

FFWPU Europe and Middle East: S Korea's New, Vague. Faith Control Law - Fear Mounts

Knut Holdhus
April 29, 2026



Scholar issues warning about vague new law that allows state to arbitrarily dissolve religious organizations. Illustration: Chat GPT

세계일보

[Segye Ilbo](#)



Proposed controversial radical bill: Leftwing national assembly member Choi Hyuk-jin from Democratic Party of Korea (DPK). Illustration by Grok xAI

Politics of religion expert issues stark warning about vaguely worded "Religious Organization Dissolution Act" that would allow state to dismantle an organization and seize its assets because of comments on social or moral issues

On 28th April 2026, Professor Na Jeong-won (나정원), an emeritus scholar of politics of religion at Kangwon National University, published an [opinion column](#) in the daily newspaper [Segye Ilbo](#) addressing a controversial legislative proposal in South Korea. It was made by Representative Choi Hyuk-jin (최혁진) who joined the Democratic Party of Korea (DPK) in 2020 but has a long record of involvement in various leftwing parties including the Socialist Party of Korea, known for its anti-capitalism. The proposed bill is formally titled a "Partial Amendment to the Civil Act" but widely referred to as the "Religious Organization Dissolution Act", has sparked debate about constitutionally guaranteed religious freedom and overreach of state power.

See also ["Church Closure" Risks in South Korea's New Bill](#)

See also [Alleged Unificationism Influence Case Collapses](#)

See also [South Korea's Slide Toward Authoritarianism](#)

See also [7,000 Protesting Proposed Anti-Religious Law](#)

Professor Na argues that, despite its stated goal of improving transparency among nonprofit organizations, the bill poses a serious threat to core constitutional principles - particularly freedom of religion and the separation of religion and state.




Professor Na Jeong-won, an emeritus scholar of politics of religion at Kangwon National University. Image: Grok xAI

At the heart of the issue is a fundamental question: should a government have the power to dissolve a religious organization? While governments commonly regulate nonprofit entities, including religious groups, Professor Na contends that this proposal goes far beyond administrative oversight. Instead, he sees it as opening the door for direct state intervention in religious life, something that modern constitutional democracies generally seek to avoid.

One of the first concerns raised in the [column](#) is the way the bill is presented. Rather than being introduced explicitly as a law targeting religious organizations, its provisions are embedded within broader amendments to civil law governing nonprofits. **This, according to Professor Na, obscures its true intent and undermines transparency in the legislative process.** He suggests that such framing makes it harder for both lawmakers and the public to fully grasp the implications of the proposal. Existing laws already allow for the dissolution of nonprofit organizations under certain conditions, so singling out religious groups under a new and less clearly defined standard raises questions about fairness and legislative honesty.

A central criticism of the bill lies in its vague criteria for dissolving religious organizations. The proposal allows authorities to take such action if a group is found to have "significantly harmed the public interest". While this may sound reasonable in principle, Professor Na emphasizes that the lack of a precise definition makes it highly problematic. In legal systems based on the rule of law, citizens and organizations must be able to predict what conduct is prohibited and what consequences may follow. **Without clear standards, enforcement can become arbitrary, potentially influenced by political considerations rather than objective criteria.**



The current Lee Jae-myung administration is trying to introduce a vague new law that would give the state powers to arbitrarily dissolve religious organizations, effectively violating the country's constitutionally guaranteed religious freedom. Illustration: ChatGPT

The bill also introduces "violation of the separation of religion and state" as a reason for dissolution. Professor Na argues that this reflects a misunderstanding - or even a reversal - of the concept. In many democratic systems, including South Korea's, the principle of separation is designed primarily to protect religion from government interference. It ensures that the state cannot control religious institutions or favor one faith over another. However, under the proposed

law, this principle could be used in the opposite direction: as a justification for the state to penalize religious organizations that express political views or engage in public debate.

