaviour is being dictated by the other person. I also wrote to a journalist writing in the Daily Express who said that sometimes only a four-letter word will do... I hope you're well. Jacqueline.

On September 3rd, together with other members of the Harrow branch of SPUC, John O'Neill delivered abortion information leaflets door-to-door in the Harrow Weald area.

In Huddersfield, Theresa Quarmby has been invited to give a Pro-Life talk to the local branch of the Roman Catholic Mothers' Union.

Abortion and Euthanasia petition forms and leaflets, sex education bulletins and copies of the Pro-Life Times newspaper, from SPUC continue to be widely distributed.

Letters and Emails Received

Dear John, Thank you very much for the Summer Morality Forum Update. As always it is excellent material! Thank God for Gabriella Kuby. May we have more people of her standing and ethics! With love and prayers to all your family. Theresa.

Thanks John for sending me the Morality Forum Update. Lots to read. What a world we are living in! I'll forward your newsletter to some of my contacts. Keep up the good work! Best wishes, Françoise.

Dear John, I finally got round to reading the last "Morality Forum Update" which was very good and raised some interesting questions Many thanks, Ian Kelly.

Mother Teresa used her global renown to propel the pro-life cause like no one before or since. [September 2, 2016. Stefano Gennarini, J.D.]



Mother Teresa will be declared a Saint by Pope Francis in a special ceremony on Sunday at the Vatican. The pro-life heroine skilfully exploited her celebrity status to propel the pro-life cause internationally like no one else before her or since. The four feet tall Albanian nun was never afraid to speak truth to power, even when it made the powerful of the world feel uncomfortable, and she never pandered to curry their favour. Draped in her iconic white sari, she travelled the globe condemning abortion even when doing so was inconvenient and unwelcome. While lunching at the White House, First lady Hillary Clinton reportedly asked Mother Teresa why America had not yet elected a woman president. "She has probably been

aborted," Mother Teresa replied. During her acceptance speech of the 1979 Nobel Peace Prize Mother Teresa first popularized her signature condemnation of abortion. "The greatest destroyer of peace today is the cry of the innocent unborn child," she told the crowd of nobles, politicians, and celebrities. After a moment of deathly silence Mother Teresa continued. "For if a mother can murder her own child, in her own womb, what is left for you and for me? To kill each other." "Today millions of unborn children are being killed, but we say nothing." Then she raised her voice with alarm. "To me the nations that have legalized abortion, they are the poorest nations. They are afraid of the little one! They are afraid of the unborn child! And the child must die. Because they don't want to feed one more child! Because they don't want to educate one more child! The child must die." She concluded her remarks about abortion with a plea. "Let us make a strong resolution. We are going to save every little child. Every unborn child. Give them a chance to be born." Her plea was not heeded, and she continued to speak for the unborn unabashedly. In 1985 she was a special invitee at the 40th anniversary of the founding of the United Nations during the height of the Cold War. "We all want peace, and yet, and yet we are frightened of nuclear [weapons], we are frightened of this new disease [HIV/AIDS]. But we are not afraid to kill an innocent child, that little unborn child, who has been created for that same purpose: to love God and to love you and me." At the 1994 National Prayer Breakfast in Washington, she surprised Bill and Hillary Clinton with scathing remarks against abortion as they sat close to her. She called abortion "a war against the child, a direct killing of the innocent child, murder by the mother herself." "Any country that accepts abortion is not teaching its people to love, but to use any violence to get what they want. This is why the greatest destroyer of love and peace is abortion," she said. President and First Lady remained quietly seated as the entire room erupted into a standing ovation after her speech She also challenged those with a narrow view of feminism, such as when she said motherhood was "the gift of God to women" and that abortion destroyed it. "Those who want to make women and men the same are all in favour of abortion," she stated in a message to the Fourth World Conference on Women in Beijing in 1995. *LifesiteNews*.
Printed with permission from C-Fam

