

Do we recognize our Heavenly Father's loving guidance? Part 10

Nikolaus Beutl
March 31, 2011

My dear brothers and sisters,

When I looked into the iUnification Meeting House today (as I write these lines on March 18, 2011) I read in the little section *"About this site"* the words of its founder, Pastor Kyle Toffey: *"Growing people are happy... same with community. Love is investing in the spiritual growth of another... Helping each other to grow within all our roles and responsibilities."*

In the chat section of this website the following statement by the site founder can be seen on the top left: *"Unificationists 'tribal messiahs', to support each other to love the world, True Parents (born in Korea) and God."*

With these two quotations we are reminded that the purpose of this site is to help each other to grow, to support each other to deepen our ability to love. For this very task I am investing myself, offering contributions to this website. They were all well received and appreciated, but still I was kicked out from this e-group because of what had become a reality: To say it in the words of the WFWP representative for Albania: *"From what I saw in the discussion below, it was not at all about his words expressed on the forum but about some gossips and allegations or interpretations of past facts and it does not seem to me to be right to ban a person from this forum based on that. It would rather be reasonable to follow certain rules here and agree to ban a person if his/her words as expressed here are openly offensive to anyone or openly against the purpose of this site,..."*

In other words, I was not suspended from this place in the internet because of what I posted there but because of what was said about me! The lies that were spread are the real cause for sister Elise and brother Nikolaus to have been thrown out. This is a clear fact.

My dear brothers and sisters, don't any of you care when such an unbelievable situation of misuse of position is practiced in our midst? While one could try to find some arguments against me, there is none whatsoever that could reasonably be brought up as justification for banning Elise Lambert from the iUnificationist Meeting House and not even allowing her husband to join when he applied for membership – for the simple fact that he is blessed with her!

When such a practice is manifested and we all close our eyes to it, then we don't need to be surprised that the same is done to us and especially to our True Parents. They are completely unjustly persecuted – while we do the same to our own brothers and sisters.

The discussion on iUnificationist continued on Wednesday (March 16, 2011) with talking about Mr. Beutl – and not the content of what I wrote about in my reflection: *"Unite and Restore the lost heart of brothers and sisters"* with the following post:

"I must say that while I disagree with Mr. Beutl on a great many points, I still have to remember that when he was called by God to join the church, he joined. Just as a great many of us have sacrificed home, family, reputation, etc. to follow our TP, so did Mr. Beutl. And whatever disagreement I may have with his words, I must honor that he had joined the church, when so many turned away. Where are all the great ones who were lavished with gifts, banquets, all-expense-paid tours and travel to conferences, only to later decide they couldn't risk their precious reputations or social standings by committing to TP? And so, Mr. Beutl is precious in that respect.

As I have mentioned, I have greatly disagreed with Mr. Beutl's views on a number of occasions. And so I have written to him on more than one occasion to inform him so, and to explain to him why I disagreed. I wrote respectfully, and trying to have a sincere sisterly loving heart in doing so. Mr. Beutl's responses were always respectful in response and he was open-minded about what I said, and offered to reflect further upon the matters.

I humbly submit that if others likewise disagree with Mr. Beutl, that they decide which is the better goal: venting their displeasure, and engaging in 'virtual fighting' which surely does not help their own peace of mind, nor does it encourage the object of their anger to reflect or change... or would it be perhaps better to strive to keep their peace of mind and calmly and factually write out the points they disagree with and their calm and factual rebuttal to those points in contention. That way the way is open to reflection and open-mindedness to other viewpoints, on the part of the person we disagree with.

As I have said, while I do disagree with a great many of Mr. Beutl's views, he is still my brother and surely treating him in a loving way is more effective than venting anger at him. As True Parents have shown, there is always room for resolution and reunification, it just may take longer for some than for others.

Let us all have peaceful minds and hearts, forgive those we may consider 'enemies', and show them God's True Love."

The main person accusing me responded with the following confession in respect to her brother Nikolaus: *"I was angry, yes, I was. I didn't confront him in public with all those accusations he made which weren't true even though I've wanted to evade to confront him in public but it happened now. Mr. Beutl was too holy and too good for me to say things, seeing and demanding from others but as I see him, he, to himself, he's not seeing himself through, his own limitations and not accepting them, so I find him so hypocrite that lost my respect and trust.*

Now my fight is how to see him in God's viewpoint. You see him so good, thanks God! But with the anger and hurt I am having, I can't see him in a positive light. God guide me because I am facing a real challenge, how to love a person to whom it's difficult for me to love. I can't pretend."