This raises further concerns about freedom of expression. Religious leaders and communities often speak about social and moral issues, which can overlap with politics. According to Professor Na, the bill could allow authorities to interpret such activities as improper political involvement, thereby exposing religious organizations to sanctions. Even ordinary acts - such as a sermon addressing contemporary social problems or a religious individual participating in civic life - might be seen as violations, depending on how the law is applied. This, he argues, risks chilling both religious expression and broader democratic participation.

Another major issue highlighted in the [article](#) is the scope of investigative powers granted to government authorities. The bill would allow officials to demand documents, summon individuals for questioning, and enter organizational premises without a judicial warrant. Although the proposal includes language suggesting that these actions are not part of criminal investigations, Professor Na questions this distinction. In practice, the ability to dismantle an organization and seize its assets resembles the consequences of criminal enforcement. Without judicial oversight, such powers could leave religious groups vulnerable to administrative overreach.

To underscore the potential dangers, Professor Na draws on historical examples. He notes that governments have often justified the suppression of religious groups in the name of maintaining public order or national stability. **From ancient imperial decrees to modern authoritarian laws, such measures have frequently been used to silence dissenting voices. In the South Korean context, he points out that no law since the country's founding has explicitly authorized the dissolution of religious organizations by the state. Passing such legislation, he warns, could damage the country's international reputation as a society that respects religious freedom.**

In terms of possible responses, Professor Na outlines two main paths. The most straightforward would be for the law's sponsor to withdraw it voluntarily, possibly replacing it with a revised proposal that addresses legitimate concerns without infringing on constitutional rights.

The alternative would involve public resistance at each stage of the legislative process, from committee deliberations to final approval. While he acknowledges that the right to resist is an extreme measure, he frames it as a last resort when fundamental freedoms are at stake.

At its simplest, the "right to resist" means that when state power becomes unjust or unlawful - especially when it infringes on basic freedoms - people are not morally or constitutionally obliged to comply, and may actively resist. The concept has deep roots in political philosophy. Thinkers like John Locke argued that if a government breaches the social contract - by attacking life, liberty, or property - citizens regain the right to resist or even replace it.

At the same time, the [column](#) does not place all responsibility on the government. Professor Na calls for introspection within religious communities themselves. He suggests that religious institutions must consider whether they have maintained public trust and upheld their social responsibilities. If religious groups are perceived as overly aligned with political power or as failing to act ethically, they may weaken their own ability to resist unjust policies. In this sense, safeguarding religious freedom is not only a legal issue but also a moral and social one.

Finally, Professor Na proposes a constructive way forward. Rather than pursuing legislation that risks overreach, he encourages collaboration among government agencies, religious organizations, and academic experts to develop a more balanced legal framework. Drawing on examples from the European Union and the United States, such a framework could clarify the boundaries between religion and state while preserving mutual independence. The goal, he suggests, should be a system in which both spheres coexist in a complementary relationship, guided by clearly defined constitutional principles.

Professor Na's basic argument is that the proposed law, though presented as a technical reform, carries far-reaching implications. **By granting broad and ambiguous powers to the state, the law is likely to undermine the very freedoms it claims to regulate.** For an international audience, the debate reflects a broader and familiar question: the separation of religion and state. In Professor Na's view, the two must work together to "develop a Korean-style legal framework" with a firm commitment to the constitutional values that underpin a democratic society.

See also ["Church Closure" Risks in South Korea's New Bill](#)

See also [Alleged Unificationism Influence Case Collapses](#)

See also [South Korea's Slide Toward Authoritarianism](#)

See also [7,000 Protesting Proposed Anti-Religious Law](#)

Text: Knut Holdhus, editor

Related to vague new law: [Mother Han's December Trial: Long Detention Ahead](#)

Also related to vague new law: [A 10-Minute Visit to Mother Han in Detention](#)

Also related to vague new law: [Denying Allegations: Hak Ja Han \(82\) in Inquiry](#)

Also related to vague new law: [SKorean Court's Sharp Criticism of Prosecutors](#)