aborted," Mother Teresa replied. During her acceptance speech of the 1979 Nobel Peace Prize Mother Teresa first popularized her signature condemnation of abortion. "The greatest destroyer of peace today is the cry of the innocent unborn child," she told the crowd of nobles, politicians, and celebrities. After a moment of deathly silence Mother Teresa continued. "For if a mother can murder her own child, in her own womb, what is left for you and for me? To kill each other." "Today millions of unborn children are being killed, but we say nothing." Then she raised her voice with alarm. "To me the nations that have legalized abortion, they are the poorest nations. They are afraid of the little one! They are afraid of the unborn child! And the child must die. Because they don't want to feed one more child! Because they don't want to educate one more child! The child must die." She concluded her remarks about abortion with a plea. "Let us make a strong resolution. We are going to save every little child. Every unborn child. Give them a chance to be born." Her plea was not heeded, and she continued to speak for the unborn unabashedly. In 1985 she was a special invitee at the 40th anniversary of the founding of the United Nations during the height of the Cold War. "We all want peace, and yet, and yet we are frightened of nuclear [weapons], we are frightened of this new disease [HIV/AIDS]. But we are not afraid to kill an innocent child, that little unborn child, who has been created for that same purpose: to love God and to love you and me." At the 1994 National Prayer Breakfast in Washington, she surprised Bill and Hillary Clinton with scathing remarks against abortion as they sat close to her. She called abortion "a war against the child, a direct killing of the innocent child, murder by the mother herself." "Any country that accepts abortion is not teaching its people to love, but to use any violence to get what they want. This is why the greatest destroyer of love and peace is abortion," she said. President and First Lady remained quietly seated as the entire room erupted into a standing ovation after her speech She also challenged those with a narrow view of feminism, such as when she said motherhood was "the gift of God to women" and that abortion destroyed it. "Those who want to make women and men the same are all in favour of abortion," she stated in a message to the Fourth World Conference on Women in Beijing in 1995. *LifesiteNews*.

Printed with permission from C-Fam

Peace Through Ideal Families

By Reverend Robin Marsh

Families are the key; family is the most important institution, the school of love. Ex.20:12. Honour thy father and thy mother... Prov. 22:6. Train up a child in the way he should go, and even when he is old he will not depart from it. Eph. 5:25. Husbands love your wives... Col. 3:20. Children obey your parents in everything, for this pleases the Lord... Eph. 6:4. Fathers, do not provoke your children in anger, but bring them up in the discipline and instruction of the Lord. Grandparents pass on faith. Family faith needs reviving. Children need fulfilment at home, otherwise will look elsewhere. Take care of the small things. Nurturing, counselling, day to day. To not do it is dangerous. (Matt. 12:33.) Family breakdown undermines social stability. Deal with the root - family renewal. Families of faith, active participants. Need to look at the family. See each level living for others. Good relationships within the family. Need a family of faith that God can bless. The family school of love sets children on the right path for the rest of their lives. When children see family members living for the sake of each other, the nation and the world, they will want to follow, and Inherit the faith they have seen in their parents. Gen. 1:28. Be fruitful, multiply and have dominion. Building one family. Each of us has a responsibility to make a good family. Fruitful – God at the centre. Unite our minds and bodies. Continual battle in all ages. (Rom. 7:15. Rom. 7:22) St. Paul did amazing things, brought so many people, but struggled. Faith and action together. We can become very high. We became unreliable for God. Mind/body unity leads to integrity,



True Family Values

True Love: What is it?

B. What does it mean to be “centred on true love”?

6. *Our life is infused with true love.*

- We live with God, and God’s love empowers and enlivens us.
- True love can enter every cell of our body.

7. *True love requires the perfect unity of motivation and action, or vertical and horizontal, or mind and body. Such is, metaphorically, a 90 degree intersection of the vertical and horizontal dimensions, a perfect balance of mind and body.*

C. To be centered on anything less, such as material possessions, security, or status, is not to be centered on true love.

1. *Centered on the self: heart of selfishness, self-seeking infects all relationships. No one can trust such a person.*
 2. *If we are centered on ourselves, another person, our nation, race, or religion, the relationship is limited and we are biased (off-balance, or off-center).*
 3. *To center on true love is the greatest challenge of our lives.*
 4. *If we ask people which they desire most: money, power, knowledge or true love, everyone will answer, “true love.”*
- Our own hometown is where our families can practice true love most fully. Love in the family becomes real when we share it with the wider world.