In this situation the formerly quoted representative of WFWP offered her words of understanding: *"Dear Sister [name]! I don't think anybody thinks you are bad and Nikolaus is holy. I can understand your heart to some degree because I also did not like his comments on the situation in Brazil which he expressed in his mails. I think they were very unsuitable to the situation. I wrote to him at that time and expressed my dislike. Maybe because of a personal relationship we were able to have some dialogue on that.*

The point is that I, and a few others, think that it is not a reason to ban him from this forum, especially that now we have a post on a different subject and there are probably some good points in there. Besides he is our brother, whom we have reasons to respect. I guess you need to find some good way to work out the situation with him, so that both sides may feel understood. I know such things are often not easy. However, are we not supposed to be specialists on peace building and conflict resolution?

Wishing you many God's Blessings. Thank you for your dedication and seriousness to follow God's Providence, that is so precious. Sincerely..."

The person who is troubled with personal challenges responded: "Dear Sister ..., Thank you so much for the very beautiful side of you, not one sided. You went deeper and have analyzed things in a very motherly heart. Your words made me deeply reflect. This won't be easy for me but I am learning deeply from you, I won't promise anything but I will do my best to deal with my own situation. Once again, it's not others but the problem is myself. Deeply grateful from my heart..."

Finally it was put on paper – posted on iUnificationist: *"Once again, it's not others but the problem is myself."* Because this sister has personal problems brother Nikolaus and sister Elise were kicked out of the community! Is this how we help our members to overcome their individual issues – by kicking out the ones whom they cannot love?

The brother whose family name means *"shining"* offered in the given situation a very valuable contribution based on Father's words: *"Dear Dolor, I know members from both 'sides' who were present at the tragic happenings in the São Paulo church last May. Some were more abhorred by HJN's treatment of the Continental Director and National President. Others felt more incensed by the church leaders' handling of the situation and lack of respect for HJN, etc. A short time later the two church leaders were invited to Korea. True Parents welcomed and embraced them while publicly chastising HJN. Clear evidence that the leaders were in the right and HJN wrong, so the leaders are above criticism in this case? Not necessarily. Consider TF's words from a 1971 sermon:*

"For example, when two boys are fighting each other in their town, this fighting by boys becomes a man's fight. In this fight, let's say a person from the town hits your own son. In this situation, if you show up and say to that person, "It is your son's fault," would this not become a man's fight? At that time, in front of the other boy's mother and father, you should yell at your boy "You, you shouldn't do that" and if the man hits your boy once, then you hit your own boy three times. Then, would he feel sorry and say, "Hey, hey, don't do that," or not? [He would.]

"If this happens, does Satan come and accuse? He turns around without even holding his face up. If you try to make him surrender in an easy way, it will not work. First, you have to make even his shadow disappear. You shouldn't let him go away without receiving his blessing and recognition. You have to have the receipt saying that you won over Satan. When you ask, "What will you do to my son," you have to receive a public document saying, "Ah, I can't do it. You handle it yourself." We are making plans to have this kind of contract. Is that good or bad? Doesn't it need witnesses? If father and son are not serious, who will believe them? Satan has to testify in front of heaven and earth. That's the way." (www.unification.net/1971/19710828_1.html)

One person commented based on how the discussion had developed: *"This page has allot of Dialog in deed. But the good News is that it is reaching the Level of ** VICTORY OF LOVE ***
*** *AJU **

But the joy was expressed too soon. There was only a minimal victory in the heart of the troubled sister who responded to the above quoted brother: *"Can you tell all this to your beloved friend Mr. Beutl when he strongly accused my Continental Director? You are not my problem, my problem is Mr. Beutl. So don't get this hard between us because I don't know you and you don't know me, too, just you are making me sick, too.*

Your words are just hurting me more, so don't ever try again to give your 'piece of advice' because I am not truly liking the way you are talking to me. With Sister [name of WFWP repr.], I can deal with her and she has more the heart to understand my situation not only Mr. Beutl's side, for me you are just too biased.

With you I don't like to deal with so please understand that and don't make it more difficult between us, just stop or else both of us will make this worst. If you want to tell me something, tell all what you want to say to me first to Sister [name of WFWP repr.] and she deals with me NOT YOU! Sincerely,..."

With these words this brother was also thrown out – of the heart of this sister. It was not worth it for her to deal with him directly. He is not good enough for her that she would consider listening to what he says when he quotes True Father. Isn't it very sad?