And also related to vague new law: [Detention: Harsh Cell Conditions Spark Outcry](#)

More, related to vague new law: [Ugly: Arrest Warrant Sought for Hak Ja Han \(82\)](#)

And more, related to vague new law: [Critics Warn of "Authoritarian Drift" in SKorea](#)

Even more, related to vague new law: [Court Decision to Prolong Detention Condemned](#)

Still more, related to vague new law: [Co-Founder, 82, Questioned 9 Hours by Prosecutors](#)

Also related to vague new law: [Democratic Party's Assault on Family Federation](#)

And even more, related to vague new law: [Mother Han \(82\) in Poor Health in Damp, Cold Cell](#)

And still more, related to vague new law: [Korean Crisis: "True Democracy Must Serve Heaven"](#)

And yet more, related to vague new law: [Faith Leaders Protest State Assault on Religion](#)

And more, related to vague new law: [Trump Raises Alarm Over Church Raids in Korea](#)

Even more, related to vague new law: [Mike Pompeo Calls Probe of Co-Founder "Lawfare"](#)

Still more, related to vague new law: [Korean Faith Crackdown: USA Urged to Confront It](#)

Yet more, related to vague new law: [Korean Bribery Scandal: Media Clears Federation](#)

And also related to vague new law: [Raids Blur Line Between Justice and Politics](#)

More, related to vague new law: [Heavy-Handed Raid on Sacred Sites Condemned](#)

Faith Leaders Condemn Crackdown On Federation

April 28, 2026 • Knut Holdhus

Share:













Church seizures and dissolution order condemned at Tokyo religious freedom symposium as faith leaders speak out



More Posts

- 

Vague New Law, Broad Powers: Concerns Mount
April 29, 2026
- 

Political Influence: Harsher Sentence On Appeal
April 27, 2026
- 

The Return Of Labeling Faith As Manipulation
April 26, 2026
- 

Law Expert: Too Weak Legal Basis For Dissolution
April 25, 2026
- 

Hostile Interpretation Of Belief In Tokyo Court
April 24, 2026
- 

Religious Freedom In Jeopardy After Dissolution
April 23, 2026

readers speak out
against authorities
doing what communist
states do



Logo of the
Sekai Nippo

Tokyo, 28th April 2026 – Published as an
article in the Japanese newspaper [Sekai Nippo](#).
Republished with permission. Translated from
Japanese. [Original article](#).

U.S. Religious Leaders Criticize the Japanese Government



ICRF Holds Symposium on Dissolution of Family Federation

by editorial staff of [Sekai
Nippo](#)

An organization of experts
working to promote freedom
of religion, the *International
Coalition for Religious
Freedom (ICRF)*, held a
symposium chaired by Wakō
Higashi (東和空) in Tokyo on
27th April on the theme of
the dissolution of the [Family
Federation for World Peace
and Unification](#) (formerly
the [Unification Church](#)). Following the *Tokyo High Court's*
[dismissal](#) on 4th March of an [immediate appeal](#) against
the [dissolution order](#), criticism was raised over the
situation in which church facilities across the country
[were seized](#) on the same day.



Venerable Wakō Higashi.
Photo: [Sekai Nippo](#)

Archbishop George Stallings of the United States strongly
condemned the Japanese government, saying it was
“madness to think that the government knows better
than believers what a church should be.” Expressing his
concern, he added,

“At the core of religious freedom is the right to
choose for oneself whom to worship. The idea
that the government, rather than individuals,
decides how much one should donate or
contribute turns the government into a
‘monster’.”

He further appealed to religious leaders at the venue:

“If the government can dissolve the [Family
Federation](#), then you are next. We must pray
together, stand together, and not overlook the
actions of the government.”

Pastor Hiroshi Kurose (黒瀬博)
of the *Tokyo West Baptist
Church* emphasized,

“[Closing church
buildings](#) and
[confiscating property](#)
are exactly what
communist states have
done – it is a national
crime.”