The Family is the Cornerstone

A. True families naturally give of themselves for the welfare of the wider world.

Through commitment to work through our family for the benefit of our hometown, we take responsibility in relation to God, and in relation to our fellows.

1. *Taking responsibility before God.*

- This means that we are answerable first to God for what we do, and we are willing to be answerable for what others do around us.
- In this sense we can be called a representative family, because we represent the world to God and God to the world.
- In the Bible, God relied upon representative families to fulfill historical responsibilities. We should realize that this is the value of our family in front of God.
- What we represent.

We represent God and God’s true love.

Isaiah called us to be “a light to the nations” (Isa 42:6). Jesus spoke about letting your light shining forth before men, so that they might glorify God

(Matt. 5:16). The Puritans were called to create a “city on a hill,” a Christian commonwealth for all the world to see.

We represent humankind.

Bringing with us all human frailties, and yet giving everything and humbly offering ourselves.

2. *Taking responsibility before others.*

- Taking responsibility for other’s around us, and serving them, naturally draws people to us. This makes one’s family a central family.
- A president is a central family of his nation, a governor of his state.
- We can be central to:
 - Our own extended family.
 - Our church, temple or mosque.
 - Our field or profession. Our hometown.
 Some find ways to serve even larger wholes, such as a religious institution, a nation, or even the global arena.
- A central family leads a public life.
 - My time is for others. Whatever the needs of my community, I will put them first, even when it impinges on my privacy.
 - As a family, when one takes a public position, others in the family also become public. A politician campaigns with his wife and children. A spouse and children who support a public person may have to make many sacrifices; they do it and thereby participate in his public mission.
 - A central family protects and nourishes others, educates others, and becomes the natural leader in the community.

3. *This is the ethic of a true family.*

B. The arena of action for true love is one’s hometown.

1. *We take the welfare of our community as our own.*

- We should not depend upon supernatural intervention, whether from God, outer space, or from a wonderful beneficent government.
- Goodness must grow, step by step, from the actions of committed people.

2. *The task of building a good society begins in our home and neighborhood.*

- Evils of selfish family-centeredness, of the attitude that my home is my castle, which is the root of dictatorship and favoritism.
- Crime goes down when neighbors act together to care for the neighborhood.
- All great leaders began on the local level and worked their way up.

(to be continued...) (from the book “True Family Values” by Wilson and Pak <http://www.hsabooks.com/books>)

from page 4 Peace Through Ideal Families

growth in character, maturity and closeness to God and higher values. [St. Francis – ‘The perfect day’] Luke 17:33. Whoever seeks to save his life shall lose it; and whosoever shall lose his life shall preserve it. When you sacrifice for others something happens, it comes back. The faithful family is the building block, centring on higher values and the higher purpose. Go-doers. Matt. 5:43-44. Love your enemies... Situations where we give out, get hurt. Need to forgive, let go. God centred marriage. Ex. 20: 4-6. Shall not make any image... What traditions are we setting which will be passed on? Children need to experience God’s true life, love and lineage and then pass it on to others. The family should be the school of morality – the basis of social norms and proper conduct in the wider world. Husbands and wives – see God in each other, the image of the Creator. Living for the sake of each other and for the wider world. Model of true love for their children. ‘One Family Under God’. Inspired by God’s love. God gave himself. Caring for each other. If others are suffering care for them. Sacrifice what we have. If we do not sacrifice, we become stagnant. Living for others, more blessing comes. Jesus was 2nd Adam to help us to find the original family. *This article is based on notes taken during a PowerPoint presentation given by Rev. Robin Marsh, at a meeting of the Association of British Clergy, at 43, Lancaster Gate, London, W2. on September 17th 2016*

Living in a time similar to that of Sodom and Gomorrah

By John O’Neill

There is little doubt that we are now living in a time similar to that of Sodom and Gomorrah. *The Rev. Dr.S.M. Moon: “As is recorded in biblical history, the cities and nations that were immoral in the sight of God were destroyed. There was no more compelling reason for the burning of Sodom and Gomorrah with fire and brimstone or, later the destruction of Rome. They were destroyed because the people did not cherish their chastity. They did not honour the ideal of the purity of love and instead immersed themselves in obscene acts. Look at the world’s advanced nations today – for instance the United States, the European countries and Japan. Who can stop the tidal wave of free sex and immorality engulfing the East and the West. As if hedonism, stimulating only the peripheral nerves, and confused love were not enough, the world is now full of empty people clamouring for mind-altering drugs. All of these paths that dominate the body leads towards death... The current social and cultural environment is beset by the worldwide trend, particularly through movies, music, journalism and the Internet, to mislead people to misuse their reproductive organs. The tidal wave of free sex is ruining countries and breaking apart families; it is particularly impacting vulnerable young people. This is a tragic reality. It is time for religious leaders and other leaders of conscience to raise their voices loudly in support of morality.”*

As well as immorality being rampant In the UK there are other very serious issues that are relevant in relation to our nation turning away from our Creator’s absolute universal moral and spiritual laws, which are meant to be the bedrock and the foundations for peace and stability, centring on the God-centred nuclear family. Figures from the Health and Social Care Information Centre show that the number of patients admitted to hospital with alcohol-related illnesses has almost doubled in a decade. There were almost 1.1 million admissions last year. More than one in three teenage girls now suffer from anxiety or depression. A

study by the Department for Education of 30,000 girls found the number of girls with poor mental health rose by 10 percent in the past decade. Recently released Police data shows that 2,000 children had been reported for having indecent images on their phones over three years. Figures from 2014 showed that some NHS trusts had seen a 25% rise in referrals for depression and self-harm in connection with the practice. A report in June found children as young as 11 were being “stripped of their childhoods” and warped by online porn. An NSPCC and the Children’s Commissioner for England survey of more than 1,000 children found 94 per cent of 14-year-olds had seen X-rated films or photographs. Sexual content on various TV channels is getting more explicit and amoral with programmes such as Channel 4’s Naked Attraction and the ITV2 show Love Island. Such programmes treat the sexual act as though it is a recreational sport. All the wrong messages about sex are sent out from such programmes, especially to young people, which will result in more abortions, unwanted teenage pregnancies, STD’s and single parents. It is the height of irresponsibility by the relevant TV companies to produce such lewd and degrading programmes in the ratings chase for more viewers. Sadly, Ofcom the media regulator with their “light touch” policy do nothing to stop the appalling decline of standards of good taste and decency In the media. Ofcom urgently need replacing with a regulatory body which understands clearly the damage being caused by amoral media standards, and, is willing to take firm action to rectify the situation. Please contact MPs about Ofcom.

Frank Field MP has called for morality classes to be given in schools, stating that teaching children decency and good behaviour should form part of the national curriculum. Sadly, such a proposal is a clear sign of the times we are living in. Before the advent of the sexual revolution and the permissive society in the 1960’s it was the norm for children to have good manners, to dress modestly and to behave in a proper and respectful way. Relevant politicians and media people have caused so much damage to our society in the decades since the 1950’s with permissive anti/Godly standards and policies in relation to traditional moral and spiritual values. Since the 1950’s there has been a ten-fold increase in crimes and enormous increases in social problems. MP’s and media people in particular need to look carefully at the lessons of history, especially in relation to Sodom and Gomorrah. The humanistic anti/Godly agenda is not working and never will. (D.H. Unwin. Study of 88 civilisations). Family breakdown is having a devastating impact on our society. By the time they are 16 years old 50% of our young people will see their parents split up. It is well known that family breakdown causes trauma to both the adults and the children involved. Celebrity culture, “me first”, sexual pressures, crime increases, drugs, etc. Is it any wonder that so many of our young people are suffering from depression and anxiety? When a religious ethos was last prevalent in the 1950’s such harmful influences were not relevant. Our nation urgently needs a religious ethos to be prevalent again. Living for the sake of others and becoming beings of true love is the core message which our major religions teach. Living according to such wonderful tenets and virtues is not meant to be an option. It is the key to the peace and stability that we all want to see: Philippians 4:7. And the peace of God which surpasses all understanding... 1 John 4:8. Whoever does not love does not know God, because God is love. May Almighty God give each one of us the strength and courage to do our best in defending moral and spiritual values, in line again with the words of Saint Augustine: “Pray as though everything depends on God. Act as though everything depends on you.” If we all have that kind of mindset we will surely be able to achieve great things in the noble endeavour to end the pain and suffering in our troubled world.