Pastor Hiroshi Kurose (黒
瀬博) of the Tokvo West

April 23, 2026



Legal
Offensive
Enters Asset
Distribution
Phase

April 22, 2026

Search..



Categories

Send us a
message

First

Name *

Last Name

Email *

Email Address

Your Message *

Submit

Addressing members of the [Family Federation](#), he urged, *Photo: [Takashi Kato](#) / [Tokyo Baptist Church](#). Photo: [Sekai Nippo](#)*

“You should record your experiences for the future. Someday, people in the future will surely deliver a proper judgment.”

Participants from the Buddhist community also stated that “Japan’s religious world is in a dire state.” They expressed their views, including describing the [Tokyo High Court’s decision](#) as “judicial suicide”.

In addition, members of the [Family Federation](#) gave reports on the actual situation surrounding the dissolution. Kimihiro Okamitsu (岡光君啓), who is in charge of a church facility in Shinjuku, Tokyo, pointed out that not only access to church facilities but also believers’ marriages and funerals have been affected. He stated,

“It is a fundamental human right to wish to conduct life’s most important rites at the center of one’s faith.”

Featured image above: Archbishop George Augustus Stallings speaking at a symposium of the *International Coalition for Religious Freedom* (ICRF), 27th April 2026 in Chiyoda Ward, Tokyo, Japan. Photo: Takahide Ishii (石井孝秀)

Related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Lawfare: State Uses Legal System in War on Faith](#)

More, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Scholar Questions Secrecy in Dissolution Case](#)

And more, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Shocked Author: “Japan Ignores Basics of Justice”](#)

Still more, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Legal Inconsistencies A Blow to Democracy](#)

Even more, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Japan: Court Accused of Using Speculation](#)

Also related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [MEXT’s Legal Spin and “Dissolution at All Costs”](#)

Also related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [UN Report: Japan’s Lawfare Against Faith Minority](#)

And also related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Protesting No Transparency in Closed-Door Trial](#)

More, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Dangerous Flaws in Dissolution Order Decried](#)

Also related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [“Lawyers Lying and Shaming Japan” for 50 Years](#)

And also related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Japan Following the Way of China](#)

And also related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Japan’s Dissolution Case Echoes China’s Playbook](#)

More, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Media/Legal Expert: Communism Behind Persecution](#)

And more, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Militant Lawyers Dictate Government Policy](#)

More, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Collusion to Rob Minority of Its Rights](#)

And more, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [State and Media Creating “Today’s Non-Citizens”](#)

Still more, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown:

Japan Copying China: State Seizure of Churches

And still more, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [12 Religious Freedom NGOs Denouncing Japan](#)

More, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [4300 Abductions and Forcible Detentions](#)

And more, related to faith leaders condemn crackdown: [Japan: Families Fear for Graves of Loved Ones](#)

Yet more, related to faith leaders speaking out against crackdown: [Lawyers Manipulating, Coercing, Lying](#)

Also related to faith leaders speaking out against crackdown: [Kishida Follows Anti-Family Federation Minister](#)

Also related to faith leaders speaking out against crackdown: [Militant Lawyers Dictate Government Policy](#)

Still more, related to faith leaders speaking out against crackdown: [Malicious One-Sided Government Source Selection](#)

And still more, related to faith leaders speaking out against crackdown: [Japan Urged to Make U-Turn](#)

And yet more, related to faith leaders speaking out against crackdown: [Dangerous Precedent to Crush Religions](#)

Even more, related to faith leaders speaking out against crackdown: [Japan Following the Way of China](#)

Yet more, related to faith leaders speaking out against crackdown: [Japanese Communists’ Final War](#)

Still more, related to faith leaders speaking out against crackdown: [Political and Social Activism behind Oppression](#)

Still more, related to faith leaders speaking out against crackdown: [Dissolution Case: Rule of Law on Trial 4th March](#)

« Previous | Next »
Political Influence: Har... Vague New Law, Broad ...