from page 3 Protecting Marriage

species norms, they also created a new cultural understanding of the role of marriage and the means of conception. And ever since they started doing this some 50 years ago many of our social problems have risen year on year. In other words, when politicians play with the best practices for our species - when they create laws that take us away from our species norms - they are harming society. Also, because our optimal method of conception and our optimal method of raising children belong to our species - past, present and future - a handful of politicians today have absolutely no right to tamper with them. Probably the first major redefinition of marriage was no-fault divorce law. This one law did several things. It increased the power of politicians over people's lives. A handful of politicians said they had the power to break the promise that two people had made in front of their community and of each other. Who said they had this power over the promises that citizens made to each other? They have no natural power in this area. The law change, in the way that it was framed, introduced several social lies into the cultural fabric. One lie was - 'marriage is just a piece of paper.' This is because it became easier to get a divorce than to break a business contract. Another lie was: 'If the couple was struggling, the best thing they could do for their children was to divorce.' False. The best thing they can do for their children is to go and get help and learn more about becoming loving adults. But the law wasn't framed like this. Another lie was: "Getting a divorce is a way to end the struggles". False in many instances. Many couples continued to fight about how to best raise the children after the divorce. Also, often the pain that the parents hoped to get rid of is now just transferred out into other's lives - to the children, to the grandparents, and into rising social costs. Even the lie of: "I will be happier after the divorce" is often far from what happens in real life. Increased rates of suicide, alcoholism, depression and health problems are all associated with divorce. Because of the illegal tampering by politicians almost every form of social problem has grown, many endlessly. Divorce brings about the loss of the role modelling of both parents and it often causes the child to be separated from the love of one of the parents. These outcomes, when played out in many families, have now caused a negative spiral downwards whereby fewer people marry every year. Many hurt children of divorce are now frightened of entering into marriage. They now increasingly enter into cohabitation - and because of the fragile nature of cohabitation the children are much more likely to end up growing up without the love of one of their biological parents. The most important dream of most young adults is becoming ever harder to achieve. The law change also gave the strong message to adults that their right to happiness was more important than child well-being. This harmful concept has been multiplied many times since then. Thus, today, many young adults today see no problem with a child being sold at birth in order to make a gay couple happy. The fact that the child doesn't want to be sold is completely neglected or irrelevant. Just one law, unlawfully initiated by politicians who had no training in the finer details of marriage had a major, negative impact on our cultures. Because of this law millions now suffer more fragile and broken lives - in jail, on drugs, depressed, and more. And since that law was passed politicians have passed many other laws using the same abuse of power - all with similar results. And as they pass these laws, as they say they have the power to move society away from our species norms,

politicians are gaining ever more power over people's lives and they are causing many of their citizens major suffering and long term harm. And as the political class mess with our species norms they create ever increasing amounts of confusion - and it becomes ever harder for today's young adults to marry and stay married so as to best protect their children and social well-being. So the minds of the young become even more clouded. And in this confusion many young adults believe that marriage serves no wider social purposes - it's just a piece of paper. Thus marriage must solely be about adult attraction. Thus "any two people can be married". But a same-sex union and a heterosexual union are completely different when looked at from the viewpoint of their contribution to the longevity of a culture. Gay unions and lesbian unions cannot create the forces which sustain civilizations. Homosexuals, as individuals, can contribute to society just as all individuals can, but their union offers no more to society than two widows or friends living in the same house. To call their union a marriage is to confuse us even more. If, politicians unlawfully redefine marriage so as to clearly state in the law that marriage serves no social purposes, more and more heterosexuals are left wondering why they need to marry. Instead, even more heterosexuals end up cohabiting in fragile, short-term relationships. And their children and the wider society are more likely to suffer. Our species suffers decay. And yet again in the confusion, our children, rather being taught that their social responsibility is to grow to become good husbands and fathers, or good wives and mothers so as to best protect their children and our species, they now end up being taught that they are sexually fluid - a totally self-centered concept based on one's own sexual fulfillment - a form of sexuality that only applies to homosexuals - not to those who have a responsibility towards their future children. In doing so, we step even further away from our species specific method of gaining the best, long-term outcomes for our children and our nations. The eventual collapse of our cultures is the only possible outcome. And yet again, as discussed in a previous section, the recent growth in the number of people who experience same-sex attraction is directly linked to the ever increasing numbers of children who are being raised outside the love and protection of their two biological parents. The lack of gender role modelling and the increased rates of abuse that such children experience is causing both boys and girls to either grow up 'genderless' or 'gender abused'. Put simply, as the heterosexual marital-family norm disappears more young adults grow up confused about their own sexual nature. And since the redefining of marriage causes the marital-family norm to decay even further, then a growing level of same-sex sexual behaviour can be the only outcome. This being so, the ability of the next generation to practice our optimal method of raising our children can only be even further degraded.

The Violation of the Natural Laws Concerning Conception Cause the Social Fabric to Decay

It is not only the collapse of our optimal child raising practice of the marital-family that we need to worry about. When it comes to the process of conception and the nurturing of a baby in the womb - we also have had one successful method for thousands of generations. But now we increasingly interfere with this successful method. And as we progressively experiment with even more methods of conception, or stopping con-

from page 7 Protecting Marriage

ception, we again weaken as a species - again in a whole host of ways. Consider the following: Sometimes, when a couple delay in having a child through using artificial means, they later find that they can't have children through natural means. When they chose IVF in order to help them conceive, a person in a white coat chooses a sperm. Out of all the sperm in an ejaculation it might be sperm number 12,230 in terms of healthiness. It would never have made it to the egg first. It's just not fit enough. And so we find that children born through IVF have, on average, more health issues than the norm. And these unhealthier children have children of their own and they pass their less healthy genes out into the wider society. All this adds costs to the system. This is a worrying finding considering the fact that every year more women need IVF to conceive. All developed societies promote condom use so as to reduce the number of unwanted children. But condoms break. Or when people are drunk they forget to use them. So women have children but the male wants nothing to do with the child. He's not ready to be a father. And so the child grows and, on average, experiences more risks and is more likely to have issues that negatively affect their life and the social fabric - both financially and from the viewpoint of social well-being. And yet again the pill - sometimes called the divorce pill. It affects the hormones of the woman and can increase the chances of divorce in a wide range of ways. For example, when on the pill she smells different and her partner smells different. Smell plays a vital role in helping us chose a mate who is biologically compatible. And they marry. When she comes off the pill he smells different and she smells different, and they no longer feel that same sense of attraction. Thus they are more likely to divorce and, if they have children, social harm is a possibility. Loneliness, a possible rise in depression, alcoholism or suicide and much more are all conceivable. We weaken. The growth of the abortion industry over the last 60 years has been profound. Millions of children are killed every year. The potential for both physical harm and long-term psychological distress of women who go through this procedure is now well documented. All of the negative side effects cause extra problems - both for the wider society and maybe for any descendants. The end result - there is only the creation of more social harm. Next, the delay in creating families that contraception affords is causing havoc all across society. The low birth rate is causing turmoil all across Europe. The Christian civilization of Europe - one that took two thousand years to build - may well come to an end in the next 50 years. The influx of family loving Muslims - needed so they can work and pay for the pensions of the low birth rate Europeans - could well cause a radical transformation in the European culture within the life-time of today's young. After one hundred years of following anti-species laws and poof, the Christian civilization of Europe is no more. There is nothing sustainable about this 'I love my profession and don't want kids' culture that contraception allows for. And yet again, the extended childhood that many adults now experience right through their twenties is causing havoc with our once parent-focused cultures. Individualistic behaviours and attitudes that harm the wider society grow in intensity by the generation. It's my right - even if it harms both myself and the social fabric - is very much the flavour of the day. And many teenagers are negatively affected by this. And yet again. STD's have been kept at bay throughout human history because our ancestors

worked at controlling their sexual desires and sought to be sexually faithful. Now, due to the harm created by the illusion of safe-sex, STD rates are growing fast. More and more women are becoming infertile because of them. Some of these STDs are becoming drug resistant - what then? Drug companies find no value in producing new antibiotics because the STD's become immune to them so quickly. Millions have already died of AIDS. Vast financial resources have been spent on keeping people alive. Put simply, the current 'safe sex' lie is causing major problems for our species. What are we left with? We are left with a decaying culture because a low birth rate; an increasingly authoritarian political class which thinks it has power over children, over families, over religions, over what they believe you should think; an endless list of growing social problems; and an ever increasing national debt due to the collapse of the marital family. Far from creating a safer, more enriched world, the disregard for our species norms is creating just the opposite. Species weakening is the only outcome. We leave our species-specific method of conception and childraising at our peril. Of course, cultural Marxists know that these ends will automatically occur once the rules of our species are tampered with. They especially like the idea of a powerful, authoritarian political class. Thus they push for the degradation of our species norms under the deceitful banner of 'women's or LBGTI rights.' Because they understand this process they now seek, through the UN and other international organizations, to set the same negative ball rolling in developing countries. They push, cajole and blackmail these countries to accept abortion, methods of contraception and the whole 'the State has a deep interest in how people of the same sex touch each other's sexual parts' agenda. They know where this all leads once they get the foot in the door. Many people have noticed that once we tamper with our species specific methods of both conceiving and raising our children we start to create a 'culture of death and meaninglessness'. In the supposed name of building a healthier society we are creating a dying culture. In the name of personal freedom we: Kill millions of helpless children in the womb - denying them personal freedom. End up with AIDS - which has killed millions more. End up with many lonely middle-aged people who - without a family - feel their life is without meaning - and in that loneliness their spirit dies and suicide and alcoholism are on the rise. End up with sexual diseases that harm a woman's ability to conceive - and those future children are never born - and her marriage might not live and survive through the difficult stress of the IVF treatment. End up killing our own Christian culture - the most successful culture that ever was created by our species. End up going down the road to create cultures where euthanasia becomes part of social fabric - and eventually people end up taking their lives for depression and more. Our culture, long ago, lost the value of human life when the political class allowed a mother to murder her baby. End up creating young people who lack the skills and attitudes that are needed to build a lasting, protective home to raise their children in. Without a family and children, many feel life is meaningless and thus rates of depression, self-harm and drug overdose grow by the year. Put simply, if we destroy the marital-family we lose the place which gives the most meaning to human existence.

And life without meaning is a painful life to live. And the loss of the marital-norm is directly linked to the availability of

to page 9

from page 8 Protecting Marriage

unnatural methods of contraception and birth control. One of the many interesting points of note that surround this debate is the viewpoint taken by many people who strongly believe that we, and our universe, are just the result of a grand series of accidents - by people who believe the whole atheistic, evolutionary story. If you believe there is no Creator, that there is a solely accident evolution, then you have to fully side with the species norms argument as presented above. To say that we are a product of 'the survival of the fittest' - and then to promote the species weakening 'free sex' and 'marriage doesn't matter' viewpoints - would be contradictory. If marriage creates our 'fittest' outcomes - then it needs to be fully supported by all aspects of culture. However, the opposite is often true. The religions end up fully supporting the 'we are a species and need to live within species norms argument'. And most evolutionists are encouraged to believe that they can use their sexual organs as they please - and that marriage is just one of many equal alternatives. Atheistic evolutionists can't see the contradiction of their views. You can't believe in survival of the fittest arguments and then say that living species weakening norms will build a better tomorrow. Atheists can't see they are being taken for a ride by cultural Marxists who wish to use the atheism of the young for their own political ends.

So how do Nations Protect Themselves from Going Down this Path of Decline?

The way that the developed countries play with both our species method of raising our children and our species method of conception is not sustainable. After all the suffering, the harmed children, the killing, the lost dreams, the financial distress and more, we will be forced to go back to square one - to a place where we realize that we have to respect Mother Nature's ways. It's far better we fix the problems now, rather than go through the crash and turmoil that will come. Birds inseminate each other in one specific way and raise their offspring in one specific way - and to do anything different than this would cause their species to weaken. This being so, the first paradigm we recommend to any nation that wishes to stay away from the culture of self-destruction that the developed nations are promoting is the 'stay natural' paradigm. This paradigm asks us to simply acknowledge that we have one species-specific method of conception and one species specific method of child-raising. We do not need to tamper with them. This paradigm can then serve as a very clear basis upon which to protect our nations. Put simply, any nation which is seeking a brighter, more sustainable future, needs to stay away from any unnatural method of contraception, to stay away from the unnatural practice of abortion, to stay away from creating any laws that encourage women to become single parents, and to stay away from creating anything that looks like no-fault divorce. All these drag us away from the natural laws and attitudes that built and sustained our cultures for thousands of years. Some may argue that taking away methods of contraception and abortion will lead to many more single mothers and many other social problems. There are two fundamental arguments against such a prediction. Firstly, ask yourself: "Has the condom, pill and abortion (CPA) culture, in and of itself, created a situation that has brought about fewer single parents or more single parents than any other time in known history?" The answer is clear - this pathway has brought about a massive increase in single parenting over the last 60 years. It doesn't do what it claims to do. The 'free-sex' idea is one big lie. It is not 'free-sex' - it is 'the destruction of one's culture'

sex. For the sake of present day, titillating, short term fun - future generations experience more debt and more struggles. In an era before the condom, pill and abortion, people, on average, acted in more responsible ways. And culture benefited from citizens acting as responsible adults. Yes, surprising as it might be, people can act responsibly if their culture encourages them to do so. In such a culture, for sure there will be cases where single women get pregnant - but, if the culture is fully in step with the natural paradigm, the number of single women getting pregnant will be FAR LESS than the number of single mothers that exist in today's confused, CPA societies. One of the core reasons that the CPA culture doesn't achieve what it claims to achieve is because a CPA culture is especially harmful to the development to the male of our species. Like males in many species, he is testosterone driven. His hormonal programming pushes him to seek out a sexual partner. In a non-CPA culture, women are far more picky about who their sexual partners are. Because the females are picky, or the families of the women are picky, the males have to shape up - have to become responsible, have to show they can get a job, and they have to show they can treat the lady with respect. If he gets it right he's much more likely to be accepted as a life-long sexual partner in building a marital family. In a CPA culture, the opposite happens. The development of males is increasingly undermined. Many males can get their testosterone driven sexual needs fulfilled without growing to be responsible. In such a culture the number of women a man can bed becomes a more important goal than becoming a mature male who can love a woman, build a home and raise a family. Eventually, more and more women give up looking for a life-long partner - so they have a child without the father being there. The more this happens, the more the sense of 'everyone is doing it' kicks in. Society is sucked down a vortex which it can't climb out of. The only hope is to ban all contraceptives and abortions - to press the reset button - to get back to the sexual norms that built our civilizations. But this scenario is highly unlikely in developed nations. They have to follow the path of destruction to then end and then, when everything looks bleak, a faith will come along to impose our species specific norms on society. As for those nations who haven't yet started going down the CPA road they can look, think and decide if they want the decay that a condom, pill and abortion culture brings. The second reason why a culture free of condoms, the pill and abortions won't lead to devastation is because we are not talking about one paradigm being used alone. As mentioned in the introduction there is a need for two paradigms. The paradigm mentioned here is the protective one - it protects us from going down the road where we create ever increasing amounts of weakness and debt within our nations. It also protects us from political overreach by our politicians and judges - protecting us from their authoritarian behaviour. Thus the stay natural paradigm cannot exist alone. It needs a second paradigm to compliment it. There is a need for a paradigm that shows us, in simple terms, how a healthier society is actually built over the course of several generations. In such a paradigm we can ask many questions such as: "How can we help young adults develop a culture of non-sexual dating?" and "How can we better prepare our young for marriage?" and "How can we better support the marital-family over the course of its whole life-cycle?" and "How can we best help married couples who feel like they can't continue?" The better we answer these questions, the healthier the future of our nations and species will be. We are intelligent people. When we ask the right questions we can find many creative answers.